

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. NYSE:HIG

Special Call

Monday, December 04, 2017 2:00 PM GMT

CALL PARTICIPANTS 2

PRESENTATION 3

QUESTION AND ANSWER 7

Call Participants

EXECUTIVES

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Douglas G. Elliot
President

Sabra R. Purtill
Treasurer, Senior VP & Head of Investor Relations

ANALYSTS

Brian Robert Meredith
UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

Elyse Beth Greenspan
Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Research Division

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JP Morgan Chase & Co, Research Division

Jay H. Gelb
Barclays PLC, Research Division

Joshua David Shanker
Deutsche Bank AG, Research Division

Meyer Shields
Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

Robert Ray Glasspiegel
Janney Montgomery Scott LLC, Research Division

Ryan James Tunis
Crédit Suisse AG, Research Division

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

Unknown Analyst

Yaron Joseph Kinar
Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Research Division

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Presentation

Operator

Good morning. My name is Denise, and I'll be your conference operator today. At this time, I'd like to welcome everyone to The Hartford Special Investor Conference Call. [Operator Instructions] Thank you.

Sabra Purtill, Head of Investor Relations, you may begin your conference.

Sabra R. Purtill
Treasurer, Senior VP & Head of Investor Relations

Thank you, Denise. Good morning, and thank you all for joining us today at short notice. Today's webcast will discuss our agreement announced this morning to sell Talcott Resolution to an investor group with an expected closing in the first half of next year.

Please note that in addition to our news release and the investor presentation, which we posted this morning, there are 2 8-K filings: One for Hartford Financial Services Group, which includes the sales and purchase agreement, and one for Hartford Life Insurance, which is the holding company being purchased by the investor group. We will not be using the slide deck for this call today, but we may refer to them in the Q&A in order to bring certain items or numbers to your attention.

Our speakers today include Chris Swift, Chairman and CEO of The Hartford; and Beth Bombara, Chief Financial Officer. Following their prepared remarks, we will have about 30 minutes for Q&A, depending on the amount of questions. I would also note that Doug Elliot, President, is also with us today on the call. We would remind you as well that Chris and Beth will be at the Goldman Sachs Financial Services Conference this Wednesday morning, December 6. There is public question time included in that format, which is a fireside chat, and we'll also have some time set aside at the conference for small group meetings.

Just a few comments before Chris begins. Today's call includes forward-looking statements as defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results could be materially different. We do not assume any obligation to update information or forward-looking statements provided on this call.

Investors should also consider the risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from these statements. A detailed description of those risks and uncertainties can be found in our SEC filings, which are available on our website.

Our commentary today include non-GAAP financial measures. Explanations and reconciliations of these measures to the comparable GAAP measure are included in our SEC filings as well as in the news release and presentation, which are available on our website.

Finally, please note that no portion of this conference may be reproduced or rebroadcast in any form without The Hartford's prior written consent. Replays of this webcast and an official transcript will be available on The Hartford's website for at least one year.

I'll now turn the call over to Chris.

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Thank you, Sabra. Good morning. Today's announcement of our agreement to sell Talcott Resolution is the final step in our journey begun in March of 2012 to exit the life insurance and annuity market and concentrate our focus on P&C, Group Benefits and Mutual Funds.

Looking back at this decision now, it is clear this was the right path forward for The Hartford. And I am proud of all the work done by The Hartford team to get us to this point and of what we've accomplished for our shareholders over the past 5 years.

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

I am pleased to announce the definitive agreement to sell Talcott for a total value to shareholders of approximately $3 billion, including $1.7 billion in cash and nearly $1 billion in estimated retained tax benefits. The announcement is the result of a comprehensive evaluation of the economics of the sale versus continued run-off and a thorough and robust sales process with multiple bidders. We feel very good about the deal terms and conditions and are highly confident in closing by June 30.

The transaction meets our financial objectives, structural requirements, including a full separation of the legal entities being sold. In addition, it provides a great opportunity for Talcott to leverage their capabilities in the run-off annuity market with their market-leading people, technology and hedging expertise that can be applied to other blocks of business.

We know the -- excuse me, we know the team at Talcott will continue to be good stewards for our policyholders given an enduring culture, centered on integrity and ethics and a commitment to outstanding customer service and fulfilling policyholder obligations. As part of this transaction, we are taking a small equity interest in Talcott, allowing us to participate in their future success.

We believe the long-term benefits of the sale will maximize shareholder value creation versus continuing to run-off Talcott. Let me touch briefly on a few of those benefits. First, the sale will allow us to focus on our underwriting-centric businesses. We have several exceptional businesses, including our market-leading small commercial and Group Benefits franchises, as well as strong positions in middle market, specialty commercial and Personal Lines. Together, these businesses along with our well-positioned Mutual Funds operation are generating attractive returns and present meaningful growth opportunities, and we will continue to invest in them.

Second, we will have an improved ROE, stronger earnings growth profile as the sale eliminates Talcott's drag on both of these financial measures. Third, the sale will reduce our exposure to capital markets tail risk. We believe this will lower our volatility and align our cost of capital to levels more consistent with other P&C and Group Benefits peers.

