



Enpro Inc.

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Enpro is a leading-edge industrial technology company focused on critical applications across a diverse group of growing end markets such as semiconductor, photonics, industrial process, aerospace, food, biopharmaceuticals and life sciences. Enpro is a leader in applied engineering and designs, develops, manufactures, and markets proprietary, value-added products and solutions that contribute key functionality or safeguard a variety of critical environments. Enpro manages its business as two segments: a Sealing Technologies segment and an Advanced Surface Technologies (AST) segment. Our Sealing Technologies segment, composed of four operating divisions (Garlock, Technetics, STEMCO and Advanced Micro Instruments), designs, engineers and manufactures value-added products and solutions that safeguard a variety of critical environments, including: metallic, non-metallic and composite material gaskets; dynamic seals; compression packing; elastomeric components; custom-engineered mechanical seals used in diverse applications; hydraulic components; test, measurement and sensing applications; sanitary gaskets; hoses and fittings for hygienic process industries; fluid transfer products for the pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical industries; and commercial vehicle solutions used in wheel-end and suspension components that customers rely upon to ensure safety on our roadways. Our AST segment is composed of four operating divisions, including NxEdge, Technetics Semi, LeanTeq, and Alluxa. Our AST segment applies proprietary technologies, processes, and capabilities to deliver a highly differentiated suite of products and solutions for challenging applications in high-growth markets. The segment's products and solutions are used in demanding environments requiring performance, precision and repeatability, with a low tolerance for failure. AST's products and solutions include: (i) cleaning, coating, testing, refurbishment and verification for critical components and assemblies used in state-of-the-art advanced node semiconductor manufacturing equipment, (ii) designing, manufacturing and selling specialized optical filters and proprietary thin-film coatings for the most challenging applications in the industrial technology, life sciences, and semiconductor markets, (iii) engineering and manufacturing complex front-end wafer processing sub-systems and new and refurbished electrostatic chuck pedestals for the semiconductor equipment industry, and (iv) engineering and manufacturing edge-welded metal bellows for the semiconductor equipment industry and critical applications in the space, aerospace and defense markets. In many instances, AST capabilities drive products and solutions that enable the maintenance of our customers' high-value processes through an entire life cycle.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2023

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

1 year

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

1 year

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

Not providing past emissions data for Scope 3

[Fixed row]

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US29355X1072

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

29355X107

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

NPO

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

549300Y5CFT2LKCFDN54

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

033937074

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

Upstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Enpro has implemented a supplier risk monitoring program for its top 500 direct material suppliers. At this time, Enpro's upstream mapping is limited to this supplier risk monitoring program for its top tier, direct material suppliers. Enpro has not mapped its downstream value chain.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

2

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Consistent with the CSRD reporting rules, the short-term time horizon (1-year) is consistent with the reporting period for financial statements. In addition, GHG emissions reduction goals are established on an annual basis. For 2023, the goal was to reduce enterprise-wide GHG emissions by 3% per million dollars in revenue against the baseline year of 2022. For 2024, the goal is to reduce enterprise-wide GHG emissions by a further 3% per million dollars in revenue against the baseline year of 2022.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The medium-term time horizon (2-5 years) is consistent with the CSRD reporting rules and is being applied to CSRD materiality assessments.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The long-term time horizon (more than 5 years) is consistent with the CSRD reporting rules and is being applied to CSRD materiality assessments.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

(2.2.1) Process in place

Select from:

Yes

(2.2.2) Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process

Select from:

Impacts only

(2.2.4) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(2.2.5) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts and describe any plans to do so in the future

Impacts, risks and opportunities (IROs) were evaluated for entities subject to the CSRD through a Double Materiality Assessment. Dependences are not part of the Double Materiality Assessment procedures established by the CSRD.

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Partial

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

- Materiality assessment

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Other acute physical risk, please specify :Double Materiality Assessment for CSRD includes a general evaluation of physical risks associated with the impacts from climate change. No material risks identified, but material impacts and opportunities confirmed.

Chronic physical

- Other chronic physical driver, please specify :Double Materiality Assessment for CSRD includes a general evaluation of physical risks associated with the impacts from climate change. No material risks identified, but material impacts and opportunities confirmed.

