

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 001-12307

ZIONS BANCORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

UTAH

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

**One South Main, 15th Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah**

(Address of principal executive offices)

87-0227400

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

84133

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (801) 524-4787

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, without par value, outstanding at October 31, 2013

184,615,234 shares

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Consolidated Statements of Income	4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	64
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	111
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	111
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	112
Item 1A. Risk Factors	112
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	113
Item 6. Exhibits	113
Signatures	116

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,365,082	\$ 1,841,907
Money market investments:		
Interest-bearing deposits	8,180,639	5,978,978
Federal funds sold and security resell agreements	209,070	2,775,354
Investment securities:		
Held-to-maturity, at adjusted cost (approximate fair value \$727,908 and \$674,741)	777,849	756,909
Available-for-sale, at fair value	3,333,889	3,091,310
Trading account, at fair value	38,278	28,290
	<u>4,150,016</u>	<u>3,876,509</u>
Loans held for sale	114,810	251,651
Loans, net of unearned income and fees:		
Loans and leases	37,897,869	37,137,006
FDIC-supported loans	374,861	528,241
	<u>38,272,730</u>	<u>37,665,247</u>
Less allowance for loan losses	797,523	896,087
Loans, net of allowance	<u>37,475,207</u>	<u>36,769,160</u>
Other noninterest-bearing investments	851,349	855,462
Premises and equipment, net	720,365	708,882
Goodwill	1,014,129	1,014,129
Core deposit and other intangibles	39,667	50,818
Other real estate owned	66,381	98,151
Other assets	<u>1,001,597</u>	<u>1,290,917</u>
	<u><u>\$ 55,188,312</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 55,511,918</u></u>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 18,566,137	\$ 18,469,458
Interest-bearing:		
Savings and money market	22,806,132	22,896,624
Time	2,689,688	2,962,931
Foreign	1,607,409	1,804,060
	<u>45,669,366</u>	<u>46,133,073</u>
Securities sold, not yet purchased	21,183	26,735
Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements	252,591	320,478
Other short-term borrowings	—	5,409
Long-term debt	2,304,301	2,337,113
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	84,147	106,809
Other liabilities	523,915	533,660
Total liabilities	<u>48,855,503</u>	<u>49,463,277</u>
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, without par value, authorized 4,400,000 shares	1,003,970	1,128,302
Common stock, without par value; authorized 350,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 184,600,005 and 184,199,198 shares	4,172,887	4,166,109
Retained earnings	1,540,455	1,203,815
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(384,503)	(446,157)
Controlling interest shareholders' equity	<u>6,332,809</u>	<u>6,052,069</u>
Noncontrolling interests	—	(3,428)
Total shareholders' equity	<u>6,332,809</u>	<u>6,048,641</u>
	<u><u>\$ 55,188,312</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 55,511,918</u></u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest income:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 442,366	\$ 473,162	\$1,356,107	\$1,427,882
Interest on money market investments	6,175	5,349	17,378	15,076
Interest on securities:				
Held-to-maturity	7,739	8,337	23,559	26,621
Available-for-sale	16,917	22,042	53,657	70,290
Trading account	210	110	687	596
Total interest income	<u>473,407</u>	<u>509,000</u>	<u>1,451,388</u>	<u>1,540,465</u>
Interest expense:				
Interest on deposits	14,506	19,049	45,291	63,285
Interest on short-term borrowings	71	193	241	1,228
Interest on long-term debt	<u>43,309</u>	<u>51,597</u>	<u>141,563</u>	<u>173,969</u>
Total interest expense	<u>57,886</u>	<u>70,839</u>	<u>187,095</u>	<u>238,482</u>
Net interest income	415,521	438,161	1,264,293	1,301,983
Provision for loan losses	<u>(5,573)</u>	<u>(1,889)</u>	<u>(56,598)</u>	<u>24,628</u>
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	<u>421,094</u>	<u>440,050</u>	<u>1,320,891</u>	<u>1,277,355</u>
Noninterest income:				
Service charges and fees on deposit accounts	44,701	44,951	132,610	131,909
Other service charges, commissions and fees	45,977	44,679	134,596	127,923
Trust and wealth management income	7,120	6,521	21,846	20,952
Capital markets and foreign exchange	7,309	6,026	21,535	19,102
Dividends and other investment income	12,101	11,686	36,164	42,708
Loan sales and servicing income	8,464	10,695	30,138	29,334
Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss	(4,403)	(5,820)	(12,805)	(17,004)
Equity securities gains, net	3,165	2,683	8,206	11,935
Fixed income securities gains, net	1,580	3,046	3,726	9,285
Impairment losses on investment securities:				
Impairment losses on investment securities	(10,470)	(3,876)	(46,873)	(46,175)
Noncredit-related losses on securities not expected to be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income)	1,403	1,140	23,472	25,922
Net impairment losses on investment securities	<u>(9,067)</u>	<u>(2,736)</u>	<u>(23,401)</u>	<u>(20,253)</u>
Other	<u>5,243</u>	<u>3,495</u>	<u>15,942</u>	<u>9,820</u>
Total noninterest income	<u>122,190</u>	<u>125,226</u>	<u>368,557</u>	<u>365,711</u>
Noninterest expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	229,185	220,223	686,302	665,622
Occupancy, net	28,230	28,601	83,570	84,721
Equipment, software and furniture	26,560	27,122	79,179	81,216
Other real estate expense	(831)	207	2,736	14,457
Credit-related expense	7,265	13,316	27,144	39,216
Provision for unfunded lending commitments	(19,935)	2,264	(22,662)	3,428
Professional and legal services	16,462	12,749	44,082	36,792
Advertising	6,091	7,326	17,791	19,751
FDIC premiums	9,395	11,278	29,230	32,641
Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles	3,570	4,241	11,151	12,794
Debt extinguishment cost	—	—	40,282	—
Other	<u>64,671</u>	<u>67,648</u>	<u>220,884</u>	<u>198,365</u>
Total noninterest expense	<u>370,663</u>	<u>394,975</u>	<u>1,219,689</u>	<u>1,189,003</u>
Income before income taxes	172,621	170,301	469,759	454,063
Income taxes	<u>61,107</u>	<u>60,704</u>	<u>164,832</u>	<u>163,599</u>
Net income	111,514	109,597	304,927	290,464
Net loss applicable to noncontrolling interests	—	(254)	(336)	(800)
Net income applicable to controlling interest	111,514	109,851	305,263	291,264
Preferred stock dividends	(27,507)	(47,529)	(77,547)	(148,238)
Preferred stock redemption	<u>125,700</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>125,700</u>	<u>—</u>
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders	<u>\$ 209,707</u>	<u>\$ 62,322</u>	<u>\$ 353,416</u>	<u>\$ 143,026</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding during the period:				
Basic shares	184,112	183,237	183,721	183,008
Diluted shares	184,742	183,383	184,144	183,162
Net earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.34	\$ 1.90	\$ 0.77
Diluted	1.12	0.34	1.90	0.77

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Unaudited)

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 111,514	\$ 109,597	\$ 304,927	\$ 290,464
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Net unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities	(14,159)	43,484	63,937	72,529
Noncredit-related impairment losses on securities not expected to be sold	(867)	(703)	(13,751)	(16,006)
Reclassification to earnings for realized net fixed income securities losses	(976)	(1,881)	(2,301)	(5,868)
Reclassification to earnings for net credit-related impairment losses on investment securities	5,588	1,690	14,136	12,296
Accretion of securities with noncredit-related impairment losses not expected to be sold	285	192	880	724
Net unrealized holding gains on derivative instruments	239	48	236	225
Reclassification adjustment for increase in interest income recognized in earnings on derivative instruments	(57)	(1,421)	(1,483)	(6,554)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(9,947)	41,409	61,654	57,346
Comprehensive income	101,567	151,006	366,581	347,810
Comprehensive loss applicable to noncontrolling interests	—	(254)	(336)	(800)
Comprehensive income applicable to controlling interest	<u>\$ 101,567</u>	<u>\$ 151,260</u>	<u>\$ 366,917</u>	<u>\$ 348,610</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Unaudited)

<i>(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)</i>	Preferred stock	Common stock		Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Noncontrolling interests	Total shareholders' equity
		Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$1,128,302	184,199,198	\$ 4,166,109	\$1,203,815	\$ (446,157)	\$ (3,428)	\$ 6,048,641
Net income (loss) for the period				305,263		(336)	304,927
Other comprehensive income					61,654		61,654
Issuance of preferred stock	800,000		(15,627)				784,373
Preferred stock redemption	(925,748)		580	125,700			(799,468)
Subordinated debt converted to preferred stock	1,416		(206)				1,210
Net activity under employee plans and related tax benefits		400,807	26,197				26,197
Dividends on preferred stock				(77,547)			(77,547)
Dividends on common stock, \$0.09 per share				(16,667)			(16,667)
Change in deferred compensation				(109)			(109)
Other changes in noncontrolling interests			(4,166)			3,764	(402)
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$1,003,970</u>	<u>184,600,005</u>	<u>\$ 4,172,887</u>	<u>\$1,540,455</u>	<u>\$ (384,503)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 6,332,809</u>
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$2,377,560	184,135,388	\$ 4,163,242	\$1,036,590	\$ (592,084)	\$ (2,080)	\$ 6,983,228
Net income (loss) for the period				291,264		(800)	290,464
Other comprehensive income					57,346		57,346
Issuance of preferred stock	143,750		(2,408)				141,342
Preferred stock redemption	(1,542,500)		3,830	(3,830)			(1,542,500)
Subordinated debt converted to preferred stock	99,871		(14,519)				85,352
Net activity under employee plans and related tax benefits		21,014	11,856				11,856
Dividends on preferred stock	44,696			(148,238)			(103,542)
Dividends on common stock, \$0.03 per share				(5,546)			(5,546)
Change in deferred compensation				237			237
Other changes in noncontrolling interests						18	18
Balance at September 30, 2012	<u>\$1,123,377</u>	<u>184,156,402</u>	<u>\$ 4,162,001</u>	<u>\$1,170,477</u>	<u>\$ (534,738)</u>	<u>\$ (2,862)</u>	<u>\$ 5,918,255</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income for the period	\$ 111,514	\$ 109,597	\$ 304,927	\$ 290,464
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Debt extinguishment cost	—	—	40,282	—
Net impairment losses on investment securities	9,067	2,736	23,401	20,253
Provision for credit losses	(25,508)	375	(79,260)	28,056
Depreciation and amortization	42,134	47,810	133,893	167,119
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	5,832	6,458	(612)	25,513
Net decrease (increase) in trading securities	(11,876)	6,576	(10,005)	26,310
Net decrease (increase) in loans held for sale	49,696	(77,225)	173,142	(5,848)
Net write-downs of and gains/losses from sales of other real estate owned	(1,824)	309	(1,332)	13,650
Change in other liabilities	28,047	(10,692)	(17,463)	(41,222)
Change in other assets	70,753	21,314	243,428	110,137
Other, net	(5,362)	(13,692)	(16,568)	(32,064)
Net cash provided by operating activities	272,473	93,566	793,833	602,368

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Net decrease (increase) in money market investments	12,100	490,947	364,623	(356,703)
Proceeds from maturities and paydowns of investment securities held-to-maturity	14,404	37,016	95,841	91,701
Purchases of investment securities held-to-maturity	(8,121)	(4,450)	(128,089)	(38,188)
Proceeds from sales, maturities, and paydowns of investment securities available-for-sale	246,147	187,180	864,643	863,354
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(408,333)	(73,636)	(1,019,454)	(667,566)
Proceeds from sales of loans and leases	5,041	24,665	16,098	64,452
Net loan and lease originations	(112,316)	(397,845)	(744,263)	(379,615)
Net decrease (increase) in other noninterest-bearing investments	(62)	2,549	19,972	14,723
Net purchases of premises and equipment	(20,905)	(15,145)	(63,438)	(47,962)
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	27,417	59,876	80,076	157,760
Net cash received (paid) for divestitures	3,786	2,667	3,786	(19,901)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(240,842)	313,824	(510,205)	(317,945)

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net increase (decrease) in deposits	653,311	612,290	(463,707)	926,287
Net change in short-term funds borrowed	17,159	(392,564)	(78,848)	(243,356)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	116,461	49,082	484,408	648,468
Repayments of long-term debt	(153)	(7,604)	(570,167)	(262,783)
Debt extinguishment cost paid	—	—	(23,305)	—
Cash paid for preferred stock redemption	(799,468)	(700,000)	(799,468)	(1,542,500)
Proceeds from issuances of common and preferred stock	200,040	513	792,557	142,516
Dividends paid on common and preferred stock	(34,935)	(32,770)	(94,214)	(109,088)
Other, net	(2,061)	(92)	(7,709)	(7,399)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	150,354	(471,145)	(760,453)	(447,855)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks	181,985	(63,755)	(476,825)	(163,432)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	1,183,097	1,124,673	1,841,907	1,224,350
Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$ 1,365,082	\$ 1,060,918	\$ 1,365,082	\$ 1,060,918
Cash paid for interest	\$ 45,134	\$ 65,384	\$ 149,047	\$ 172,712
Net cash paid for income taxes	32,453	67,772	156,456	127,456

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

September 30, 2013

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Zions Bancorporation (“the Parent”) and its majority-owned subsidiaries (collectively “the Company,” “Zions,” “we,” “our,” “us”) have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. References to GAAP, including standards promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”), are made according to sections of the Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) and to Accounting Standards Updates (“ASU”). Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected in future periods. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 is from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company provides a full range of banking and related services through subsidiary banks in ten Western and Southwestern states as follows: Zions First National Bank (“Zions Bank”), in Utah and Idaho; California Bank & Trust (“CB&T”); Amegy Corporation (“Amegy”) and its subsidiary, Amegy Bank, in Texas; National Bank of Arizona (“NBAZ”); Nevada State Bank (“NSB”); Vectra Bank Colorado (“Vectra”), in Colorado and New Mexico; The Commerce Bank of Washington (“TCBW”); and The Commerce Bank of Oregon (“TCBO”). The Parent and its subsidiary banks also own and operate certain nonbank subsidiaries that engage in financial services.

2. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Noncash activities are summarized as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Loans transferred to other real estate owned	\$ 12,098	\$ 37,140	\$ 52,916	\$ 141,439
Beneficial conversion feature transferred from common stock to preferred stock as a result of subordinated debt conversions	—	917	206	14,519
Subordinated debt converted to preferred stock	—	5,386	1,210	85,352
Preferred stock transferred to common stock as a result of the Series C preferred stock redemption	580	—	580	—
Preferred stock/beneficial conversion feature transferred to retained earnings as a result of the Series C preferred stock redemption	125,700	—	125,700	—

3. CASH AND MONEY MARKET INVESTMENTS

Effective January 1, 2013, we adopted ASU 2013-01, *Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*, which limited the scope of ASU 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. This new guidance under ASC 210, *Balance Sheet*, applies to the offsetting of derivatives (including bifurcated embedded derivatives), repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase (or resell) agreements, and securities borrowing and lending transactions. To provide convergence with disclosures under International Financial

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Reporting Standards (“IFRS”), the new guidance requires entities to present both gross and net information about these financial instruments, including those subject to a master netting arrangement. The change in disclosure is required on a retrospective basis for all prior periods presented.

Security resell and repurchase agreements are offset in the balance sheet according to master netting agreements. Derivative instruments may be offset under their master netting agreements; however, for accounting purposes, we present these items on a gross basis in the Company’s balance sheet. See Note 6 for further information regarding derivative instruments.

Gross and net information for selected financial instruments in the balance sheet is as follows:

September 30, 2013						
(In thousands)				Gross amounts not offset in the balance sheet		
Description	Gross amounts recognized	Gross amounts offset in the balance sheet	Net amounts presented in the balance sheet	Financial instruments	Cash collateral received/pledged	Net amount
Assets:						
Federal funds sold and security resell agreements	\$ 209,070	\$ —	\$ 209,070	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 209,070
Derivatives (included in other assets)	55,568	—	55,568	(8,282)	—	47,286
	<u>\$ 264,638</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 264,638</u>	<u>\$ (8,282)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 256,356</u>
Liabilities:						
Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements	\$ 252,591	\$ —	\$ 252,591	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 252,591
Derivatives (included in other liabilities)	59,914	—	59,914	(8,282)	(37,232)	14,400
	<u>\$ 312,505</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 312,505</u>	<u>\$ (8,282)</u>	<u>\$ (37,232)</u>	<u>\$ 266,991</u>
December 31, 2012						
(In thousands)				Gross amounts not offset in the balance sheet		
Description	Gross amounts recognized	Gross amounts offset in the balance sheet	Net amounts presented in the balance sheet	Financial instruments	Cash collateral received/pledged	Net amount
Assets:						
Federal funds sold and security resell agreements	\$ 3,675,354	\$ (900,000)	\$ 2,775,354	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2,775,354
Derivatives (included in other assets)	81,810	—	81,810	(409)	—	81,401
	<u>\$ 3,757,164</u>	<u>\$ (900,000)</u>	<u>\$ 2,857,164</u>	<u>\$ (409)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,856,755</u>
Liabilities:						
Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements	\$ 1,220,478	\$ (900,000)	\$ 320,478	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 320,478
Derivatives (included in other liabilities)	89,100	—	89,100	(409)	(81,683)	7,008
	<u>\$ 1,309,578</u>	<u>\$ (900,000)</u>	<u>\$ 409,578</u>	<u>\$ (409)</u>	<u>\$ (81,683)</u>	<u>\$ 327,486</u>

4. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investment securities are summarized below. Note 9 discusses the process to estimate fair value for investment securities.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013						
	Amortized cost	Recognized in OCI		Carrying value	Not recognized in OCI		Estimated fair value
		Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses		Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	
Held-to-maturity							
Municipal securities	\$ 558,134	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 558,134	\$ 11,537	\$ 5,061	\$ 564,610
Asset-backed securities:							
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	255,159	—	54,432	200,727	5,879	55,058	151,548
Other	21,061	—	2,173	18,888	900	8,138	11,650
Other debt securities	100	—	—	100	—	—	100
	<u>834,454</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>56,605</u>	<u>777,849</u>	<u>18,316</u>	<u>68,257</u>	<u>727,908</u>
Available-for-sale							
U.S. Treasury securities	39,908	136	—	40,044			40,044
U.S. Government agencies and corporations:							
Agency securities	440,170	2,120	197	442,093			442,093
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	308,964	11,619	609	319,974			319,974
Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	1,139,211	27,829	1,614	1,165,426			1,165,426
Municipal securities	64,694	1,509	1,034	65,169			65,169
Asset-backed securities:							
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	1,521,628	14,391	534,123	1,001,896			1,001,896
Trust preferred securities – real estate investment trusts	39,391	—	19,874	19,517			19,517
Auction rate securities	6,506	135	16	6,625			6,625
Other	18,496	406	2,788	16,114			16,114
	<u>3,578,968</u>	<u>58,145</u>	<u>560,255</u>	<u>3,076,858</u>			<u>3,076,858</u>
Mutual funds and other	262,493	182	5,644	257,031			257,031
	<u>3,841,461</u>	<u>58,327</u>	<u>565,899</u>	<u>3,333,889</u>			<u>3,333,889</u>
Total	<u>\$ 4,675,915</u>	<u>\$ 58,327</u>	<u>\$ 622,504</u>	<u>\$ 4,111,738</u>			<u>\$ 4,061,797</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	December 31, 2012						
	Amortized cost	Recognized in OCI		Carrying value	Not recognized in OCI		Estimated fair value
		Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses		Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	
Held-to-maturity							
Municipal securities	\$ 524,738	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 524,738	\$ 12,837	\$ 709	\$ 536,866
Asset-backed securities:							
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	255,647	—	42,964	212,683	114	86,596	126,201
Other	21,858	—	2,470	19,388	709	8,523	11,574
Other debt securities	100	—	—	100	—	—	100
	<u>802,343</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>45,434</u>	<u>756,909</u>	<u>13,660</u>	<u>95,828</u>	<u>674,741</u>
Available-for-sale							
U.S. Treasury securities	104,313	211	—	104,524			104,524
U.S. Government agencies and corporations:							
Agency securities	108,814	3,959	116	112,657			112,657
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	406,928	18,598	16	425,510			425,510
Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	1,124,322	29,245	639	1,152,928			1,152,928
Municipal securities	75,344	2,622	1,970	75,996			75,996
Asset-backed securities:							
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	1,596,156	16,687	663,451	949,392			949,392
Trust preferred securities – real estate investment trusts	40,485	—	24,082	16,403			16,403
Auction rate securities	6,504	79	68	6,515			6,515
Other	25,614	701	6,941	19,374			19,374
	<u>3,488,480</u>	<u>72,102</u>	<u>697,283</u>	<u>2,863,299</u>			<u>2,863,299</u>
Mutual funds and other	228,469	194	652	228,011			228,011
	<u>3,716,949</u>	<u>72,296</u>	<u>697,935</u>	<u>3,091,310</u>			<u>3,091,310</u>
Total	<u>\$ 4,519,292</u>	<u>\$ 72,296</u>	<u>\$ 743,369</u>	<u>\$ 3,848,219</u>			<u>\$ 3,766,051</u>

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment debt securities are shown subsequently as of September 30, 2013 by expected maturity distribution for structured asset-backed collateralized debt obligations and by contractual maturity distribution for other debt securities. Actual maturities may differ from expected or contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties:

(In thousands)	Held-to-maturity		Available-for-sale	
	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value
Due in one year or less	\$ 66,525	\$ 66,502	\$ 466,546	\$ 441,052
Due after one year through five years	200,748	198,494	1,112,538	1,050,208
Due after five years through ten years	213,234	179,794	640,232	597,543
Due after ten years	353,947	283,118	1,359,652	988,055
	<u>\$ 834,454</u>	<u>\$ 727,908</u>	<u>\$ 3,578,968</u>	<u>\$ 3,076,858</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following is a summary of the amount of gross unrealized losses for investment securities and the estimated fair value by length of time the securities have been in an unrealized loss position:

	September 30, 2013					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
<i>(In thousands)</i>						
Held-to-maturity						
Municipal securities	\$ 4,247	\$ 91,497	\$ 814	\$ 10,915	\$ 5,061	\$ 102,412
Asset-backed securities:						
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	—	—	109,490	151,350	109,490	151,350
Other	—	—	10,311	11,291	10,311	11,291
	<u>4,247</u>	<u>91,497</u>	<u>120,615</u>	<u>173,556</u>	<u>124,862</u>	<u>265,053</u>
Available-for-sale						
U.S. Government agencies and corporations:						
Agency securities	119	24,255	78	6,495	197	30,750
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	605	41,847	4	609	609	42,456
Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	784	76,868	830	39,855	1,614	116,723
Municipal securities	20	2,871	1,014	8,507	1,034	11,378
Asset-backed securities:						
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	1,138	54,760	532,985	840,551	534,123	895,311
Trust preferred securities – real estate investment trusts	—	—	19,874	19,518	19,874	19,518
Auction rate securities	—	—	16	896	16	896
Other	—	—	2,788	13,514	2,788	13,514
	<u>2,666</u>	<u>200,601</u>	<u>557,589</u>	<u>929,945</u>	<u>560,255</u>	<u>1,130,546</u>
Mutual funds and other	5,644	124,448	—	—	5,644	124,448
	<u>8,310</u>	<u>325,049</u>	<u>557,589</u>	<u>929,945</u>	<u>565,899</u>	<u>1,254,994</u>
Total	<u>\$ 12,557</u>	<u>\$ 416,546</u>	<u>\$ 678,204</u>	<u>\$ 1,103,501</u>	<u>\$ 690,761</u>	<u>\$ 1,520,047</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	December 31, 2012					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated fair value
Held-to-maturity						
Municipal securities	\$ 630	\$ 42,613	\$ 79	\$ 5,910	\$ 709	\$ 48,523
Asset-backed securities:						
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	—	—	129,560	126,019	129,560	126,019
Other	—	—	10,993	10,904	10,993	10,904
	<u>630</u>	<u>42,613</u>	<u>140,632</u>	<u>142,833</u>	<u>141,262</u>	<u>185,446</u>
Available-for-sale						
U.S. Government agencies and corporations:						
Agency securities	35	18,633	81	6,916	116	25,549
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	10	6,032	6	629	16	6,661
Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	91	15,199	548	69,011	639	84,210
Municipal securities	61	4,898	1,909	11,768	1,970	16,666
Asset-backed securities:						
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	—	—	663,451	765,421	663,451	765,421
Trust preferred securities – real estate investment trusts	—	—	24,082	16,403	24,082	16,403
Auction rate securities	—	—	68	2,459	68	2,459
Other	—	—	6,941	15,234	6,941	15,234
	<u>197</u>	<u>44,762</u>	<u>697,086</u>	<u>887,841</u>	<u>697,283</u>	<u>932,603</u>
Mutual funds and other	652	112,324	—	—	652	112,324
	<u>849</u>	<u>157,086</u>	<u>697,086</u>	<u>887,841</u>	<u>697,935</u>	<u>1,044,927</u>
Total	<u>\$ 1,479</u>	<u>\$ 199,699</u>	<u>\$ 837,718</u>	<u>\$1,030,674</u>	<u>\$ 839,197</u>	<u>\$1,230,373</u>

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, 207 and 84 held-to-maturity (“HTM”) and 258 and 256 available -for-sale (“AFS”) investment securities were in an unrealized loss position.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

We conduct a formal review of investment securities on a quarterly basis for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”). We assess whether OTTI is present when the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date (the vast majority of the investment portfolio are debt securities). Under these circumstances, OTTI is considered to have occurred if (1) we intend to sell the security; (2) it is “more likely than not” we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis.

Credit-related OTTI is recognized in earnings while noncredit-related OTTI on securities not expected to be sold is recognized in other comprehensive income (“OCI”). Noncredit-related OTTI is based on other factors, including illiquidity. Presentation of OTTI is made in the statement of income on a gross basis with an offset for the amount of OTTI recognized in OCI. For securities classified as HTM, the amount of noncredit-related OTTI recognized in OCI is accreted using the effective interest rate method to the credit-adjusted expected cash flow amounts of the securities over future periods.