Finally, selling Talcott will provide us with about $1.7 billion in cash proceeds, increasing our financial flexibility. We will continue to be thoughtful about our deployment of excess capital, with the goal of creating long-term shareholder value. Our priorities for capital are unchanged. We are focused on investing for organic growth, strengthening our competitive advantages and will consider opportunities to accelerate profitable growth through acquisitions.

Before turning the call over to Beth, I'd like to highlight what we've accomplished over the past 5 years. The sale of individual life, retirement plans and the Japan operations, plus the run-off of Talcott generated more than $5.8 billion of capital, most of which was redeployed in equity and debt capital management actions. Including the proceeds from the sale announced today, Talcott has returned nearly $7.6 billion since 2012. Combined with the significant improvement in the operating performances of our other businesses, these actions have led to strong shareholder value creation over the period.

It's been 5 years and a lot of hard work since we announced this strategic realignment of the firm, but we took on this challenge because we believed that our competitive advantages lay elsewhere and that to be a top-performing, market-leading company with a strong balance sheet, we needed to concentrate our focus. While today's announcement marks our exit from Talcott, it also begins a new era for The Hartford as we move forward completely focused on P&C, Group Benefits and Mutual Funds. We see plenty of upside in these businesses and continue to relentlessly pursue our goal of becoming a leading underwriting company with superior products, technology, talent and customer experience.

We come to work every day focused on creating shareholder value in The Hartford's third century while sustaining our culture of integrity, ethics and diversity and inclusion.

Now I'll turn the call over to Beth.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Thank you, Chris. The sale we announced today resulted from a rigorous evaluation and sale process. We concluded that this transaction provides better economics and certainty compared to the continued run-off of the block. In addition to the proceeds received and the retention of certain tax benefits, the sale will result in significant improvements in our future financial results and risk profile.

There are several components of the deal consideration. First, cash to be received is about $1.7 billion, including $1.4 billion to be paid by the investor group and a preclosing dividend of $300 million from Talcott. In addition, The Hartford's total debt will decrease by $143 million because debt previously issued by HLI, Talcott's Holding company, will go with the sale.

The Hartford will also receive an equity interest in the acquisition company with a value of $164 million for a total value of cash and other consideration of $2.05 billion. In addition, another contributor to the economics of the sale is our retention of certain Talcott Resolution tax benefits. Under U.S. tax law, a seller can elect to retain net operating loss carryovers instead of recognizing a tax capital loss on sale with the limitation that you cannot retain more NOLs than the amount of the foregone capital loss. We decided to make this election because we believe retaining these tax assets is very likely to provide better economics for The Hartford than generating a tax capital loss, which could only be used to offset future capital gains over the next 5 years.

Under current tax law, the carrying value of the retained Talcott deferred tax assets is about $950 million, comprised of approximately $670 million of net operating loss carryovers, $240 million of AMT credits and a small amount of foreign tax credits. We estimate that the retained benefits are worth $450 million on a net present value basis. As you know, there are competing proposals related to tax reform that could materially change the value of these tax attributes as well as the value of other tax attributes of the company, irrespective of the sale. Relative to current law, the House bill would potentially make these assets somewhat more valuable to us, while the Senate bill would significantly impair their value.

Although we believe the likelihood of the Senate bill passing with its proposed treatment of AMT is extremely low, the situation is fluid, and it is too early to predict the outcome of the reconciliation process or its implications for our financial statements and the future value of these tax attributes. Regardless of the ultimate resolution on tax reform, we see the underlying economics of this transaction as compared to continuing to run-off Talcott as an excellent result.

On a GAAP basis, the transaction will result in a net loss on sale of about $3.2 billion. Even though the sale is not expected to close until the first half of 2018, we will record the loss on sale and the reclassification to discontinued operations in our fourth quarter financial statements. As a reminder, our definition of core earnings excludes earnings from discontinued operations. So with today's announcement, Talcott's previously reported core earnings will be reclassified to discontinued operations.

For example, core earnings of $2.65 per share for the first 9 months of 2017 will now be $1.94 per share, while net income per share of $1.54 remains the same. Additionally, fourth quarter core earnings will not include Talcott core earnings. Because the economics of Talcott transfer to the buyer at signing, Talcott's results between signing and closing will not impact our net income. We provided some details in the slides, which should help you adjust your models. I would note that there is one minor impact on corporate core earnings as a result of reallocating certain overhead expenses that were previously allocated to Talcott, which is explained in the slides.

Taking into consideration both the $3.2 billion loss on sale and Talcott's earnings through September 30, the amount reported on the loss on discontinued operations line in the income statement will be approximately $3 billion or about $8.05 per diluted share based on weighted average diluted shares for the 9-month period ended September 30.