Technology

- Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

In 2024, Enpro conducted a Double Material Assessment pursuant to the CSRD. Double materiality has two dimensions: impact materiality and financial materiality, as defined within Annex II of the E.U. Commission Delegated Regulation. While the Double Materiality Assessment focused on three large E.U. undertakings subject to the CSRD, Enpro considers the assessment to be representative and relevant on an enterprise-wide basis.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

No

(2.2.7.3) Primary reason for not assessing interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

Select from:

No standardized procedure

(2.2.7.4) Explain why you do not assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

Impacts, risks and opportunities are evaluated independently for entities subject to the CSRD through a Double Materiality Assessment. Procedures do not include an assessment of environmental dependencies or interconnections between impacts, risks and/or opportunities at this time.

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Liabilities

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

25000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

RISKS: For impact materiality, Enpro looks at likelihood (how likely that potential negative impacts will occur), scale (how severe are the impacts – liability potential), irremediability (how hard is it to reverse or compensate for the impacts) and scope (how broad are the impacts). For financial materiality, Enpro looks at likelihood (how likely that the risk will materialize) and magnitude (how big is the impact if the risk materializes). For likelihood, impacts are scored low (

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

25000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Frequency of effect occurring

Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

OPPORTUNITIES: For impact materiality, Enpro looks at likelihood (how likely that positive impacts will occur), scale (how significant are the positive – revenue potential), and scope (how broad are the impacts). For financial materiality, Enpro looks at likelihood (how likely that the opportunity will materialize) and magnitude (how big is the impact if the opportunity materializes). For likelihood, impacts are scored low (less than 20% chance of occurring), moderate (20-50% change of occurring), high (50-80% chance of occurring), or substantial (greater than 80% chance of occurring). For frequency, impacts are scored low (once every 5-10 years), moderate (once every 3-5 years), high (once every 1-3 years), or substantial (once per year or more frequent). For magnitude, impacts are scored low (potential gain up to 5 million), moderate (potential gain 5-15 million), high (potential gain 15-25 million), or substantial (potential gain greater than 25 million).

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

Corporate Governance Guidelines provide: "When conducting searches for new directors, the Nominating Committee will take reasonable steps to include diverse candidates in the pool of nominees and any search firm engaged by the Nominating Committee will affirmatively be instructed to seek to include diverse candidates."

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

Corporate-Governance-Guidelines-amended-2-16-2022.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Biodiversity was not identified as a material sustainability topic in the Double Materiality Assessment conducted in support of CSRD: Biodiversity is not identified in the SASB industry standards for Industrial Machinery and Goods and, in the Peer Benchmarking Step of the Double Materiality Assessment, Enpro confirmed that the majority of five peer organizations identified did not include biodiversity matters in their annual or sustainability reports.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify :Audit & Risk Management Committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Enpro's General Counsel and the Director of Environmental & Sustainability provide updates on legal and environmental matters to the Board's Audit and Risk Management Committee on a quarterly basis. The Director of Environmental & Sustainability, who reports to the General Counsel and chairs the board-appointed Sustainability Committee, also provides sustainability updates to the board on a quarterly basis, including progress toward annual GHG emissions reduction targets. Over the past five years, the Company has divested carbon-intensive lines of business that operated in automotive, oil, gas, and other highly carbon-intensive market sectors. See Sustainability Committee Charter, available at https://s203.q4cdn.com/627297643/files/doc_downloads/gd/Sustainability-Committee-Charter-08-28-2023.pdf

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

	Board-level competency on this environmental issue
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Biodiversity was not identified as a material sustainability topic in the Double Materiality Assessment conducted in support of CSRD: Biodiversity is not identified in the SASB industry standards for Industrial Machinery and Goods and, in the Peer Benchmarking Step of the Double Materiality Assessment, Enpro confirmed that the majority of five peer organizations identified did not include biodiversity matters in their annual or sustainability reports.
[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Accountability for climate change matters falls to the Director of Environmental & Sustainability (the "Director"). The Director reports to the Company's General Counsel and chairs the board-appointed Sustainability Committee. The Sustainability Committee meets at least quarterly, and the Director provides updates to the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. Per the Sustainability Committee charter, the duties and responsibilities of the Sustainability Committee include the following: (1) Set the tone and reinforce the culture within the Company regarding sustainability, promote open discussion and integrate sustainability management into the Company's processes, goals and risk management approach; (2) Assist in the setting of the Company's general strategy with respect to sustainability matters and to consider and recommend policies, practices, disclosures and communications that conform with such strategy; (3) Assist in overseeing internal and external communications with employees, investors, customers and other stakeholders regarding the Company's position on or approach to sustainability matters; (4) Consider the current and emerging sustainability matters that may affect the business, operations, performance or public image of the Company; (5) Put systems in place, as deemed necessary and appropriate, to monitor sustainability matters; (6) Implement and maintain metrics, systems and procedures, as deemed necessary and appropriate, to monitor and track sustainability matters; and (6) Perform such other duties, tasks and responsibilities relevant to the purpose of the Sustainability Committee as may from time to time be requested by the Board of Directors. See Sustainability Committee Charter, available at https://s203.q4cdn.com/627297643/files/doc_downloads/gd/Sustainability-Committee-Charter-08-28-2023.pdf
 [Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	Please explain
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	<i>No employee incentives are linked to sustainability performance at this time, and no such incentives are planned.</i>

[Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Available at: https://s203.q4cdn.com/627297643/files/doc_downloads/gd/Enpro-Environmental-Sustainability-Policy.pdf Enpro shall develop and implement efforts to develop and implement environmentally sustainable practices and procedures, including: 1) Complying with applicable environmental laws. 2) Measuring, evaluating and working to limit our operational impact on the environment in the areas of GHG emissions and climate change risk, water usage, energy management and conservation, and recycling and waste minimization. 3) Evaluating and working to minimize environmental impacts of existing and new products, including the

environmental impacts of end-of-life product management. 4) Working to minimize the environmental impact of Enpro's plant, equipment and other assets for their entire life cycle. 5) Setting environmental sustainability objectives. Enpro expects its suppliers and other business partners to have a high level of integrity and commitment to environmental sustainability principles and continuous improvement in this area. This Environmental Sustainability Policy will guide our supply chain relationships. This Environmental Sustainability Policy applies to all of our operations and is aligned with the requirements of the ISO 14001:2015.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Enpro-Environmental-Sustainability-Policy.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

	<p>Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?</p>
	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years</p>

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

No, we have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

(4.11.9) Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.11.10) Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Not an immediate strategic priority.

[Fixed row]

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Content of environmental policies
- Governance
- Emissions figures
- Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

See full report.

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

enpro_sustainability23_final.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

See full report.

[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial control	<i>Aligns with financial accounting practices, making it easier to integrate environmental data with financial reports.</i>

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

Yes, a change in boundary

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

Enpro's prior GHG emissions reporting to CDP was limited to manufacturing operations in Singapore. Reporting this year is for enterprise-wide manufacturing operations in all geographies.

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2, location-based

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Base year was recalculated to include all manufacturing operations in all geographies (prior reporting limited to operations in Singapore)

(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:

Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We have operations where we are able to access electricity supplier emission factors or residual emissions factors, but are unable to report a Scope 2, market-based figure	<i>Using default regional emissions factors and calculation methodologies in the Envizi (IBM) GHG tracking software.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Corporate Office

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

- Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

1

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Enpro has a small (15,000 sq. ft.) leased corporate office located in Charlotte, North Carolina. Corporate office utilized purchase electricity, and the landlord pays for natural gas. Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions for the corporate office are considered immaterial as compared to energy usage by manufacturing operations.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Based on 2018 data from the US Energy Information Administration (US EIA), total energy usage is assumed to be 10.7 kwh/sq ft (or 160,500 kwh total for a 15,000 sq ft space) and natural gas usage is assumed to be 32.300 cf/sq ft. (or 484.5 Mcf total for a 15,000 sq ft space). Using US EIA emission factors (6.99×10^{-4} metric tons CO₂/kWh and 0.0550 metric tons CO₂/Mcf), total emissions of 139 tons/year. This equates to approximately 0.35% of total GHG emissions from manufacturing operations.

Row 2

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

One Warehouse/Distribution Center (Mississauga, Canada)

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not evaluated

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are relevant but not yet calculated

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Enpro identified the omission of this single distribution center/warehouse located in Mississauga, Canada for which the company has not been tracking utility bills and associated Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions. There are no manufacturing operations at this site and total emissions are not expected to materially change enterprise-wide emissions estimations. However, Enpro is working on collecting utility bills to determine GHG emissions from the facility beginning with the baseline year (2022) to present, and to track GHG emissions from this site moving forward.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

N/A

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

	Base year end	Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Methodological details
Scope 1	12/31/2022	12957	Using default regional emissions factors and calculation methodologies in the Envizi (IBM) GHG tracking software.
Scope 2 (location-based)	12/31/2022	20264	Using default regional emissions factors and calculation methodologies in the Envizi (IBM) GHG trackings software.