Our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K describes in more detail our OTTI evaluation process. The following summarizes the conclusions from our OTTI evaluation for those security types that have significant gross unrealized losses at September 30, 2013:

OTTI – Municipal Securities

The HTM securities are purchased directly from municipalities and are generally not rated by a credit rating agency. Most of the AFS securities are rated as investment grade by various credit rating agencies. Both the HTM and AFS securities are at fixed and variable rates with maturities from one to 25 years. We perform credit quality reviews on these securities at each reporting period. Because the decline in fair value is not attributable to credit quality, no OTTI for these securities was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

OTTI – Asset-Backed Securities

Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance: These collateralized debt obligation (“CDO”) securities are interests in variable rate pools of trust preferred securities issued by trusts related to bank holding companies and insurance companies (“collateral issuers”). They are rated by one or more Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (“NRSROs”), which are rating agencies registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The more junior securities were purchased generally at par, while the senior securities were purchased from Lockhart Funding LLC (“Lockhart”) at their carrying values (generally par) and then adjusted to their lower fair values. The primary drivers that have given rise to the unrealized losses on CDOs with bank and insurance collateral are listed below:

- 1) Market yield requirements for bank CDO securities remain high. The financial crisis and economic downturn resulted in significant utilization of both the unique five-year deferral option, which each collateral issuer maintains during the life of the CDO, and the payment in kind feature described subsequently. The resulting increase in the rate of return demanded by the market for trust preferred CDOs remains dramatically higher than the contractual interest rates. Virtually all structured asset-backed security (“ABS”) fair values, including bank CDOs, deteriorated significantly during the recent financial crisis, generally reaching a low in mid-2009. Prices for some structured products have since rebounded as the crucial unknowns related to value became resolved and as trading increased in these securities. Unlike these other structured products, CDO tranches backed by bank trust preferred securities continue to be characterized by considerable uncertainty surrounding collateral behavior, specifically including, but not limited to, prepayments; the future number, size and timing of bank failures; holding company bankruptcies; and allowed deferrals and subsequent resumption of payment or default due to nonpayment of contractual interest.
- 2) Structural features of the collateral make these CDO tranches difficult to model. The first feature unique to bank CDOs is the interest deferral feature previously noted. Throughout the financial crisis starting in 2008, certain banks within our CDO pools have exercised this prerogative. The extent to which these deferrals are likely to either transition to default or, alternatively, come current prior to the five-year deadline is extremely difficult for market participants to assess. Our CDO pools include an issuer that first exercised this deferral option during the second quarter of 2008 and failed to come current within the allowable 20 quarter deferral period causing default. At September 30, 2013, 107 banks underlying our CDO tranches had come current after a period of deferral, while 158 were deferring, but remained within the allowed deferral period.

A second structural feature that is difficult to model is the payment in kind (“PIK”) feature, which provides that upon reaching certain levels of collateral default or deferral, certain junior CDO tranches will not receive current interest but will instead have the interest amount that is unpaid capitalized or deferred. The cash flow that would otherwise be paid to the junior CDO securities is instead used to pay down the principal balance of the most senior CDO securities. The delay in payment caused by PIKING results in lower security fair values even if PIKING is projected to be fully cured. This feature is difficult to model and assess. It increases the risk premium the market applies to these securities.

- 3) Ratings are generally below investment grade for even some of the most senior tranches. Ratings on a number of CDO tranches vary significantly among rating agencies. The presence of a below-investment-grade rating by even a single rating agency will severely limit the pool of buyers, which causes greater

illiquidity and therefore most likely a higher implicit discount rate/lower price with regard to that CDO tranche.

- 4) There is a lack of consistent disclosure by each CDO's trustee of the identity of collateral issuers; in addition, complex structures make projecting tranche return profiles difficult for nonspecialists in the product.
- 5) At purchase, the expectation of cash flow variability was limited. As a result of the crisis, we have seen extreme variability of collateral performance both compared to expectations and between different pools.

Our ongoing review of these securities determined that OTTI should be recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Trust preferred securities – real estate investment trusts (“REITs”): These CDO securities are variable rate pools of trust preferred securities primarily related to REITs, and are rated by one or more NRSROs. They were purchased generally at par. Unrealized losses were caused mainly by severe deterioration in mortgage REITs and homebuilder credit in addition to the same factors previously discussed for banks and insurance CDOs. Based on our review, OTTI for these securities was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Other asset-backed securities: Most of these CDO securities were purchased in 2009 from Lockhart at their carrying values and then adjusted to fair value. Certain of these CDOs consist of ABS CDOs (also known as diversified structured finance CDOs). Unrealized losses since acquisition were caused mainly by deterioration in collateral quality and widening of credit spreads for asset backed securities. Based on our review, OTTI for one of these securities was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

OTTI – U.S. Government Agencies and Corporations

Small Business Administration (“SBA”) Loan-Backed Securities: These securities were generally purchased at premiums with maturities from five to 25 years and have principal cash flows guaranteed by the SBA. Because the decline in fair value is not attributable to credit quality, no OTTI for these securities was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

OTTI – Mutual Funds and Other

A substantial portion of these securities is included in a mutual fund that consists primarily of fixed rate residential and agriculture mortgage-backed securities issued by the Government National Mortgage Association (“GNMA”). Contractual cash flows in the pool of mortgage loans are backed by the U.S. Government. Because the decline in fair value is not attributable to credit quality, no OTTI for these securities was recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following is a tabular rollforward of the total amount of credit-related OTTI:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	HTM	AFS	Total	HTM	AFS	Total
Balance of credit-related OTTI at beginning of period	\$ (13,952)	\$ (406,577)	\$ (420,529)	\$ (13,549)	\$ (394,494)	\$ (408,043)
Additions recognized in earnings during the period:						
Credit-related OTTI on securities not previously impaired	—	(168)	(168)	(403)	(168)	(571)
Additional credit-related OTTI on securities previously impaired	—	(8,899)	(8,899)	—	(22,830)	(22,830)
Subtotal of amounts recognized in earnings	—	(9,067)	(9,067)	(403)	(22,998)	(23,401)
Reductions for securities sold or paid off during the period	—	—	—	—	1,848	1,848
Balance of credit-related OTTI at end of period	<u>\$ (13,952)</u>	<u>\$ (415,644)</u>	<u>\$ (429,596)</u>	<u>\$ (13,952)</u>	<u>\$ (415,644)</u>	<u>\$ (429,596)</u>

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		
	HTM	AFS	Total	HTM	AFS	Total
Balance of credit-related OTTI at beginning of period	\$ (6,467)	\$ (315,183)	\$ (321,650)	\$ (6,126)	\$ (314,860)	\$ (320,986)
Additions recognized in earnings during the period:						
Credit-related OTTI on securities not previously impaired	—	—	—	(341)	—	(341)
Additional credit-related OTTI on securities previously impaired	(657)	(2,079)	(2,736)	(657)	(19,255)	(19,912)
Subtotal of amounts recognized in earnings	(657)	(2,079)	(2,736)	(998)	(19,255)	(20,253)
Reductions for securities sold or paid off during the period	—	—	—	—	16,853	16,853
Balance of credit-related OTTI at end of period	<u>\$ (7,124)</u>	<u>\$ (317,262)</u>	<u>\$ (324,386)</u>	<u>\$ (7,124)</u>	<u>\$ (317,262)</u>	<u>\$ (324,386)</u>

To determine the credit component of OTTI for all security types, we utilize projected cash flows as the best estimate of fair value. These cash flows are credit adjusted using, among other things, assumptions for default probability assigned to each portion of performing and deferring collateral. The credit-adjusted cash flows are discounted at a security-specific coupon rate to identify any OTTI, and then at a market rate for valuation purposes.

For those securities with credit-related OTTI recognized in the statement of income, the amounts of pretax noncredit-related OTTI recognized in OCI were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
HTM	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 16,114	\$ 16,718
AFS	1,403	1,140	7,358	9,204
	<u>\$ 1,403</u>	<u>\$ 1,140</u>	<u>\$ 23,472</u>	<u>\$ 25,922</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following summarizes gains and losses, including OTTI, that were recognized in the statement of income:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012	
	Gross gains	Gross losses	Gross gains	Gross losses	Gross gains	Gross losses	Gross gains	Gross losses
Investment securities:								
Held-to-maturity	\$ 32	\$ —	\$ 22	\$ 657	\$ 63	\$ 403	\$ 120	\$ 998
Available-for-sale	1,551	9,070	3,026	2,081	7,989	27,324	14,955	25,045
Other noninterest-bearing investments:								
Nonmarketable equity securities	4,802	1,637	3,230	547	9,868	1,662	22,951	11,016
	6,385	10,707	6,278	3,285	17,920	29,389	38,026	37,059
Net gains (losses)		<u>\$ (4,322)</u>		<u>\$ 2,993</u>		<u>\$ (11,469)</u>		<u>\$ 967</u>
Statement of income information:								
Net impairment losses on investment securities		\$ (9,067)		\$ (2,736)		\$ (23,401)		\$ (20,253)
Equity securities gains, net		3,165		2,683		8,206		11,935
Fixed income securities gains, net		1,580		3,046		3,726		9,285
Net gains (losses)		<u>\$ (4,322)</u>		<u>\$ 2,993</u>		<u>\$ (11,469)</u>		<u>\$ 967</u>

Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recognized using the specific identification method and recorded in noninterest income.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, nontaxable interest income on securities was \$3.4 million and \$10.1 million in 2013 and \$4.2 million and \$13.7 million in 2012.

Securities with a carrying value of \$1.5 billion at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were pledged to secure public and trust deposits, advances, and for other purposes as required by law. Securities are also pledged as collateral for security repurchase agreements.

5. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Loans and Loans Held for Sale

Loans are summarized as follows according to major portfolio segment and specific loan class:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Loans held for sale	\$ 114,810	\$ 251,651
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 11,904,477	\$ 11,256,945
Leasing	375,125	422,513
Owner occupied	7,378,586	7,589,082
Municipal	448,643	494,183
Total commercial	20,106,831	19,762,723
Commercial real estate:		
Construction and land development	2,240,295	1,939,413
Term	7,928,540	8,062,819
Total commercial real estate	10,168,835	10,002,232
Consumer:		
Home equity credit line	2,124,328	2,177,680
1-4 family residential	4,636,895	4,350,329
Construction and other consumer real estate	321,436	321,235
Bankcard and other revolving plans	331,966	306,428
Other	207,578	216,379
Total consumer	7,622,203	7,372,051
FDIC-supported loans	374,861	528,241
Total loans	\$ 38,272,730	\$ 37,665,247

FDIC-supported loans were acquired during 2009 and are indemnified by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) under loss sharing agreements. The FDIC-supported loan balances presented in the accompanying schedules include purchased credit-impaired loans accounted for at their carrying values rather than their outstanding balances. See subsequent discussion under Purchased Loans.

Loan balances are presented net of unearned income and fees, which amounted to \$132.4 million at September 30, 2013 and \$137.5 million at December 31, 2012.

Owner occupied and commercial real estate loans include unamortized premiums of approximately \$49.9 million at September 30, 2013 and \$59.3 million at December 31, 2012.

Municipal loans generally include loans to municipalities with the debt service being repaid from general funds or pledged revenues of the municipal entity, or to private commercial entities or 501(c)(3) not-for-profit entities utilizing a pass-through municipal entity to achieve favorable tax treatment.

Loans with a carrying value of approximately \$22.4 billion at September 30, 2013 and \$21.1 billion at December 31, 2012 have been pledged at the Federal Reserve and various Federal Home Loan Banks as collateral for current and potential borrowings.

We sold loans totaling \$421 million and \$1,315 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and \$369 million and \$1,244 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, that were previously classified as loans held for sale. At the time of origination, we determine whether loans will be held for investment or held for sale. We may subsequently change our original intent to hold loans for investment and reclassify them as held for sale. Loans classified as loans held for sale primarily consist of conforming residential

mortgages. Amounts added to loans held for sale during these periods were \$374 million and \$1,152 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and \$452 million and \$1,260 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Income from loans sold, excluding servicing, was \$5 million and \$22 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and \$8 million and \$22 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses (“ACL”) consists of the allowance for loan and lease losses (“ALLL,” also referred to as the allowance for loan losses) and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments (“RULC”).

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The ALLL represents our estimate of probable and estimable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Losses are charged to the ALLL when recognized. Generally, commercial loans are charged off or charged down at the point at which they are determined to be uncollectible in whole or in part, or when 180 days past due unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. Consumer loans are either charged off or charged down to net realizable value no later than the month in which they become 180 days past due. Closed-end consumer loans that are not secured by residential real estate are either charged off or charged down to net realizable value no later than the month in which they become 120 days past due. We establish the amount of the ALLL by analyzing the portfolio at least quarterly, and we adjust the provision for loan losses so the ALLL is at an appropriate level at the balance sheet date.

We determine our ALLL as the best estimate within a range of estimated losses. The methodologies we use to estimate the ALLL depend upon the impairment status and portfolio segment of the loan. The methodology for impaired loans is discussed subsequently. For the commercial and commercial real estate (“CRE”) segments, we use a comprehensive loan grading system to assign probability of default (“PD”) and loss given default (“LGD”) grades to each loan. The credit quality indicators discussed subsequently are based on this grading system. PD and LGD grades are based on both financial and statistical models and loan officers’ judgment. We create groupings of these grades for each subsidiary bank and loan class and calculate historic loss rates using a loss migration analysis that attributes historic realized losses to these loan grade groupings over the period of January 2008 through the most recent full quarter.

For the consumer loan segment, we use roll rate models to forecast probable inherent losses. Roll rate models measure the rate at which consumer loans migrate from one delinquency category to the next worse delinquency category, and eventually to loss. We estimate roll rates for consumer loans using recent delinquency and loss experience by segmenting our consumer loan portfolio into separate pools based on common risk characteristics and separately calculating historical delinquency and loss experience for each pool. These roll rates are then applied to current delinquency levels to estimate probable inherent losses. Roll rates incorporate housing market trends inasmuch as these trends manifest themselves in charge-offs and delinquencies. In addition, our qualitative and environmental factors discussed subsequently incorporate the most recent housing market trends.