In addition, Talcott's balance sheet will be reclassified as held-for-sale, which aggregates all the assets and liabilities of the business to be sold to one line on each side of the balance sheet. There is one exception to this reclassification, which is that the AOCI reported on Talcott's investment portfolio remains separate from assets and liabilities held-for-sale until closing. Talcott's AOCI balance was $931 million as of September 30, 2017.

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Let me briefly cover 2 other topics, stranded costs and debt leverage. Because the buyer is offering employment to a full complement of Talcott employees, including finance, legal, enterprise risk management, IT and other support functions, and because HIMCO, The Hartford's Investment Management Operation, is being hired to manage Talcott's invested assets for an initial period of 5 years, stranded costs will be relatively minor at $20 million to $30 million after tax. Consistent with prior business sales, we will address these costs through expense initiatives over time.

A second impact is on consolidated debt leverage. Due to the loss on discontinued operations in the fourth quarter, our rating-agency adjusted leverage ratio will increase to approximately 29.5%, which is above our long-term target. We expect this ratio to decrease in the next 12 to 18 months based on our previously announced repayment of $500 million of debt in 2018 as well as about $400 million of additional debt repayment using a portion of the sale proceeds. We expect that these debt actions, combined with future net income, will allow us to reach our goal of achieving a ratio in the low 20% range.

As Chris discussed, the benefits we see from this transaction include our strengthened focus on P&C, Group Benefits and Mutual Funds, the improvement in our core earnings ROE and earnings growth profile and the reduction of risk. As it relates to the improvement in core earnings ROE, I would like to provide some color on how that will manifest in our results.

We've provided you with ROEs by major business for several years as well as consolidated ROE ex Talcott. I know you are all aware that the Talcott ROE was much lower than our other businesses. For example, as of September 30, 2017, our 12-month trailing consolidated core earnings ROE ex Talcott was 9.7%, which was depressed in part by higher catastrophe losses. Talcott's core earnings ROE was 5.1% and its net income ROE, which includes the impact of DAC unlocks and hedging results was only 3.4%. Clearly, the sale of Talcott and the redeployment of the proceeds will improve our consolidated ROE. However, it will take a bit of time to be fully reflected in the financial statements, because first we have to close the deal and then we have to redeploy the proceeds.

As a result, we won't realize the full benefit of the sale in our 2018 ROE, although we do expect to approach 10% in 2018 with improvements thereafter. Actual results will obviously depend on a variety of factors, including our ability to maintain or improve margins in our businesses, the redeployment of the Talcott proceeds and the level of interest rates, limited partnership returns and catastrophe losses. While this ROE level is well in excess of our cost of capital, we do not view it as an end point as we remain focused on investing in our businesses to drive profitable growth.

Before taking your questions, I'd like to acknowledge that today's announcement would not be possible without the dedicated work and exceptional effort of our Talcott colleagues over the last 5 years. Both Brion Johnson and I are proud to have had the opportunity to lead this team, and we are pleased that this sale will allow our teammates the opportunity to apply their market-leading capabilities to the ongoing management of the business. We thank them for all their efforts and look forward to working with them through closing and transition and wish them continued success.

I'll now turn the call back to Sabra for Q&A.

Sabra R. Purtill
Treasurer, Senior VP & Head of Investor Relations

Thank you, Beth. Before beginning Q&A, I would like to note that a lot of the transaction details and benefits are addressed in the slides and other materials provided this morning. For the benefit of everyone listening to the call, it would be helpful to use the time today to focus on questions that need to be addressed at a Reg FD friendly venue and that are not specifically addressed in the slides, news release or 8-K. After the call, the Investor Relations team would be happy to help explain any financial disclosures or technical tax or accounting questions that can be addressed with the publicly available materials. We appreciate your consideration of this request.
Denise, could you please repeat the Q&A instructions?

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Question and Answer

Operator

[Operator Instructions] Your first question comes from Tom Gallagher with ISI.

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

First question is on the, I guess, $1.3 billion of cash that you would expect to have after the debt paydowns are announced. Chris or Beth, can you just comment on how are you thinking about use of proceeds? I think there was some mention of buyback or dividends. Will some other portion of that need to be used, say, to recapitalize the Group Benefits business, which, I think, as of right now, you were expecting retained earnings to get that back up to appropriate capital levels. But can you talk a bit about use of proceeds?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Sure, Tom. I think your last point is right on. We made our capital injection into the Group Benefits company concurrent with the closing. So that's going to build its RBC base up over 2018 to the appropriate levels we want. So I think what you're really asking is, what do we -- we're going to do with the proceeds from this sale. And I would just observe that we've -- as I said in my opening remarks, I mean, our capital management philosophy is unchanged. I mean, we're going to continue to invest to grow our businesses and capabilities. If you want to be more specific, I would say, we're focused on our commercial P&C operations, particularly, specialty, our A&H capabilities, industry verticals in the commercial insurance area. So -- and then we're always thinking about how we accelerate our growth through thoughtful M&A that makes strategic and financial sense, I think, is the words I've used. And finally, if we can't deploy those proceeds into productive revenue streams that have good returns, then we'll return it to shareholders vis-à-vis buybacks and/or dividends.