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	End date	Methodological details
Reporting year	11563	<i>Date input [must be between [10/01/2015 - 10/01/2023]</i>	<i>Calendar Year 2023. Using default regional emissions factors and calculation methodologies in the Envizi (IBM) GHG tracking software.</i>
Past year 1	12957	12/31/2022	<i>Using default regional emissions factors and calculation methodologies in the Envizi (IBM) GHG tracking software.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	End date	Methodological details
Reporting year	19331	<i>Date input [must be between [10/01/2015 - 10/01/2023]</i>	<i>Using default regional emissions factors and calculation methodologies in the Envizi (IBM) GHG tracking software.</i>
Past year 1	20263	12/31/2022	<i>Using default regional emissions factors and calculation methodologies in the Envizi (IBM) GHG tracking software.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not tracking Scope 3 Emissions.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No emissions data provided

[Fixed row]

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change in renewable energy usage during the reporting year.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

2325

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change in calculation methodology or boundaries between baseline (2022) and reporting (2023) years. Emissions reductions were achieved through reductions in use, which in turn were achieved through energy reduction efforts and projects at individual manufacturing operations such as LED lighting replacements, powering down equipment when not in use, air compressor replacements and maintenance, and compressed air system leak detection and repair programs.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

117

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

271

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1194

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

402

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

93

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

140

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

188

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1473

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1108

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

211

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4793

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9275

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11610.08
[Fixed row]

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 2	<i>Advanced Surfaced Technologies Segment</i>	9742.43
Row 3	<i>Sealing Group Segment</i>	1821.05

[Add row]

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

	Facility
Row 2	<i>TG Singapore</i>

[Add row]

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 2	<i>Sealing Group Segment</i>	10441.44
Row 3	<i>Advanced Surfaces Technology Segment</i>	8715.25

[Add row]

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

	Facility
Row 2	<i>Technetics Singaport</i>

[Add row]

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

	Subsidiary name
Row 2	<i>See responses to C7.3a and C7.6a</i>

[Add row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

63776

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

63776
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

	Total Gross generation (MWh)
Electricity	0
Heat	0
Cooling	0

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

29.17

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

30895

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

1059

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

3.7

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.45.9) Please explain

Emissions reduction goal is 3% reduction in GHG emissions from the baseline year (2022) on a basis of tons/M revenue. Emissions reductions were achieved through reductions in use, which in turn were achieved through energy reduction efforts and projects at individual manufacturing operations such as LED lighting replacements, powering down equipment when not in use, air compressor replacements and maintenance, and compressed air system leak detection and repair programs.

[Add row]

(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Int 1

(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.2.5) Date target was set

12/31/2022

(7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

(7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2

(7.53.2.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

Location-based

(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

Metric tons CO2e per unit revenue

(7.53.2.12) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(7.53.2.13) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

11.78

(7.53.2.14) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

18.42

(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

30.2000000000

(7.53.2.34) % of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.35) % of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.55) End date of target

12/31/2023

(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

3

(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

29.2940000000

(7.53.2.58) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions

7

(7.53.2.60) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

10.92

(7.53.2.61) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

18.25

(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

29.1700000000

(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year

113.69

(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Achieved

(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target coverage includes Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions for manufacturing operations. Corporate office emissions are not included in emissions totals or targets.

(7.53.2.86) Target objective

2023: 3% reduction in Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions from the baseline year (2022) on a ton per million dollars (tons/M) of revenue basis 2024: and additional 3% reduction in Scope 1 and Scope emissions from the baseline year (2022) on a tons/M basis Objective is to reduce GHG emissions and improve energy efficiency of manufacturing operations

(7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

(7.53.2.89) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

Emissions reductions were achieved through reductions in use: Air compressor replacements and maintenance; Implementation of compressed air system leak detection and repair programs; LED lighting replacements; Powering down equipment when not in use.

[Add row]

(7.53.3) Explain why you did not have an emissions target, and forecast how your emissions will change over the next five years.

(7.53.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

We are planning to introduce a target in the next two years

[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to undertake any biodiversity-related actions

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Deputy General Counsel; Director of Environmental & Sustainability

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]