For FDIC-supported loans purchased with evidence of credit deterioration, we determine the ALLL according to separate accounting guidance. The accounting for these loans, including the allowance calculation, is described in the Purchased Loans section following.

The current status and historical changes in qualitative and environmental factors may not be reflected in our quantitative models. Thus, after applying historical loss experience, as described above, we review the quantitatively derived level of ALLL for each segment using qualitative criteria and use those criteria to determine our estimate within the range. We track various risk factors that influence our judgment regarding the level of the ALLL across the portfolio segments. These factors primarily include:

- Asset quality trends
- Risk management and loan administration practices

- Risk identification practices
- Effect of changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio
- Existence and effect of any portfolio concentrations
- National economic and business conditions
- Regional and local economic and business conditions
- Data availability and applicability

The magnitude of the impact of these factors on our qualitative assessment of the ALLL changes from quarter to quarter according to the extent these factors are already reflected in historic loss rates and according to the extent these factors diverge from one to another. We also consider the uncertainty inherent in the estimation process when evaluating the ALLL.

Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments

We also estimate a reserve for potential losses associated with off-balance sheet commitments, including standby letters of credit. We determine the RULC using the same procedures and methodologies that we use for the ALLL. The loss factors used in the RULC are the same as the loss factors used in the ALLL, and the qualitative adjustments used in the RULC are the same as the qualitative adjustments used in the ALLL. We adjust the Company's unfunded lending commitments that are not unconditionally cancelable to an outstanding amount equivalent using credit conversion factors and we apply the loss factors to the outstanding equivalents.

Changes in ACL Assumptions

We regularly evaluate the appropriateness of our loss estimation methods to reduce differences between estimated incurred losses and actual losses. During the third quarter of 2013, we changed certain assumptions, including the credit conversion factors, in our RULC estimation process, specifically the rate at which unfunded commitments are likely to convert into funded balances. This change resulted in a decrease of \$18.4 million to the provision for unfunded lending commitments. Additionally during the third quarter of 2013, we made refinements to our risk grading methodology for certain smaller balance loans to be more consistent with regulatory guidance and the manner in which those loans are managed. These refinements decreased the classified loan balances by approximately \$137 million and did not have a material effect on the overall level of the ACL or the provision for loan losses.

During the second quarter of 2013, we changed certain assumptions in our ACL estimation process including our loss migration model that we use to quantitatively estimate the ALLL and RULC for the commercial and commercial real estate segments. Prior to the second quarter of 2013, we used loss migration models based on loss experience over the most recent 60 months. During the second quarter of 2013 and subsequently, the loss migration models are based on loss experience from January 2008 through the most recent full quarter. We extended the period of loss experience to include the beginning of the year 2008 to encompass the last economic downturn period, as the improving charge-off rates experienced during recent periods may not be reflective of current incurred losses, given the environment of continued economic uncertainty. These refinements in the quantitative portion of the ACL did not have a material effect on the overall level of the ACL or the provision for loan losses.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Changes in the allowance for credit losses are summarized as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	FDIC-supported ¹	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 486,353	\$ 251,278	\$ 70,366	\$ 5,915	\$ 813,912
Additions:					
Provision for loan losses	(1,768)	(4,520)	569	146	(5,573)
Adjustment for FDIC-supported loans	—	—	—	(2,118)	(2,118)
Deductions:					
Gross loan and lease charge-offs	(11,465)	(5,738)	(5,535)	(88)	(22,826)
Recoveries	6,155	2,988	3,109	1,876	14,128
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(5,310)	(2,750)	(2,426)	1,788	(8,698)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 479,275</u>	<u>\$ 244,008</u>	<u>\$ 68,509</u>	<u>\$ 5,731</u>	<u>\$ 797,523</u>
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 63,272	\$ 39,454	\$ 1,356	\$ —	\$ 104,082
Provision charged (credited) to earnings	(16,133)	(6,010)	2,208	—	(19,935)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 47,139</u>	<u>\$ 33,444</u>	<u>\$ 3,564</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 84,147</u>
Total allowance for credit losses at end of period:					
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 479,275	\$ 244,008	\$ 68,509	\$ 5,731	\$ 797,523
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	47,139	33,444	3,564	—	84,147
Total allowance for credit losses	<u>\$ 526,414</u>	<u>\$ 277,452</u>	<u>\$ 72,073</u>	<u>\$ 5,731</u>	<u>\$ 881,670</u>

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	FDIC-supported ¹	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 510,908	\$ 276,976	\$ 95,656	\$ 12,547	\$ 896,087
Additions:					
Provision for loan losses	(10,179)	(34,370)	(12,725)	676	(56,598)
Adjustment for FDIC-supported loans	—	—	—	(9,756)	(9,756)
Deductions:					
Gross loan and lease charge-offs	(48,073)	(19,069)	(24,574)	(1,676)	(93,392)
Recoveries	26,619	20,471	10,152	3,940	61,182
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(21,454)	1,402	(14,422)	2,264	(32,210)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 479,275</u>	<u>\$ 244,008</u>	<u>\$ 68,509</u>	<u>\$ 5,731</u>	<u>\$ 797,523</u>
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 67,374	\$ 37,852	\$ 1,583	\$ —	\$ 106,809
Provision charged (credited) to earnings	(20,235)	(4,408)	1,981	—	(22,662)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 47,139</u>	<u>\$ 33,444</u>	<u>\$ 3,564</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 84,147</u>
Total allowance for credit losses at end of period:					
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 479,275	\$ 244,008	\$ 68,509	\$ 5,731	\$ 797,523
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	47,139	33,444	3,564	—	84,147
Total allowance for credit losses	<u>\$ 526,414</u>	<u>\$ 277,452</u>	<u>\$ 72,073</u>	<u>\$ 5,731</u>	<u>\$ 881,670</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012				
	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	FDIC-supported ¹	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 542,170	\$ 307,965	\$ 102,391	\$ 20,917	\$ 973,443
Additions:					
Provision for loan losses	(4,324)	2,157	3,351	(3,073)	(1,889)
Adjustment for FDIC-supported loans	—	—	—	(5,908)	(5,908)
Deductions:					
Gross loan and lease charge-offs	(26,424)	(20,264)	(11,391)	(702)	(58,781)
Recoveries	12,013	3,312	3,262	1,616	20,203
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(14,411)	(16,952)	(8,129)	914	(38,578)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 523,435</u>	<u>\$ 293,170</u>	<u>\$ 97,613</u>	<u>\$ 12,850</u>	<u>\$ 927,068</u>
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:					
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 70,553	\$ 31,663	\$ 1,370	\$ —	\$ 103,586
Provision charged (credited) to earnings	542	1,741	(19)	—	2,264
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 71,095</u>	<u>\$ 33,404</u>	<u>\$ 1,351</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 105,850</u>
Total allowance for credit losses at end of period:					
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 523,435	\$ 293,170	\$ 97,613	\$ 12,850	\$ 927,068
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	71,095	33,404	1,351	—	105,850
Total allowance for credit losses	<u>\$ 594,530</u>	<u>\$ 326,574</u>	<u>\$ 98,964</u>	<u>\$ 12,850</u>	<u>\$ 1,032,918</u>

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012				
	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	FDIC-supported ¹	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Balance at beginning of period	561,351	343,747	123,115	23,472	1,051,685
Additions:					
Provision for loan losses	20,859	(2,769)	9,989	(3,451)	24,628
Adjustment for FDIC-supported loans	—	—	—	(12,821)	(12,821)
Deductions:					
Gross loan and lease charge-offs	(91,477)	(70,098)	(45,722)	(5,183)	(212,480)
Recoveries	32,702	22,290	10,231	10,833	76,056
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(58,775)	(47,808)	(35,491)	5,650	(136,424)
Balance at end of period	<u>523,435</u>	<u>293,170</u>	<u>97,613</u>	<u>12,850</u>	<u>927,068</u>
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments:					
Balance at beginning of period	77,232	23,572	1,618	—	102,422
Provision charged (credited) to earnings	(6,137)	9,832	(267)	—	3,428
Balance at end of period	<u>71,095</u>	<u>33,404</u>	<u>1,351</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>105,850</u>
Total allowance for credit losses at end of period:					
Allowance for loan losses	523,435	293,170	97,613	12,850	927,068
Reserve for unfunded lending commitments	71,095	33,404	1,351	—	105,850
Total allowance for credit losses	<u>594,530</u>	<u>326,574</u>	<u>98,964</u>	<u>12,850</u>	<u>1,032,918</u>

¹ The Purchased Loans section following contains further discussion related to FDIC-supported loans.

During the first quarter of 2013, we modified the reporting of certain ALLL balances in the previous schedules. This change in reporting resulted in the reclassification of approximately \$83.2 million at December 31, 2012, \$83.1

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

million at September 30, 2012, and \$68.2 million at December 31, 2011 of ALLL balances from the commercial to the commercial real estate loan segments. There was no change to the methodology or assumptions used to estimate the ALLL, nor was the change the result of any changes in credit quality.

The ALLL and outstanding loan balances according to the Company's impairment method are summarized as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013				
	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	FDIC-supported	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 39,950	\$ 15,117	\$ 12,189	\$ —	\$ 67,256
Collectively evaluated for impairment	439,325	228,891	56,320	607	725,143
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration	—	—	—	5,124	5,124
Total	<u>\$ 479,275</u>	<u>\$ 244,008</u>	<u>\$ 68,509</u>	<u>\$ 5,731</u>	<u>\$ 797,523</u>
Outstanding loan balances:					
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 327,999	\$ 322,996	\$ 104,308	\$ 1,051	\$ 756,354
Collectively evaluated for impairment	19,778,832	9,845,839	7,517,895	40,559	37,183,125
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration	—	—	—	333,251	333,251
Total	<u>\$ 20,106,831</u>	<u>\$ 10,168,835</u>	<u>\$ 7,622,203</u>	<u>\$ 374,861</u>	<u>\$ 38,272,730</u>

<i>(In thousands)</i>	December 31, 2012				
	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Consumer	FDIC-supported	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 30,587	\$ 22,295	\$ 13,758	\$ —	\$ 66,640
Collectively evaluated for impairment	480,321	254,681	81,898	422	817,322
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration	—	—	—	12,125	12,125
Total	<u>\$ 510,908</u>	<u>\$ 276,976</u>	<u>\$ 95,656</u>	<u>\$ 12,547</u>	<u>\$ 896,087</u>
Outstanding loan balances:					
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 353,380	\$ 437,647	\$ 112,320	\$ 1,149	\$ 904,496
Collectively evaluated for impairment	19,409,343	9,564,585	7,259,731	57,896	36,291,555
Purchased loans with evidence of credit deterioration	—	—	—	469,196	469,196
Total	<u>\$ 19,762,723</u>	<u>\$ 10,002,232</u>	<u>\$ 7,372,051</u>	<u>\$ 528,241</u>	<u>\$ 37,665,247</u>

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans

Loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when payment in full of principal and interest is not expected, or the loan is 90 days or more past due as to principal or interest, unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection. Factors we consider in determining whether a loan is placed on nonaccrual include delinquency status, collateral value, borrower or guarantor financial statement information, bankruptcy status, and other information which would indicate that the full and timely collection of interest and principal is uncertain.

A nonaccrual loan may be returned to accrual status when all delinquent interest and principal become current in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement; the loan, if secured, is well secured; the borrower has paid according to the contractual terms for a minimum of six months; and analysis of the borrower indicates a reasonable assurance of the ability and willingness to maintain payments. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied as a reduction to the principal outstanding.

Closed-end loans with payments scheduled monthly are reported as past due when the borrower is in arrears for two or more monthly payments. Similarly, open-end credit such as charge-card plans and other revolving credit plans are

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

reported as past due when the minimum payment has not been made for two or more billing cycles. Other multi-payment obligations (i.e., quarterly, semiannual, etc.), single payment, and demand notes are reported as past due when either principal or interest is due and unpaid for a period of 30 days or more.