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

So Chris, from that response, I take it to mean, priority would be probably M&A or growth in P&C, one, and then buybacks and dividends would fall below that. Is that a fair assessment?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Yes, it is, Tom. And I know, I think, we've been talking about that for the last 18 months, 2 years. So when I change that philosophy, I'll let you know.

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

And the Group Benefits deal doesn't alter that, even from a timing standpoint.

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Not at all. Not at all.

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

Okay. And then my follow-up is just on the tax side. So Beth, I heard your $450 million net present value is the expectation for the DTA. Now your comments about the 2 different versions of tax reform, one being negative -- one being a modest positive for the expected value there, the other one being negative. Can

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

you just flesh that out a little bit more? How neg if -- and granted it sounds like you think the positive outcome is the more likely one, but can you dimension that a little bit, like what the impact would be?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes, absolutely. So the real difference as we look at that value relates to AMT. So in the House bill with the repeal of AMT as well as the payback of any AMT credits, that would increase our view of the benefit slightly. So where I said $450 million, maybe we're closer to $500 million of present value basis because basically what happens is the fact that the tax assets, the NOLs go from a 35% rate to a 20% rate, is more than offset on a present value basis by the acceleration of the return of the AMT credits. On the Senate Bill, with AMT staying as it is today and the proposal being that the corporate tax rate and the AMT rate are the same rate, the assets become significantly less valuable to the extent that it could be hard to see how we'd actually be able to monetize those over time. Now if the Senate Bill were to change the AMT tax rate so that it was lower than the corporate tax rate, that would be helpful, but it would still be below our view today under current tax law of a value of about $450 million.

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

So closer to 0, if the Senate were to..

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes, if the Senate Bill were to go through as it is, then, yes, the -- you basically become a permanent AMT taxpayer. And so you never get benefit for the credits, and you're really never able to utilize the NOLs.

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Tom, it's Chris. I think, as Beth explained it, that is obviously very accurate and thorough. But you would also note on Washington, things are heading to Conference Committee. You could see that the President is talking about a 22% tax rate instead of 20%. So to me, there is being set up from what I could feel and sense a grand bargain and grand compromise, because AMT doesn't make any sense the way it's constructed right now.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

And the only other thing I'd add is, again, we're talking specifically, obviously, about the tax attributes associated with the Talcott sale that we're retaining. Again, we have other tax attributes as well. So our comments are really broadly and, again, the -- what we're talking about here really is irrespective of a sale or no sale. It just really relates to how our tax attributes of the company would be monetized over time.

Operator

Your next question comes from Jay Gelb with Barclays.

Jay H. Gelb
Barclays PLC, Research Division

If I look at the headline numbers for the transaction value and the cash value of the deal, it appears that it, kind of, came in on the low end of expectations. Can you kind of talk us through the process a bit in terms of how Hartford and the investor group came up with the ultimate value of Talcott?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Yes, Jay, it's Chris. What I would say is, in just classic negotiation, there was things that were important to us, there was things that was, obviously, important to that side. So some of the things that we placed a great deal of value on is having HIMCO continue to be the asset manager for effectively 80% of Talcott's assets. We were willing to make a small 9.7% equity investment in that, that gives us a board seat and representation that Brion Johnson will take that seat from Hartford. Obviously, they ran discounted cash flows and actuarial models, and so you arrive at, sort of, value and then at the edges, you negotiate points that are important. So I wouldn't characterize it at the low end. I mean, remember, we took a lot of dividends out of Talcott in 2017, $1.4 billion. So I think everything is relative to, call it the embedded value of the blocks of business, in an actual stat surplus. And, again, I give Beth and our tax team a lot credit for structuring the way we did taxwise to -- gives ourselves the option, hopefully, with a good tax law that's passed, to maximize those benefits. So when you put together, $3 billion compared to the statutory surplus value adjusted as of September 30, that's close to 90%, which I think is a good outcome from my perspective.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

And, again, I just would remind you that if you -- we took the $800 million of dividends to fund the Aetna transaction post September 30. So our printed surplus at September 30 was $4.1 billion, but if you really think about the surplus that's there now after that, it's more in the $3.3 billion range.

Jay H. Gelb
Barclays PLC, Research Division

That's helpful. And then on the -- Beth, on that return on -- on the core return on equity expectation for 2018, if I take kind of the $37 of pro forma book value as of the 9 months 2017 and kind of 10% on that, it equates to around, let's call, $3.70 of earning power in 2018. Is that what you're considering kind of a calendar impact? Or is that a run rate following the expected second half of '18 close?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. So I think that math maybe gets you a little bit in the range. I mean, obviously, our results this year have been, when we think about moving forward, been impacted by cats and so forth, so when we think about normalized earnings levels and then, again, there'll be a little bit of timing relative to just the equity base relative to when we close the sale and what we do with the proceeds because, again, so for example, if we don't close until the end of June, that value that's on our balance sheet through those 6 months isn't really earning a return. So you have to, kind of, take that into consideration as well when you look to do that math.

Jay H. Gelb
Barclays PLC, Research Division

Right. I'm sorry, Chris go ahead.

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

No, I was going to say, again, you've been consistent in your questioning. I think what we're ultimately saying is, we think we could earn 10% next year and be on a path to continuingly expand that into '19 and '20 with thoughtful capital management, either from an earnings side or, obviously, returning excess capital to the shareholders. So we haven't been able to say that, that definitively to all your questions over the last 12 quarters, but I think this is the first time that we're actually declaring that we see a path to 10% and beyond, now that we've disposed of Talcott.

Operator

Your next question comes from the line of Josh Shanker with Deutsche Bank.

Joshua David Shanker

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Deutsche Bank AG, Research Division

I was curious to know about your long-term plans on debt-to-capital. How many years out are we thinking to get to your goals?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. So if you, again, take into consideration what our plans are for debt repayment that I highlighted, over the next couple of years, we anticipate that we can get into that lower 20% just by generation of net income. Obviously, that's going to be dependent on a lot of factors, but we think that those -- that use of proceeds of repaying about $400 million of debt in addition to the $500 million we were already planning and had earmarked -- the cash earmarked for that, definitely puts us on that path.

Joshua David Shanker
Deutsche Bank AG, Research Division

And just to be clear, couple, sometimes few, sometimes means 2. Do you think you can be there in 2 years? Or it's going to a little longer than that?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

I'd say 2 -- within 2 years, if you think about that as '18 and end of '19, I think, we're definitely in the lower part of the 20s and would look to continue to improve from there.

Operator

Your next question comes from Elyse Greenspan with Wells Fargo.

Elyse Beth Greenspan
Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Research Division

My first question, just coming back to your talk around the proceeds and obviously getting towards your ROE targets, do you -- when you think of the timing of putting forth, I guess, how you expect to use these proceeds, is that something that we'll hear more from when we get closer to the closing of this deal, in the first half of next year, kind of depending on what would happen to the P&C market, et cetera?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Elyse, what I would say is, we obviously expect to close this June 30. So I would say generally in that point in time. But I would want you to think in terms of, look, we've been very, I'll call it, programmatic on what we're doing with capital on a year-to-year basis. You might see us evolve to, I'll call it, a larger capital management or share buyback authorization that covers more of a multiple-year period of time. And it will be opportunistic in buying back shares and/or looking at acquisition opportunities. So I'm trying to manage your expectations a little bit that July 1, we're not going to tell you what we're going to do with our capital. It will evolve into '19 and '20. And we'll be proactive in buying back shares, if that's the right thing to do at that time and/or deploying it in other ways. So just have that expectation going forward.

Elyse Beth Greenspan
Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Research Division

Okay. Great. And then, if I may, just being that we're in December, your call was about 6 weeks or so ago, is there any way you guys could provide a little bit of additional color on what you're seeing within the Commercial Lines marketplace. Just we've -- everyone has been a bit more optimistic following on the high level of Q3 losses. Any chance you can provide an update on what you're seeing in the pricing environment?

Douglas G. Elliot
President

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Elyse, this is Doug. A few thoughts for you. One, I'd start by saying, we're not a significant market player in the large property space, right? So my comments really are very reflective of the middle market and small commercial. I'd also say, it's still early. But I expect we're at the beginning market firming. Through early fourth quarter, we've not experienced widespread property price hardening. We do, however, see some early signs in cat-prone areas, and I expect to see more of that as we move into 2018. So we don't see a broad across-the-country movement yet, but we're seeing early signs on the property side, and I expect also to see movements in GL and obviously the auto pricing improvements continue.

Operator

Your next question comes from Jimmy Bhullar with JPMorgan.

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JP Morgan Chase & Co, Research Division

Just a strategic question for Chris. Maybe can you talk about your views of Hartford as a takeout candidate and then conversely your desire to grow through acquisitions in the lines of business that you're in? To what extent was the rationale for this transaction reducing volatility in the business and reducing tail risk versus making Hartford a more appealing takeout candidate?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Yes, Jimmy, I think I said in my prepared comments, I mean, we wake up every morning thinking about how we can create shareholder value through things that we control, organic growth, M&A, being thoughtful in the deployment of excess capital. So focused on improving ROEs to improve valuation. If I think about some of the ROE drivers that it would put together coming out of this transaction, investing those proceeds into P&C, it would be a high priority. Continuing to improve the profitability of our Personal Lines book of business. We got expense takeouts -- to take out as it relates to this transaction plus integrating the Aetna implementation. We'll return, as we've shown, excess capital to shareholders, if we don't have a good use for it. But none of this is conditioned upon positioning us to be taken out, honestly. We know our fiduciary duties in that, and our board, but we're focused on trying to grow the organization, our capabilities. We're a national player that has a great brand, great culture, and we're just trying to compete every day and win business.