Nonaccrual loans are summarized as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 100,188	\$ 90,859
Leasing	796	838
Owner occupied	157,609	206,031
Municipal	10,006	9,234
Total commercial	268,599	306,962
Commercial real estate:		
Construction and land development	65,320	107,658
Term	60,378	124,615
Total commercial real estate	125,698	232,273
Consumer:		
Home equity credit line	8,425	14,247
1-4 family residential	57,658	70,180
Construction and other consumer real estate	4,080	4,560
Bankcard and other revolving plans	1,186	1,190
Other	1,149	1,398
Total consumer loans	72,498	91,575
FDIC-supported loans	4,744	17,343
Total	\$ 471,539	\$ 648,153

Past due loans (accruing and nonaccruing) are summarized as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013					Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Nonaccrual loans that are current
	Current	30-89 days past due	90+ days past due	Total past due	Total loans		
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 11,820,258	\$ 28,268	\$ 55,951	\$ 84,219	\$ 11,904,477	\$ 2,280	\$ 44,546
Leasing	374,200	323	602	925	375,125	—	—
Owner occupied	7,289,080	39,538	49,968	89,506	7,378,586	3,106	97,378
Municipal	448,643	—	—	—	448,643	—	10,006
Total commercial	19,932,181	68,129	106,521	174,650	20,106,831	5,386	151,930
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	2,200,392	21,609	18,294	39,903	2,240,295	—	28,140
Term	7,885,730	17,940	24,870	42,810	7,928,540	2,361	33,780
Total commercial real estate	10,086,122	39,549	43,164	82,713	10,168,835	2,361	61,920
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	2,116,048	4,357	3,923	8,280	2,124,328	—	3,226
1-4 family residential	4,598,613	13,816	24,466	38,282	4,636,895	551	28,850
Construction and other consumer real estate	317,305	1,574	2,557	4,131	321,436	368	1,738
Bankcard and other revolving plans	329,055	1,951	960	2,911	331,966	669	840
Other	205,798	822	958	1,780	207,578	63	70
Total consumer loans	7,566,819	22,520	32,864	55,384	7,622,203	1,651	34,724
FDIC-supported loans	339,085	11,162	24,614	35,776	374,861	22,450	2,400
Total	\$ 37,924,207	\$ 141,360	\$ 207,163	\$ 348,523	\$ 38,272,730	\$ 31,848	\$ 250,974

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

December 31, 2012

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Current	30-89 days past due	90+ days past due	Total past due	Total loans	Accruing loans 90+ days past due	Nonaccrual loans that are current
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 11,124,639	\$ 73,555	\$ 58,751	\$ 132,306	\$ 11,256,945	\$ 4,013	\$ 32,389
Leasing	421,590	115	808	923	422,513	—	—
Owner occupied	7,447,083	56,504	85,495	141,999	7,589,082	1,822	100,835
Municipal	494,183	—	—	—	494,183	—	9,234
Total commercial	19,487,495	130,174	145,054	275,228	19,762,723	5,835	142,458
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	1,836,284	66,139	36,990	103,129	1,939,413	853	50,044
Term	7,984,819	24,730	53,270	78,000	8,062,819	107	54,546
Total commercial real estate	9,821,103	90,869	90,260	181,129	10,002,232	960	104,590
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	2,169,722	4,036	3,922	7,958	2,177,680	—	8,846
1-4 family residential	4,282,611	24,060	43,658	67,718	4,350,329	1,423	21,945
Construction and other consumer real estate	314,931	4,344	1,960	6,304	321,235	395	2,500
Bankcard and other revolving plans	302,587	2,439	1,402	3,841	306,428	1,010	721
Other	213,930	1,411	1,038	2,449	216,379	107	275
Total consumer loans	7,283,781	36,290	51,980	88,270	7,372,051	2,935	34,287
FDIC-supported loans	454,333	12,407	61,501	73,908	528,241	52,033	7,393
Total	\$ 37,046,712	\$ 269,740	\$ 348,795	\$ 618,535	\$ 37,665,247	\$ 61,763	\$ 288,728

¹ Represents nonaccrual loans that are not past due more than 30 days; however, full payment of principal and interest is still not expected.

Credit Quality Indicators

In addition to the past due and nonaccrual criteria, we also analyze loans using a loan grading system. We generally assign internal grades to loans with commitments less than \$750,000 based on the performance of those loans. Performance-based grades follow our definitions of Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful, which are consistent with published definitions of regulatory risk classifications.

Definitions of Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful are summarized as follows:

Pass: A Pass asset is higher quality and does not fit any of the other categories described below. The likelihood of loss is considered remote.

Special Mention: A Special Mention asset has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or in the bank's credit position at some future date.

Substandard: A Substandard asset is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified have well defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the bank may sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful: A Doubtful asset has all the weaknesses inherent in a Substandard asset with the added characteristics that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable.

We generally assign internal grades to commercial and CRE loans with commitments equal to or greater than \$750,000 based on financial and statistical models, individual credit analysis, and loan officer judgment. For these

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

larger loans, we assign multiple grades within the Pass classification or one of the following four grades: Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful, and Loss. Loss indicates that the outstanding balance has been charged off. We evaluate our credit quality information such as risk grades at least quarterly, or as soon as we identify information that might warrant an upgrade or downgrade. Risk grades are then updated as necessary.

For consumer loans, we generally assign internal risk grades similar to those described previously based on payment performance. These are generally assigned either a Pass or Substandard grade and are reviewed as we identify information that might warrant an upgrade or downgrade. During the third quarter of 2013, we refined our risk grading methodology for certain smaller balance loans.

Outstanding loan balances (accruing and nonaccruing) categorized by these credit quality indicators are summarized as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013					
	Pass	Special Mention	Sub- standard	Doubtful	Total loans	Total allowance
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 11,176,352	\$ 331,846	\$ 391,335	\$ 4,944	\$ 11,904,477	
Leasing	369,152	2,875	3,098	—	375,125	
Owner occupied	6,760,607	131,895	484,779	1,305	7,378,586	
Municipal	438,637	—	10,006	—	448,643	
Total commercial	18,744,748	466,616	889,218	6,249	20,106,831	\$ 479,275
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	2,094,934	15,250	127,875	2,236	2,240,295	
Term	7,414,358	213,538	300,644	—	7,928,540	
Total commercial real estate	9,509,292	228,788	428,519	2,236	10,168,835	244,008
Consumer:						
Home equity credit line	2,105,327	—	19,001	—	2,124,328	
1-4 family residential	4,563,611	—	73,284	—	4,636,895	
Construction and other consumer real estate	312,046	—	9,390	—	321,436	
Bankcard and other revolving plans	329,442	—	2,524	—	331,966	
Other	206,174	—	1,404	—	207,578	
Total consumer loans	7,516,600	—	105,603	—	7,622,203	68,509
FDIC-supported loans	253,788	20,401	100,672	—	374,861	5,731
Total	\$ 36,024,428	\$ 715,805	\$ 1,524,012	\$ 8,485	\$ 38,272,730	\$ 797,523

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	December 31, 2012					
	Pass	Special Mention	Sub- standard	Doubtful	Total loans	Total allowance
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 10,717,594	\$ 198,645	\$ 336,230	\$ 4,476	\$ 11,256,945	
Leasing	419,482	226	2,805	—	422,513	
Owner occupied	6,833,923	138,539	612,011	4,609	7,589,082	
Municipal	453,193	31,756	9,234	—	494,183	
Total commercial	18,424,192	369,166	960,280	9,085	19,762,723	\$ 510,908
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	1,648,215	57,348	233,374	476	1,939,413	
Term	7,433,789	237,201	388,914	2,915	8,062,819	
Total commercial real estate	9,082,004	294,549	622,288	3,391	10,002,232	276,976
Consumer:						
Home equity credit line	2,138,693	85	38,897	5	2,177,680	
1-4 family residential	4,234,426	4,316	111,063	524	4,350,329	
Construction and other consumer real estate	313,499	218	7,518	—	321,235	
Bankcard and other revolving plans	298,665	23	7,740	—	306,428	
Other	209,293	3,211	3,875	—	216,379	
Total consumer loans	7,194,576	7,853	169,093	529	7,372,051	95,656
FDIC-supported loans	327,609	24,980	175,652	—	528,241	12,547
Total	\$ 35,028,381	\$ 696,548	\$ 1,927,313	\$ 13,005	\$ 37,665,247	\$ 896,087

Impaired Loans

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled interest payments. For our non-purchased credit impaired loans, if a nonaccrual loan has a balance greater than \$1 million or if a loan is a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”), including TDRs that subsequently default, we evaluate the loan for impairment and estimate a specific reserve for the loan for all portfolio segments under applicable accounting guidance. Smaller nonaccrual loans are pooled for ALLL estimation purposes. Purchased credit impaired (“PCI”) loans in our FDIC-supported portfolio segment are included in impaired loans and are accounted for under separate accounting guidance. See subsequent discussion under Purchased Loans.

When a loan is impaired, we estimate a specific reserve for the loan based on the projected present value of the loan’s future cash flows discounted at the loan’s effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the loan’s underlying collateral less the cost to sell. The process of estimating future cash flows also incorporates the same determining factors discussed previously under nonaccrual loans. When we base the impairment amount on the fair value of the loan’s underlying collateral, we generally charge off the portion of the balance that is impaired, such that these loans do not have a specific reserve in the ALLL. Payments received on impaired loans that are accruing are recognized in interest income, according to the contractual loan agreement. Payments received on impaired loans that are on nonaccrual are not recognized in interest income, but are applied as a reduction to the principal outstanding. The amount of interest income recognized on a cash basis during the time the loans were impaired within the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was not significant.

Information on impaired loans individually evaluated is summarized as follows, including the average recorded investment and interest income recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

September 30, 2013

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment		Total recorded investment	Related allowance
		with no allowance	with allowance		
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 176,337	\$ 29,242	\$ 124,439	\$ 153,681	\$ 20,670
Owner occupied	166,488	50,883	102,850	153,733	15,668
Total commercial	342,825	80,125	227,289	307,414	36,338
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	130,435	48,912	64,479	113,391	3,572
Term	207,519	47,754	134,593	182,347	10,243
Total commercial real estate	337,954	96,666	199,072	295,738	13,815
Consumer:					
Home equity credit line	15,056	9,780	2,464	12,244	182
1-4 family residential	101,913	39,774	46,529	86,303	11,778
Construction and other consumer real estate	6,238	3,169	1,603	4,772	229
Other	753	753	—	753	—
Total consumer loans	123,960	53,476	50,596	104,072	12,189
FDIC-supported loans	441,347	121,381	212,921	334,302	5,124
Total	\$ 1,246,086	\$ 351,648	\$ 689,878	\$ 1,041,526	\$ 67,466

December 31, 2012

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment		Total recorded investment	Related allowance
		with no allowance	with allowance		
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 176,521	\$ 27,035	\$ 119,780	\$ 146,815	\$ 12,198
Owner occupied	210,319	79,413	106,282	185,695	17,105
Total commercial	386,840	106,448	226,062	332,510	29,303
Commercial real estate:					
Construction and land development	182,385	67,241	85,855	153,096	5,178
Term	310,242	70,718	187,112	257,830	16,725
Total commercial real estate	492,627	137,959	272,967	410,926	21,903
Consumer:					
Home equity credit line	14,339	8,055	3,444	11,499	297
1-4 family residential	108,934	42,602	49,867	92,469	12,921
Construction and other consumer real estate	7,054	2,710	3,085	5,795	517
Bankcard and other revolving plans	287	—	287	287	1
Other	2,454	1,832	175	2,007	22
Total consumer loans	133,068	55,199	56,858	112,057	13,758
FDIC-supported loans	895,804	275,187	195,158	470,345	12,125
Total	\$ 1,908,339	\$ 574,793	\$ 751,045	\$ 1,325,838	\$ 77,089

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 199,217	\$ 1,105	\$ 175,040	\$ 2,594
Owner occupied	217,439	915	211,225	2,810
Municipal	—	—	—	—
Total commercial	416,656	2,020	386,265	5,404
Commercial real estate:				
Construction and land development	135,013	623	139,194	2,754
Term	288,980	1,969	285,307	5,571
Total commercial real estate	423,993	2,592	424,501	8,325
Consumer:				
Home equity credit line	14,606	120	12,943	262
1-4 family residential	103,379	457	100,012	1,171
Construction and other consumer real estate	5,501	49	5,767	141
Bankcard and other revolving plans	—	—	—	—
Other	1,751	—	1,784	—
Total consumer loans	125,237	626	120,506	1,574
FDIC-supported loans	363,293	20,004 ¹	404,826	79,153 ¹
Total	\$ 1,329,179	\$ 25,242	\$ 1,336,098	\$ 94,456

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	
	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 133,120	\$ 830	\$ 128,930	\$ 2,395
Owner occupied	187,072	558	168,328	1,729
Municipal	3,943	—	1,314	—
Total commercial	324,135	1,388	298,572	4,124
Commercial real estate:				
Construction and land development	205,295	1,133	188,064	2,780
Term	288,735	1,723	258,159	5,806
Total commercial real estate	494,030	2,856	446,223	8,586
Consumer:				
Home equity credit line	742	3	847	6
1-4 family residential	86,103	431	79,993	1,162
Construction and other consumer real estate	6,764	43	6,641	128
Bankcard and other revolving plans	287	—	128	—
Other	1,972	—	2,320	—
Total consumer loans	95,868	477	89,929	1,296
FDIC-supported loans	94,890	14,055 ¹	102,709	34,202 ¹
Total	\$ 1,008,923	\$ 18,776	\$ 937,433	\$ 48,208

¹ The balance of interest income recognized results primarily from accretion of interest income on impaired FDIC-supported loans.

Modified and Restructured Loans

Loans may be modified in the normal course of business for competitive reasons or to strengthen the Company's position. Loan modifications and restructurings may also occur when the borrower experiences financial difficulty and needs temporary or permanent relief from the original contractual terms of the loan. These modifications are structured on a loan-by-loan basis and, depending on the circumstances, may include extended payment terms, a modified interest rate, forgiveness of principal, or other concessions. Loans that have been modified to accommodate a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulties, and for which the Company has granted a concession that it would not otherwise consider, are considered TDRs.

We consider many factors in determining whether to agree to a loan modification involving concessions, and seek a solution that will both minimize potential loss to the Company and attempt to help the borrower. We evaluate borrowers' current and forecasted future cash flows, their ability and willingness to make current contractual or proposed modified payments, the value of the underlying collateral (if applicable), the possibility of obtaining additional security or guarantees, and the potential costs related to a repossession or foreclosure and the subsequent sale of the collateral.