Jamminder Singh Bhullar
JP Morgan Chase & Co, Research Division

Okay. And just on the stranded overhead costs, is it reasonable to assume that they'll be mostly eliminated within the next year or 2 years? Or could it take longer than that?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. That's a very realistic expectation. Again, they're relatively small, especially if you compare them to other transactions that we've done. And we would expect to do that over the next 1 to 2 years.

Operator

Your next question comes from Brian Meredith with UBS.

Brian Robert Meredith
UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

Just 1 or 2 quick questions. I'm just curious, on the assets that HIMCO is going to manage for the Talcott, are you going to receive fees for that? And is that factored in at all to your stranded costs?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Yes. I would say yes from, obviously, a fee side. We're trying to be as competitive as we can with other third-party asset managers, but we feel good about the fee structure and then, Beth, you could address the costs.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. I agree, and yes, and we took all of that into consideration looking at those stranded costs. So the fact that we are receiving fees for the assets that HIMCO will manage will obviously offset the costs of HIMCO, and that was taken into consideration.

Brian Robert Meredith
UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

Okay. So that's -- so the $35 million to $45 million assumes the HIMCO fees coming in?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes.

Brian Robert Meredith
UBS Investment Bank, Research Division

Okay. Great. And then lastly, Chris, I'm just curious, not to kind of beat on a dead horse here, but with respect to just the proceeds coming in, how quickly should we think of your view that you think you need redeploy these proceeds. It's a meaningful amount of proceeds. Obviously, they're just sitting on your balance sheet earning, kind of, a cash return. It's going to kind of depress your return on equity at least initially.

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Yes. So make the assumption that cash comes in June 30. And, again, we're not going to sit on excess capital forever. I don't have a precise formula I can give you, Brian. But we've deployed excess capital over time, sort of not in one shot. You haven't seen us doing accelerated buyback plans sort of on a quarterly basis. So that philosophy would continue. But as we sit here today, I mean, I can't tell you by the end of '19, we intend to deploy x percent of the proceeds. It will just evolve, and we'll tell you what we're doing.

Operator

Your next question comes from Ryan Tunis with Crédit Suisse.

Ryan James Tunis
Crédit Suisse AG, Research Division

I just had one for Beth, I guess, on tax. Any commentary on -- based on how you're reading the House and the Senate bill would -- where you might pro forma tax rate?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

So that's a difficult question. Obviously, with the corporate tax rate going down to 20% in both provisions, you kind of can do that math to see what it is. I think the problematic thing with the Senate version is that our effective tax rate could be higher than that just because we'd be in a permanent AMT position. So that part of it we see as very problematic to us. But it's hard to give like an estimate off of that just again, it's going from 35% to 20%, and you can think about where we'd be from an effective tax rate perspective with the idea that we still would have muni-bond income. Obviously, we won't have the DRD benefit anymore. So we would see a reduction from where we sit today, when we're kind of in the mid-

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

to high-20s, we'd probably be a little bit below 20%, again, under the House bill. The Senate bill, totally different and a lot more complicated depending on where AMT comes out.

Operator

Your next question comes from Meyer Shields with KBW.

Meyer Shields
Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

Two quick questions. One, Beth, is the $400 million of contemplated debt reduction the same thing as the 2019 debt maturity? Or could you pay down some higher interest rate debt with that $400 million you're talking about?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

It's a great question. So the way we're thinking about it right now is that we would look at that 2019 maturity and be able to repay that in -- as you point out, in January of 2019. Again, our plans can always change as I sit here today, but as we think about just the timing of when the proceeds would come in and kind of how our debt stack looks, that's how we're thinking about it.

Meyer Shields
Keefe, Bruyette, & Woods, Inc., Research Division

Okay. And second question, where in your income statements will your share of Talcott be -- -share of Talcott's earnings be reported?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. So that will show up in our corporate segment. Our investment in Talcott will not be owned by one of the operating companies. So it will show up in corporate, and it will really be treated just like our other, sort of, investments in limited partnerships.

Operator

Your next question comes from Bob Glasspiegel with Janney.

Robert Ray Glasspiegel
Janney Montgomery Scott LLC, Research Division

I suspect this was a ton of work to get this to the goal line. The -- help me out with what the sort of balance sheet and financial strength of the acquiring company is? The reason I ask is, I was at an insurance conference with an accounting firm and they said that to try to get deals done, regulators are much more concerned about that the acquiring company have a balance sheet strength somewhat comparable to the one that sold. I assume you've walked through and spent a lot of time with the regulators walking through hypotheticals and what the acquired balance sheet. But a little help on what it looks like and what sort of guarantees you've gotten from the regulators that this meets their satisfaction?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Yes. Bob, it's Chris. What I would say is, we obviously have an open and transparent communication stream, dialogue with our regulators. So they understand what we're trying to accomplish. I would say that the acquiring company is, I'll call it, being capitalized with fresh capital from their investors, and that there is not an existing company. So their acquisition vehicle will acquire our holding company, HLA, as Beth described. That holding company will include about $150 million of debt. And then Talcott remains a well-capitalized organization today with, I'll call it, good capital positions even in severe stress scenarios. So I think that's what the regulator will look to approve, to understand their business plan. The Form A process can now start with the acquiring company interacting with the Connecticut regulator. We do

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

not have anything to do with that, but they will go through the process of showing how they're going to manage their business plans and then, obviously, seek approval, and the regulator will want to know who really is in control. So control becomes an important part of that Form A process. So that's what I would share with you, Bob.