TDRs are classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. A loan on nonaccrual and restructured as a TDR will remain on nonaccrual status until the borrower has proven the ability to perform under the modified structure for a minimum of six months, and there is evidence that such payments can and are likely to continue as agreed. Performance prior to the restructuring, or significant events that coincide with the restructuring, are included in assessing whether the borrower can meet the new terms and may result in the loan being returned to accrual at the time of restructuring or after a shorter performance period. If the borrower's ability to meet the revised payment schedule is uncertain, the loan remains classified as a nonaccrual loan. A TDR loan that specifies an interest rate that at the time of the restructuring is greater than or equal to the rate the bank is willing to accept for a new loan with comparable risk may not be reported as a TDR or an impaired loan in the calendar years subsequent to the restructuring if it is in compliance with its modified terms.

Selected information on TDRs that includes the recorded investment on an accruing and nonaccruing basis by loan class and modification type is summarized in the following schedules:

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

September 30, 2013							
(In thousands)	Recorded investment resulting from the following modification types:						
	Interest rate below market	Maturity or term extension	Principal forgiveness	Payment deferral	Other ¹	Multiple modification types ²	Total
Accruing							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 355	\$ 15,794	\$ —	\$ 6,856	\$ 14,326	\$ 34,928	\$ 72,259
Owner occupied	22,121	4,768	994	2,961	10,145	19,866	60,855
Total commercial	22,476	20,562	994	9,817	24,471	54,794	133,114
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	2,062	11,688	—	1,120	8,836	27,962	51,668
Term	34,482	9,516	5,331	5,766	22,405	58,945	136,445
Total commercial real estate	36,544	21,204	5,331	6,886	31,241	86,907	188,113
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	743	—	8,922	—	325	335	10,325
1-4 family residential	2,649	1,294	7,861	647	4,482	33,613	50,546
Construction and other consumer real estate	133	963	—	—	144	1,455	2,695
Other	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total consumer loans	3,525	2,257	16,783	647	4,951	35,403	63,566
Total accruing	62,545	44,023	23,108	17,350	60,663	177,104	384,793
Nonaccruing							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	2,609	8,958	68	156	1,362	13,416	26,569
Owner occupied	3,096	1,471	1,074	3,823	11,182	12,944	33,590
Total commercial	5,705	10,429	1,142	3,979	12,544	26,360	60,159
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	11,822	1,750	—	—	5,591	40,008	59,171
Term	2,480	—	—	2,051	325	9,780	14,636
Total commercial real estate	14,302	1,750	—	2,051	5,916	49,788	73,807
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	—	—	1,575	—	222	130	1,927
1-4 family residential	4,455	1,420	1,787	—	4,440	16,353	28,455
Construction and other consumer real estate	5	926	—	—	—	1,034	1,965
Bankcard and other revolving plans	—	260	—	—	—	—	260
Total consumer loans	4,460	2,606	3,362	—	4,662	17,517	32,607
Total nonaccruing	24,467	14,785	4,504	6,030	23,122	93,665	166,573
Total	\$ 87,012	\$ 58,808	\$ 27,612	\$ 23,380	\$ 83,785	\$ 270,769	\$ 551,366

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

	December 31, 2012						
	Recorded investment resulting from the following modification types:						
(In thousands)	Interest rate below market	Maturity or term extension	Principal forgiveness	Payment deferral	Other ¹	Multiple modification types ²	Total
Accruing							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 5,388	\$ 6,139	\$ —	\$ 3,585	\$ 17,647	\$ 44,684	\$ 77,443
Owner occupied	20,963	12,104	—	4,013	9,305	13,598	59,983
Total commercial	26,351	18,243	—	7,598	26,952	58,282	137,426
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	1,718	9,868	2	59	8,432	30,248	50,327
Term	30,118	1,854	8,433	3,807	32,302	82,809	159,323
Total commercial real estate	31,836	11,722	8,435	3,866	40,734	113,057	209,650
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	744	—	5,965	—	300	218	7,227
1-4 family residential	2,665	1,324	5,923	147	3,319	36,199	49,577
Construction and other consumer real estate	147	—	—	—	641	2,354	3,142
Other	—	3	—	—	1	—	4
Total consumer loans	3,556	1,327	11,888	147	4,261	38,771	59,950
Total accruing	61,743	31,292	20,323	11,611	71,947	210,110	407,026
Nonaccruing							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	318	5,667	—	480	2,035	17,379	25,879
Owner occupied	3,822	4,816	654	4,701	7,643	7,803	29,439
Total commercial	4,140	10,483	654	5,181	9,678	25,182	55,318
Commercial real estate:							
Construction and land development	18,255	1,308	—	—	1,807	68,481	89,851
Term	3,042	536	—	2,645	9,389	17,718	33,330
Total commercial real estate	21,297	1,844	—	2,645	11,196	86,199	123,181
Consumer:							
Home equity credit line	—	—	4,008	—	131	143	4,282
1-4 family residential	4,697	5,637	4,048	—	1,693	14,240	30,315
Construction and other consumer real estate	7	1,671	—	—	—	243	1,921
Bankcard and other revolving plans	—	287	—	—	—	—	287
Other	—	—	—	172	—	—	172
Total consumer loans	4,704	7,595	8,056	172	1,824	14,626	36,977
Total nonaccruing	30,141	19,922	8,710	7,998	22,698	126,007	215,476
Total	\$ 91,884	\$ 51,214	\$ 29,033	\$ 19,609	\$ 94,645	\$ 336,117	\$ 622,502

¹ Includes TDRs that resulted from other modification types including, but not limited to, a legal judgment awarded on different terms, a bankruptcy plan confirmed on different terms, a settlement that includes the delivery of collateral in exchange for debt reduction, etc.

² Includes TDRs that resulted from a combination of any of the previous modification types.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Unused commitments to extend credit on TDRs amounted to approximately \$6 million at September 30, 2013 and \$13 million at December 31, 2012.

The total recorded investment of all TDRs in which interest rates were modified below market was \$204.9 million at September 30, 2013 and \$225.6 million at December 31, 2012. These loans are included in the previous table in the columns for interest rate below market and multiple modification types.

The net financial impact on interest income due to interest rate modifications below market for accruing TDRs is summarized in the following schedule:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ (107)	\$ (134)	\$ (308)	\$ (157)
Owner occupied	(1,061)	(309)	(3,158)	(1,012)
Total commercial	(1,168)	(443)	(3,466)	(1,169)
Commercial real estate:				
Construction and land development	(237)	(273)	(755)	(742)
Term	(2,134)	(1,443)	(7,285)	(4,469)
Total commercial real estate	(2,371)	(1,716)	(8,040)	(5,211)
Consumer:				
Home equity credit line	(24)	(18)	(97)	(51)
1-4 family residential	(3,700)	(4,204)	(11,313)	(12,045)
Construction and other consumer real estate	(106)	(230)	(324)	(445)
Total consumer loans	(3,830)	(4,452)	(11,734)	(12,541)
Total decrease to interest income ¹	<u>\$ (7,369)</u>	<u>\$ (6,611)</u>	<u>\$ (23,240)</u>	<u>\$ (18,921)</u>

¹Calculated based on the difference between the modified rate and the premodified rate applied to the recorded investment.

On an ongoing basis, we monitor the performance of all TDRs according to their restructured terms. Subsequent payment default is defined in terms of delinquency, when principal or interest payments are past due 90 days or more for commercial loans, or 60 days or more for consumer loans.

The recorded investment of accruing and nonaccruing TDRs that had a payment default during the period listed below (and are still in default at period-end) and are within 12 months or less of being modified as TDRs is as follows:

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Accruing	Nonaccruing	Total	Accruing	Nonaccruing	Total
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ —	\$ 660	\$ 660	\$ —	\$ 660	\$ 660
Owner occupied	—	—	—	—	511	511
Total commercial	—	660	660	—	1,171	1,171
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	—	—	—	—	—	—
Term	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial real estate	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer:						
Home equity credit line	—	222	222	—	307	307
1-4 family residential	—	—	—	—	1,372	1,372
Total consumer loans	—	222	222	—	1,679	1,679
Total	\$ —	\$ 882	\$ 882	\$ —	\$ 2,850	\$ 2,850

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		
	Accruing	Nonaccruing	Total	Accruing	Nonaccruing	Total
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ —	\$ 107	\$ 107	\$ —	\$ 1,441	\$ 1,441
Owner occupied	—	—	—	—	5,405	5,405
Total commercial	—	107	107	—	6,846	6,846
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	—	2,229	2,229	—	2,525	2,525
Term	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total commercial real estate	—	2,229	2,229	—	2,525	2,525
Consumer:						
Home equity credit line	—	—	—	—	—	—
1-4 family residential	—	—	—	—	1,089	1,089
Total consumer loans	—	—	—	—	1,089	1,089
Total	\$ —	\$ 2,336	\$ 2,336	\$ —	\$ 10,460	\$ 10,460

Note: Total loans modified as TDRs during the 12 months previous to September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$178.8 million and \$164.6 million, respectively.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

We perform an ongoing analysis of our loan portfolio to evaluate whether there is any significant exposure to any concentrations of credit risk. These potential concentrations include, but are not limited to, individual borrowers, groups of borrowers, industries, geographies, collateral types, sponsors, etc. Such credit risks (whether on- or off-balance sheet) may occur when groups of borrowers or counterparties have similar economic characteristics and are similarly affected by changes in economic or other conditions. Credit risk also includes the loss that would be recognized subsequent to the reporting date if counterparties failed to perform as contracted. Our analysis as of September 30, 2013 concluded that no significant exposure exists from such credit risk concentrations. See Note 6 for a discussion of counterparty risk associated with the Company's derivative transactions.

Purchased Loans

Background and Accounting

We purchase loans in the ordinary course of business and account for them and the related interest income based on their performing status at the time of acquisition. PCI loans have evidence of credit deterioration at the time of

acquisition and it is probable that not all contractual payments will be collected. Interest income for PCI loans is accounted for on an expected cash flow basis. Certain other loans acquired by the Company that are not credit-impaired include loans with revolving privileges and are excluded from the PCI tabular disclosures following. Interest income for these loans is accounted for on a contractual cash flow basis. Certain acquired loans with similar characteristics such as risk exposure, type, size, etc., are grouped and accounted for in loan pools.

During 2009, CB&T and NSB acquired failed banks from the FDIC as receiver and entered into loss sharing agreements with the FDIC for the acquired loans and foreclosed assets. According to the agreements, the FDIC assumes 80% of credit losses up to a threshold specified for each acquisition and 95% above that threshold for a period of five years, or in 2014. The covered portfolio primarily consists of commercial loans. The agreements expire after ten years, or in 2019, for single family residential loans. The loans acquired from the FDIC are presented separately in the Company's balance sheet as "FDIC-supported loans" and include both PCI and certain other acquired loans. Upon acquisition, in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the acquired loans were recorded at their fair value without a corresponding ALLL.

Outstanding Balances and Accretable Yield

The outstanding balances of all required payments and the related carrying amounts for PCI loans are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial	\$ 164,760	\$ 227,414
Commercial real estate	254,507	382,068
Consumer	31,675	41,398
Outstanding balance	<u>\$ 450,942</u>	<u>\$ 650,880</u>
Carrying amount	\$ 334,473	\$ 472,040
ALLL	5,077	12,077
Carrying amount, net	<u>\$ 329,396</u>	<u>\$ 459,963</u>

At the time of acquisition of PCI loans, we determine the loan's contractually required payments in excess of all cash flows expected to be collected as an amount that should not be accreted (nonaccretable difference). With respect to the cash flows expected to be collected, the portion representing the excess of the loan's expected cash flows over our initial investment (accretable yield) is accreted into interest income on a level yield basis over the remaining expected life of the loan or pool of loans. The effects of estimated prepayments are considered in estimating the expected cash flows.

Certain PCI loans are not accounted for as previously described because the estimation of cash flows to be collected involves a high degree of uncertainty. Under these circumstances, the accounting guidance provides that interest income is recognized on a cash basis similar to the cost recovery methodology for nonaccrual loans. The net carrying amounts in the preceding schedule also include the amounts for these loans, which were approximately \$0.1 million at September 30, 2013 and \$12.2 million at December 31, 2012.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Changes in the accretable yield for PCI loans were as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 104,483	\$ 157,040	\$ 134,461	\$ 184,679
Accretion	(19,941)	(25,409)	(78,994)	(69,824)
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference	7,908	9,565	31,092	25,112
Disposals and other	3,308	3,550	9,199	4,779
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 95,758</u>	<u>\$ 144,746</u>	<u>\$ 95,758</u>	<u>\$ 144,746</u>

Note: Amounts have been adjusted based on refinements to the original estimates of the accretable yield. Because of the estimation process required, we expect that additional adjustments to these amounts may be necessary in future periods.

The primary drivers of reclassification to accretable yield from nonaccretable difference and increases in disposals and other resulted primarily from (1) changes in estimated cash flows, (2) unexpected payments on nonaccrual loans, and (3) recoveries on zero balance loans pools. See subsequent discussion under changes in cash flow estimates.