Robert Ray Glasspiegel
Janney Montgomery Scott LLC, Research Division

So as best we've understood -- it's Connecticut, I assume...

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Yes. And again, it's -- yes, Talcott is Connecticut domiciled. And as I said in my prepared remarks, and I did it intentionally, is that we do have a high degree of confidence, this will get approved by June 30.

Robert Ray Glasspiegel
Janney Montgomery Scott LLC, Research Division

Right. So the residual balance sheet strength of Hartford doesn't go into the thought process of Talcott stand-alone and the fact that they've got a well-financed parent is now and won't in the future, that's not relevant to their -- how they're looking at it?

Christopher John Swift
Chairman & CEO

Yes. Again, your words, not mine. I would say that their acquisition vehicle is raising fresh capital to acquire Talcott and cut us the equity check. And then they get the Talcott balance sheet with its surplus position, liquidity and investments. So I'm not sure we're communicating, Bob, but that's my words.

Robert Ray Glasspiegel
Janney Montgomery Scott LLC, Research Division

No, I got you. I got you.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

The only thing I'll just -- just to clarify because you said HLA, it's HLI. That's -- just so no one's confused that we're selling our Group Benefits company, we are not.

Operator

Your next question comes from Scott Frost with [FSGA].

Unknown Analyst

It kind of sounds like getting your leverage targets down, you expect the liability management actions to be passive. Is that how we should think about that? And I have a follow-up as well.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. So as we've laid out as we think about the -- as we said, the maturities that we have coming, the $500 million that we already indicated that we would be calling in June and then using an additional $400 million right now with -- in our material that says targeting that maturity in January 2019 coupled with just the net income that we'll generate over the period, we think that those actions allow us to get to our targets.

Unknown Analyst

And also just to address, the Glen Meadows have been effectively swapped out, I think, that's to -- you're pay fixed at about 439, 440 basis points, is that right?

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. Yes. Yes.

Unknown Analyst

So that -- and that still remains an attractive transaction for you at this time. Is that also fair to say?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. We felt that, that was very attractive in the terms and no change there.

Operator

Your next question comes from Yaron Kinar with Goldman Sachs.

Yaron Joseph Kinar
Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Research Division

Just had 2 questions. First one, on the timing of the deal, especially, since it seems like a lot is riding on the tax benefits. Could you maybe talk us through why you chose to do the deal now and not maybe wait for additional clarity on where tax reform will fall?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. So again, the way I think about tax reform, that impact is going to be there regardless if we do a transaction or we don't do a transaction. It's not as if this transaction is impacted uniquely that way. And so again, we look at the -- just the underlying economics, the ability to transact in a way that was always our desired goal of legal -- full legal entity sale, in our words, clean break, and the value we were getting. We believed it was the appropriate time and did not see that waiting for tax reform would really materially change our view as to the attractiveness of this transaction.

Yaron Joseph Kinar
Goldman Sachs Group Inc., Research Division

Okay. And then the second question I had was around the equity interest that you have in the new company. Is there a lockup period associated with that?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

So our investment is just like every other investor in the group and the various agreements dictate when individuals can sell and so forth. So we would expect to be a holder of this interest for the foreseeable future.

Operator

Your next question comes from Tom Gallagher with ISI.

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

Just a question on HIMCO. And any expected earnings impact for HIMCO? I saw you have the 5-year asset management contract going forward, but curious if you could tell us what percent of their revenues are associated with Talcott versus what remains left at Hartford and any other third party?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Yes. So Tom, I would just say, when you think about sort of the bottom line, it wouldn't see a significant change in how we've been earning investment income off of the invested assets and how HIMCO performs. So the way I, kind of, think about it is the fees that we're generating from this business versus the cost that we spend and, kind of, across the place are very consistent. And so you really wouldn't -- you shouldn't be thinking about sort of a net lift in our earnings because of that. And the way -- why we see this as so positive is that it really does allow us to maintain the scale at HIMCO and to benefit from their continued management of our assets we're retaining in the P&C and Group business at a very attractive rate. So that's why we see it as so positive.

Thomas George Gallagher
Evercore ISI, Research Division

Got it. And when -- Beth, will the majority of revenues from HIMCO be associated with the business you're selling? I just want to understand, like, go forward, the earnings contribution.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes. So I guess, what I'm saying is, I look at the earnings contribution going forward of the returns that are generated on the assets that remain. So again, the fees that we are charging for the assets that HIMCO will be managing of the -- of Talcott going forward, which is about, call it like, $40 billion of AUM, that will be -- it will cover the expenses that would also be allocated with that. So I don't really see it as sort of an incremental sort of margin that you should build into your models that you didn't already have there before.

Operator

Your last question comes from Jay Gelb with Barclays.

Jay H. Gelb
Barclays PLC, Research Division

Just to close the loop, Beth, is there anything else we should be keeping in mind for the fourth quarter on a core basis, whether that's catastrophe losses? I know on the third quarter call, you already talked about $175 million of California wildfire exposure. Any reserve reviews coming up that we should be aware of? Anything else?

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Sure. So a couple of things. So yes, as it relates to catastrophe for the quarter, what I said on the third quarter call was that the losses from the wildfires, we expect it would be at or above what Harvey was, which was the $175 million. And sitting here today, as we continue to assess and work with our policyholders to understand their losses, we would put that estimate at about $240 million to $250 million pretax. The other thing to take into consideration though is, as we continue to work through the losses from the hurricanes, we're actually seeing those estimates come down a bit. And then when you couple that with just how our aggregate cat loss treaty works for fourth quarter in total, kind of, putting all those pieces together, I'd expect cat losses in the like $180 million to $200 million pretax range. As far as other reserve studies, we are in the process of completing our asbestos and environmental reserve study. But as you know, we entered into a reinsurance agreement last year, so we do not expect any earnings impact to come through for that study. And then we just have our normal other reserve reviews that are ongoing, which are still just underway. And again, I would say, nothing significant coming from that. There's always some true-ups either way. But overall, we've been very pleased with how our underlying reserve estimates have been holding throughout the year.

Jay H. Gelb
Barclays PLC, Research Division

Okay. So total cat losses in the $180 million to $200 million pretax in 4Q, net of all those factors you outlined?

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

Beth A. Bombara
Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President

Yes, yes.

Jay H. Gelb
Barclays PLC, Research Division

And Doug, anything on the personal auto side we should keep in mind? I know fourth quarter historically has shown some variability.

Douglas G. Elliot
President

Continued progress. Obviously, the next 30 days will be important from a weather perspective. And I don't think anything else significant to say, Jay, at this moment.

Operator

There are no further questions queued up at this time. I'll turn the call back over to Sabra Purtill for closing remarks.

Sabra R. Purtill
Treasurer, Senior VP & Head of Investor Relations

Thank you, and thank you, all, for joining us today at short notice. If you have any additional questions, please do not hesitate to follow up with the Investor Relations team. We wish you a good week, and hope to see many of you in -- on Wednesday in New York. Thank you.

Operator
This concludes today's conference call. You may now disconnect.

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.

The information in the transcripts ("Content") are provided for internal business purposes and should not be used to assemble or create a database. The Content is based on collection and policies governing audio to text conversion for readable "Transcript" content and all accompanying derived products that is proprietary to Capital IQ and its Third Party Content Providers.
The provision of the Content is without any obligation on the part of Capital IQ, Inc. or its third party content providers to review such or any liability or responsibility arising out of your use thereof. Capital IQ does not guarantee or make any representation or warranty, either express or implied, as to the accuracy, validity, timeliness, completeness or continued availability of any Content and shall not be liable for any errors, delays, or actions taken in reliance on information. The Content is not intended to provide tax, legal, insurance or investment advice, and nothing in the Content should be construed as an offer to sell, a solicitation of an offer to buy, or a recommendation for any security by Capital IQ or any third party. In addition, the Content speaks only as of the date issued and is based on conference calls that may contain projections of other forward-looking statements. You should not rely on the Content as expressing Capital IQ's opinion or as representing current information. Capital IQ has not undertaken, and do not undertake any duty to update the Content or otherwise advise you of changes in the Content.
THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND "AS AVAILABLE" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND. USE OF THE CONTENT IS AT THE USERS OWN RISK. IN NO EVENT SHALL CAPITAL IQ BE LIABLE FOR ANY DECISION MADE OR ACTION OR INACTION TAKEN IN RELIANCE ON ANY CONTENT, INCLUDING THIRD-PARTY CONTENT. CAPITAL IQ FURTHER EXPLICITLY DISCLAIMS, ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND NON-INFRINGEMENT. CAPITAL IQ, SUPPLIERS OF THIRD-PARTY CONTENT AND ANY OTHER THIRD PARTY WORKING WITH CAPITAL IQ SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, FOR ANY DAMAGES OR LOSS (INCLUDING DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL AND ANY AND ALL OTHER FORMS OF DAMAGES OR LOSSES REGARDLESS OF THE FORM OF THE ACTION OR THE BASIS OF THE CLAIM) CAUSED OR ALLEGED TO BE CAUSED IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR USE OF THE CONTENT WHETHER OR NOT FORESEEABLE, EVEN IF CAPITAL IQ OR ANY OF THE SUPPLIERS OF THIRD-PARTY CONTENT OR OTHER THIRD PARTIES WORKING WITH CAPITAL IQ IN CONNECTION WITH THE CONTENT HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OR LIKELIHOOD OF SUCH DAMAGES.
© 2017 Capital IQ, Inc.

Copyright © 2017 S&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S&P Global Inc. All Rights reserved.