ALLL Determination

For all acquired loans, the ALLL is only established for credit deterioration subsequent to the date of acquisition and represents our estimate of the inherent losses in excess of the book value of acquired loans. The ALLL for acquired loans is determined without giving consideration to the amounts recoverable from the FDIC through loss sharing agreements. These amounts recoverable are separately accounted for in the FDIC indemnification asset ("IA") and are thus presented "gross" in the balance sheet. The FDIC IA is included in other assets in the balance sheet and is discussed subsequently. The ALLL for acquired loans is included in the overall ALLL in the balance sheet. The provision for loan losses is reported net of changes in the amounts recoverable under the loss sharing agreements.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, we adjusted the ALLL for acquired loans by recording a provision for loan losses of \$(2.0) million and \$(9.1) million in 2013 and \$(9.0) million and \$(16.3) million in 2012, respectively. The provision is net of the ALLL reversals discussed subsequently. As separately discussed and in accordance with the loss sharing agreements, portions of the increases to the provision are recoverable from the FDIC and comprise part of the FDIC IA. For the three and nine months ended September 30, these adjustments, before FDIC indemnification, resulted in net recoveries of \$1.9 million and \$2.5 million in 2013, and \$1.4 million and \$8.1 million in 2012, respectively.

Changes in the provision for loan losses and related ALLL are driven in large part by the same factors that affect the changes in reclassification from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield, as discussed under changes in cash flow estimates.

Changes in Cash Flow Estimates

Over the life of the loan or loan pool, we continue to estimate cash flows expected to be collected. We evaluate quarterly at the balance sheet date whether the estimated present values of these loans using the effective interest rates have decreased below their carrying values. If so, we record a provision for loan losses.

For increases in carrying values that resulted from better-than-expected cash flows, we use such increases first to reverse any existing ALLL. During the three and nine months ended September 30, total reversals to the ALLL were \$2.3 million and \$13.2 million in 2013 and \$8.3 million and \$18.9 million in 2012, respectively. When there is no current ALLL, we increase the amount of accretable yield on a prospective basis over the remaining life of the loan and recognize this increase in interest income. Any related decrease to the FDIC IA is recorded through a charge to other noninterest expense. Changes that increase cash flows have been due primarily to (1) the enhanced economic status of borrowers compared to original evaluations, (2) improvements in the Southern California market where the

majority of these loans were originated, and (3) efforts by our credit officers and loan workout professionals to resolve problem loans.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, the impact of increased cash flow estimates recognized in the statement of income for acquired loans with no ALLL was approximately \$15.0 million and \$62.4 million in 2013 and \$17.6 million and \$45.5 million in 2012, respectively, of additional interest income; and \$13.0 million and \$55.1 million in 2013 and \$14.4 million and \$35.6 million in 2012, respectively, of additional other noninterest expense due to the reduction of the FDIC IA.

FDIC Indemnification Asset

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-06, *Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution, a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. This new guidance under ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, provides that a change in measurement of the IA due to a change in expected cash flows would be accounted for on the same basis as the change in the indemnified loans. Any amortization period for the changes in value would be limited to the lesser of the term of the indemnification agreement or the remaining life of the indemnified loans. Our existing accounting was substantially similar to this new guidance, and our adoption effective January 1, 2013 did not have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

The amount of the FDIC IA was initially recorded at fair value using estimated cash flows based on credit adjustments for each loan or loan pool and the loss sharing reimbursement of 80% or 95%, as appropriate. The timing of the cash flows was adjusted to reflect our expectations to receive the FDIC reimbursements within the estimated loss period. Discount rates were based on U.S. Treasury rates or the AAA composite yield on investment grade bonds of similar maturity. As previously discussed, the amount is adjusted as actual loss experience is developed and estimated losses covered under the loss sharing agreements are updated. Estimated loan losses, if any, in excess of the amounts recoverable are reflected as period expenses through the provision for loan losses.

Changes in the FDIC IA were as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 51,297	\$ 117,167	\$ 90,929	\$ 133,810
Amounts filed with the FDIC and collected or in process ¹	5,507	3,904	15,267	15,106
Net change in asset balance due to reestimation of projected cash flows ²	(15,033)	(20,165)	(64,425)	(48,010)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 41,771</u>	<u>\$ 100,906</u>	<u>\$ 41,771</u>	<u>\$ 100,906</u>

¹ The FDIC's reimbursement process requires that submitted expenses be paid, not just incurred, to qualify for reimbursement.

² Negative amounts result from the accretion of loan balances based on increases in cash flow estimates and on prepayments.

Any changes to the FDIC IA are recognized immediately in the quarterly period the change in estimated cash flows is determined. All claims submitted to the FDIC have been reimbursed in a timely manner.

6. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Note 9 discusses the process to estimate fair value for derivatives. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives used to manage the exposure to credit risk, which can include total return swaps, are considered credit derivatives. When put in place after purchase of the

assets to be protected, these derivatives generally may not be designated as accounting hedges. See discussion following regarding the total return swap and estimation of its fair value.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized in earnings together with changes in the fair value of the related hedged item. The net amount, if any, representing hedge ineffectiveness, is reflected in earnings. In previous periods, we used fair value hedges to manage interest rate exposure to certain long-term debt. These hedges have been terminated and their remaining balances are being amortized to earnings, as discussed subsequently.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recorded in OCI and recognized in earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges is recognized directly in earnings.

No derivatives have been designated for hedges of investments in foreign operations.

We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows on the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows on the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as accounting hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings.

Our objectives in using derivatives are to add stability to interest income or expense, to modify the duration of specific assets or liabilities as we consider advisable, to manage exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks, and/or to directly offset derivatives sold to our customers. To accomplish these objectives, we use interest rate swaps as part of our cash flow hedging strategy. These derivatives are used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with designated loans.

Exposure to credit risk arises from the possibility of nonperformance by counterparties. These counterparties primarily consist of financial institutions that are well established and well capitalized. We control this credit risk through credit approvals, limits, pledges of collateral, and monitoring procedures. No losses on derivative instruments have occurred as a result of counterparty nonperformance. Nevertheless, the related credit risk is considered and measured when and where appropriate.

Our derivative contracts require us to pledge collateral for derivatives that are in a net liability position at a given balance sheet date. Certain of these derivative contracts contain credit-risk-related contingent features that include the requirement to maintain a minimum debt credit rating. We may be required to pledge additional collateral if a credit-risk-related feature were triggered, such as a downgrade of our credit rating. However, in past situations, not all counterparties have demanded that additional collateral be pledged when provided for under their contracts. At September 30, 2013, the fair value of our derivative liabilities was \$59.9 million, for which we have pledged cash collateral of approximately \$48.3 million in the normal course of business. If our credit rating were downgraded by one notch at September 30, 2013, the additional amount of collateral we could be required to pledge is approximately \$2 million.

Interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of fixed-rate amounts in exchange for variable-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amount. Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges, including basis swap agreements, are not speculative and are used to economically manage our exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks, but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements.

Selected information with respect to notional amounts and recorded gross fair values at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the related gain (loss) of derivative instruments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 is summarized as follows:

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Notional amount	Fair value		Notional amount	Fair value	
		Other assets	Other liabilities		Other assets	Other liabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments						
Asset derivatives						
Cash flow hedges:						
Interest rate swaps	\$ 75,000	\$ 411	\$ —	\$ 150,000	\$ 1,188	\$ —
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	75,000	411	—	150,000	1,188	—
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments						
Interest rate swaps	65,850	517	565	98,524	1,043	1,047
Interest rate swaps for customers ²	2,728,601	54,640	55,110	2,607,603	79,579	82,926
Total return swap	1,159,686	—	4,239	1,159,686	—	5,127
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	3,954,137	55,157	59,914	3,865,813	80,622	89,100
Total derivatives	\$ 4,029,137	\$ 55,568	\$ 59,914	\$ 4,015,813	\$ 81,810	\$ 89,100

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	Amount of derivative gain (loss) recognized/reclassified							
(In thousands)	OCI	Reclassified from AOCI to interest income ³	Noninterest income (expense)	Offset to interest expense	OCI	Reclassified from AOCI to interest income ³	Noninterest income (expense)	Offset to interest expense
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments								
Asset derivatives								
Cash flow hedges ¹ :								
Interest rate swaps	\$ 414	\$ 97	\$ —		\$ 408	\$ 2,479	\$ —	
	414	97	—		408	2,479	—	
Liability derivatives								
Fair value hedges:								
Terminated swaps on long-term debt				\$ 796				\$ 2,342
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	414	97	—	796	408	2,479	—	2,342
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments								
Interest rate swaps			765				678	
Interest rate swaps for customers ²			883				6,631	
Futures contracts			2				2	
Total return swap			(5,342)				(16,350)	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			(3,692)				(9,039)	
Total derivatives	\$ 414	\$ 97	\$ (3,692)	\$ 796	\$ 408	\$ 2,479	\$ (9,039)	\$ 2,342

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012				Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	Amount of derivative gain (loss) recognized/reclassified							
	OCI	Reclassified from AOCI to interest income ³	Noninterest income (expense)	Offset to interest expense	OCI	Reclassified from AOCI to interest income ³	Noninterest income (expense)	Offset to interest expense
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments								
Asset derivatives								
Cash flow hedges ¹ :								
Interest rate swaps	\$ 84	\$ 2,378	\$ —		\$ 390	\$ 10,871	\$ —	
	84	2,378	—		390	10,871	—	
Liability derivatives								
Fair value hedges:								
Terminated swaps on long-term debt				\$ 771				2,277
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	84	2,378	—	771	390	10,871	—	2,277
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments								
Interest rate swaps			(174)				(302)	
Interest rate swaps for customers ²			1,331				1,917	
Basis swaps			—				18	
Futures contracts			(2)				(12)	
Total return swap			(5,403)				(16,303)	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments			(4,248)				(14,682)	
Total derivatives	\$ 84	\$ 2,378	\$ (4,248)	\$ 771	\$ 390	\$ 10,871	\$ (14,682)	\$ 2,277

Note: These tables are not intended to present at any given time the Company's long/short position with respect to its derivative contracts.

¹ Amounts recognized in OCI and reclassified from accumulated OCI ("AOCI") represent the effective portion of the derivative gain (loss).

² Amounts include both the customer swaps and the offsetting derivative contracts.

³ Amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30 of \$0.1 million and \$2.5 million in 2013, and \$2.4 million and \$10.9 million in 2012, respectively, are the amounts of reclassification to earnings from AOCI presented in Note 7.

At September 30, the fair values of derivative assets and liabilities were reduced by net credit valuation adjustments of \$2.4 million and \$2.0 million in 2013, and \$5.2 million and \$0.1 million in 2012, respectively. These adjustments are required to reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk.

We offer interest rate swaps to our customers to assist them in managing their exposure to fluctuating interest rates. Upon issuance, all of these customer swaps are immediately "hedged" by offsetting derivative contracts with major dealers, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. Fee income from customer swaps is included in other service charges, commissions and fees. As with other derivative instruments, we have credit risk for any nonperformance by counterparties.

The remaining balances of any derivative instruments terminated prior to maturity, including amounts in AOCI for swap hedges, are accreted or amortized to interest income or expense over the period corresponding to their previously stated maturity dates.

Amounts in AOCI are reclassified to interest income as interest is earned on variable rate loans and as amounts for terminated hedges are accreted or amortized to earnings. At September 30, 2013, amounts to be reclassified were not material.

Total Return Swap

On July 28, 2010, we entered into a total return swap and related interest rate swaps (“TRS”) with Deutsche Bank AG (“DB”) relating to a portfolio of \$1.16 billion notional amount of our bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs. As a result of the TRS, DB assumed all of the credit risk of this CDO portfolio, providing timely payment of all scheduled payments of interest and principal when contractually due to the Company (without regard to acceleration or deferral events). The transaction reduced regulatory risk-weighted assets and improved the Company’s risk-based capital ratios.

The transaction did not qualify for hedge accounting and did not change the accounting for the underlying securities, including the quarterly analysis of OTTI and OCI. As a result, future potential OTTI, if any, associated with the underlying securities may not be offset by any valuation adjustment on the swap in the quarter in which OTTI is recognized, and OTTI changes could result in reductions in our regulatory capital ratios, which could be material.

The fair value of the TRS derivative liability was \$4.2 million at September 30, 2013 and \$5.1 million at December 31, 2012.

Both the fair values of the securities and the fair value of the TRS are dependent upon the projected credit-adjusted cash flows of the securities. We are able to cancel the transaction with not longer than 90 days’ notice. Absent major changes in these projected cash flows, we expect the value of the TRS liability to continue to approximate its September 30, 2013 fair value. We expect to incur subsequent net quarterly costs of approximately \$5.5 million under the TRS, including related interest rate swaps and scheduled payments of interest on the underlying CDOs, as long as the TRS remains in place for this CDO portfolio. Our estimated quarterly expense amount would be impacted by, among other things, changes in the composition of the CDO portfolio included in the transaction and changes over time in the forward London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) rate curve. The Company’s costs are also subject to adjustment in the event of future changes in regulatory requirements applicable to DB if we do not then elect to terminate the transaction. Termination by the Company for such regulatory changes applicable to DB will result in no payment by the Company.

At September 30, 2013, we completed a valuation process which resulted in an estimated fair value for the TRS under Level 3. The process utilized valuation inputs from two sources:

- 1) The Company built on its fair valuation process for the underlying CDO portfolio and utilized those same projected cash flows to quantify the extent and timing of payments to be received from the Trustee related to each CDO and in the aggregate. For valuation purposes, we assumed that a market participant would cancel the TRS at the first opportunity if the TRS did not have a positive value based on the best estimates of cash flows through maturity. Consequently, the fair value approximated the amount of required payments up to the earliest termination date.
- 2) A valuation from a market participant in possession of all relevant terms and costs of the TRS structure.

We considered the observable input or inputs from the market participant, who is the counterparty to this transaction, as well as the results of our internal modeling in estimating the fair value of the TRS. We expect to continue the use of this methodology in subsequent periods.

7. DEBT AND SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY

Series C Preferred Stock Redemption

On September 15, 2013, we redeemed all of the outstanding \$800 million par amount (799,467 shares) of our 9.5% Series C preferred stock at 100% of the \$25 per depositary share redemption amount. Amounts raised from the preferred stock issuances listed subsequently were used to fund this redemption. The Federal Reserve did not object to the element of our capital plan, as of the latest modification on May 6, 2013, to redeem the entire \$800 million of our Series C preferred stock subject to issuing an equivalent amount of new preferred shares.

The redemption reduced preferred stock by the \$926 million carrying value of the Series C preferred stock, with the difference from the par amount, or \$126 million, relating to the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature (“BCF”) associated with the convertible subordinated debt. The BCF represented the difference on the original commitment date of the fair values of the convertible subordinated debt and the preferred stock into which the debt was convertible. The total BCF of \$203 million was included in common stock when the subordinated debt was modified in June 2009 to convertible subordinated debt. The Company has “no par” common stock and all additional paid-in capital transactions such as this are recorded in common stock. Portions of the BCF had been transferred since July 2009 from common stock to preferred stock as holders of convertible subordinated debt exercised rights to convert to the Series C preferred stock. Amounts transferred became part of the carrying value of the preferred stock. The \$126 million portion of the redemption was recorded as a preferred stock redemption in the statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2013, the remaining balance in common stock of the BCF was approximately \$77 million. Although the legal right to convert continues to exist, if no further conversions occur or the convertible debt matures, the current amount of the BCF will remain in common stock. At September 30, 2013, the balance at par of the convertible subordinated debt was \$457 million. The associated debt discount was \$113 million at September 30, 2013.

Preferred Stock Issuances

The following series of noncumulative perpetual preferred stock were issued during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 for a total of \$800 million. Net of commissions and fees, the proceeds added approximately \$784 million to shareholders’ equity. The shares qualify as Tier 1 capital and liquidation preference is at \$1,000 per share. The shares were registered with the SEC and issuances were in the form of depositary shares.

1. Series J, \$195 million (195,152 shares), fixed/floating rate issued August 11, 2013; dividends payable semiannually at 7.20% on the 15th day of March and September commencing March 15, 2014 to the earliest possible redemption date of September 15, 2023, interest rate then resets to an annual floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 4.44%.
2. Series A, \$6 million (5,907 shares), floating rate reopened August 2, 2013; dividends payable quarterly at the greater of three-month LIBOR plus 0.52%, or 4.0%.
3. Series I, \$301 million (300,893 shares), fixed/floating rate issued May 17, 2013; dividends payable semiannually at 5.80% on the 15th day of June and December commencing December 15, 2013 to the earliest possible redemption date of June 15, 2023, interest rate then resets to an annual floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 3.80% and dividends become payable quarterly.
4. Series H, \$126 million (126,221 shares), fixed rate issued May 3, 2013; dividends payable quarterly at 5.75% on the 15th day of March, June, September, and December, commencing June 15, 2013; redeemable beginning June 15, 2019.
5. Series G, \$172 million (171,827 shares), fixed/floating rate issued February 7, 2013; dividends payable quarterly at 6.30% on the 15th day of March, June, September, and December commencing June 15, 2013 to the earliest possible redemption date of March 15, 2023, interest rate then resets to an annual floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 4.24%.

These preferred stock issuances, as with our existing preferred stock, are subject to federal regulations pertaining to the payment of dividends, redemptions, and other items.

Debt Issuances and Redemptions

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 under our senior medium-term note program, we issued notes totaling \$29 million and \$97 million, respectively, and during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we redeemed at maturity all of the remaining \$5 million of short-term notes and \$18 million of long-term notes. At September 30, 2013, the outstanding notes in this program had interest rates from 2.55% to 5.50% and maturities through November 2019.

On September 12, 2013, we issued \$88 million of fixed/floating rate subordinated notes due September 15, 2028. Quarterly interest payments commence December 15, 2013 at 6.95% to the earliest possible redemption date of September 15, 2023, after which the interest rate changes to an annual floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 3.89%. Net proceeds were approximately \$86 million.

On June 13, 2013, we issued \$300 million of 4.5% senior notes due June 13, 2023, with semiannual interest payments commencing December 13, 2013. Net proceeds were approximately \$297 million.

On May 3, 2013, Zions Capital Trust B redeemed all of its 8.0% trust preferred securities, or 11.4 million shares, at 100% of their \$25 per share liquidation amount for a total of \$285 million. Following our tender offer announced on May 31, 2013, we repurchased on June 18, 2013 approximately \$258 million of our \$500 million outstanding 7.75% senior notes that were due September 23, 2014. In connection with these debt redemptions, we recorded \$40.3 million of debt extinguishment cost during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 that consisted of \$22.9 million of the early tender premium and \$17.4 million in write-offs of unamortized debt discount and issuance costs.

Subordinated Debt Conversions

Prior to the third quarter of 2013, approximately \$1.2 million of convertible subordinated debt was converted into depositary shares each representing a 1/40th interest in a share of the Company's preferred stock. These conversions added 1,210 shares of Series C to the Company's preferred stock.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Effective January 1, 2013, we adopted ASU 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. This new guidance under ASU 220, *Comprehensive Income*, follows ASUs 2011-12 and 2011-05 and finalizes the reporting requirements for reclassifications out of AOCI. Companies must present reclassifications by component when reporting changes in AOCI. Items reclassified in their entirety out of AOCI to net income must have the effect of the reclassification disclosed according to the respective income statement line item. Items not reclassified in their entirety must be cross-referenced to other disclosures in the footnotes. The entire reclassification information must be disclosed in one location, either on the face of the financial statements by income statement line item, or in a footnote. We have elected to present the information in a footnote and include the comparable periods for the previous year.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Changes in AOCI by component are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities	Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments	Pension and post-retirement	Total
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ (397,616)	\$ 1,794	\$ (50,335)	\$ (446,157)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, net of tax	48,992	236	—	49,228
Amounts reclassified from AOCI, net of tax	13,909	(1,483)	—	12,426
Other comprehensive income (loss)	62,901	(1,247)	—	61,654
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ (334,715)</u>	<u>\$ 547</u>	<u>\$ (50,335)</u>	<u>\$ (384,503)</u>
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012				
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ (546,763)	\$ 9,404	\$ (54,725)	\$ (592,084)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications, net of tax	56,523	225	—	56,748
Amounts reclassified from AOCI, net of tax	7,152	(6,554)	—	598
Other comprehensive income (loss)	63,675	(6,329)	—	57,346
Balance at September 30, 2012	<u>\$ (483,088)</u>	<u>\$ 3,075</u>	<u>\$ (54,725)</u>	<u>\$ (534,738)</u>

(In thousands)	Amounts reclassified from AOCI ¹				Statement of income (SI) Balance sheet (BS)	Affected line item
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
Details about AOCI components	2013	2012	2013	2012		
Net realized gains on investment securities	\$ 1,580	\$ 3,046	\$ 3,726	\$ 9,285	SI	Fixed income securities gains, net
Income tax expense	604	1,165	1,425	3,417		
	976	1,881	2,301	5,868		
Net unrealized losses on investment securities	(9,067)	(2,736)	(22,999)	(19,912)	SI	Net impairment losses on investment securities
Income tax benefit	(3,479)	(1,046)	(8,863)	(7,616)		
	(5,588)	(1,690)	(14,136)	(12,296)		
Accretion of securities with noncredit-related impairment losses not expected to be sold	(496)	(315)	(1,477)	(1,196)	BS	Investment securities, held-to-maturity
Deferred income taxes	(211)	123	(597)	472	BS	Other assets
	\$ (5,319)	\$ (1)	\$ (13,909)	\$ (7,152)		
Net unrealized gains on derivative instruments	\$ 97	\$ 2,378	\$ 2,479	\$ 10,871	SI	Interest and fees on loans
Income tax expense	40	957	996	4,317		
	\$ 57	\$ 1,421	\$ 1,483	\$ 6,554		

¹ Negative reclassification amounts indicate decreases to earnings in the statement of income and increases to balance sheet assets. The opposite applies to positive reclassification amounts.

Noncontrolling Interests

On June 3, 2013, we removed the entire noncontrolling interest amount of approximately \$4.8 million from the Company's balance sheet following settlement with the remaining owner.

Basel III Capital Framework

In July 2013, the Federal Reserve published final rules establishing a new capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. These new rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework, commonly referred

to as Basel III, and will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2015, with the fully phased-in requirements becoming effective in 2018.

Among other things, the new rules revise capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, and they modify specified quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities, and capital. The impact of these new rules will require the Company to maintain capital in excess of current “well-capitalized” regulatory standards, and in excess of historical levels.

Subsequent Events

On October 31, 2013, we issued \$162 million of fixed/floating rate subordinated notes due November 15, 2023. Semiannual interest payments commence May 15, 2014 at 5.65% to the earliest possible redemption date of November 15, 2018, after which they are payable quarterly at an annual floating rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 4.19%. Net proceeds were approximately \$160 million.

On November 6, 2013 we announced the commencement of separate cash tender offers to purchase up to an aggregate of \$250 million par amount of our 5.5% and 6.0% convertible and nonconvertible subordinated notes. Purchase amounts for each debt instrument are according to a previously announced schedule. The offers expire at midnight ET on December 5, 2013.

8. INCOME TAXES

The balance of net deferred tax assets was approximately \$367 million at September 30, 2013 and \$406 million at December 31, 2012. We evaluate net deferred tax assets on a regular basis to determine whether an additional valuation allowance is required. Based on this evaluation, and considering the weight of the positive evidence compared to the negative evidence, we have concluded that an additional valuation allowance is not required as of September 30, 2013.

On September 19, 2013, the Internal Revenue Service issued final regulations under sections 162(a) and 263(a) of the Internal Revenue Code pertaining to the treatment of amounts paid to acquire, produce or improve tangible property. We are currently analyzing how the new regulations may affect the Company’s financial statements, but we do not anticipate any material impact.

9. FAIR VALUE

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. To measure fair value, a hierarchy has been established that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. This hierarchy uses three levels of inputs to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; includes U.S. Treasury and other U.S. Government and agency securities actively traded in over-the-counter markets; mutual funds and stock; securities sold, not yet purchased; and certain derivatives.

Level 2 – Observable inputs other than Level 1 including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in less active markets, or other observable inputs that can be corroborated by observable market data; also includes derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with observable market inputs or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes U.S. Government and agency securities; municipal securities; CDO securities; mutual funds and stock; bank-owned life insurance; private equity investments; securities sold, not yet purchased; and derivatives.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs supported by little or no market activity for financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation; also includes observable inputs for nonbinding single dealer quotes not corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes municipal securities; private equity investments; most CDO securities; and the total return swap.

We use fair value to measure certain assets and liabilities on a recurring basis when fair value is the primary measure for accounting. This is done primarily for AFS and trading investment securities; bank-owned life insurance; private equity investments under the equity method of accounting; securities sold, not yet purchased; and derivatives. Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to measure certain assets when applying lower of cost or fair value accounting or when adjusting carrying values of certain assets or liabilities, including recognition of impairment on assets. This is done primarily for HTM securities; loans held for sale; impaired loans; other real estate owned (“OREO”); private equity investments carried at cost; goodwill; core deposit and other intangibles; other long-lived assets; and for disclosures of certain financial instruments. ASC 820 presupposes an orderly transaction and precludes the use of blockage factors or liquidity adjustments due to the quantity of securities held by an entity.

Level 3 Valuation Policies and Procedures

Our valuation policies and procedures for Level 3 securities are under the direction of the Securities Valuation and Securitization Oversight Committee (“SOC”) comprised of senior and executive members of management in our investment, financial and accounting operations. The SOC is chaired by our chief financial officer and reports to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The major function of the SOC is to develop, review, and approve for use on a quarterly basis the key model inputs, critical valuation assumptions, and proposed discount rates utilized for the valuation of Level 3 securities. The sources of fair value changes are presented to the SOC and attribution analysis are completed when significant changes occur between quarters. SOC procedures require that back testing of certain significant assumptions be provided quarterly. Observers from Risk Management, Internal Audit and other areas attend SOC meetings.

The Model Risk Management Group (“MRMG”) is responsible for model validation and related policies. The MRMG is separate from the SOC and is part of the Corporate Risk Management Department. The MRMG conducts model validations, including the trust preferred CDO internal model discussed subsequently, and sets policies and procedures for revalidation, which would include revalidation timing.

Utilization of Third Party Service Providers

We use third party service providers and third party model outputs to estimate fair value for certain of our AFS securities as follows:

For AFS Level 2 securities, we use a third party pricing service to provide pricing, if available, for securities in the following reporting categories: U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations (except Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (“FAMC”) securities); municipal securities; trust preferred – banks and insurance; and other (including ABS CDOs). At September 30, 2013, the fair value of AFS Level 2 securities for which we obtained pricing from the third party pricing service in these reporting categories amounted to approximately \$1.9 billion of the \$2.0 billion total of AFS Level 2 securities.

For AFS Level 3 securities, we use other third party service providers to provide pricing, if available, for securities in the following reporting categories: municipal securities, trust preferred – banks and insurance, trust preferred – real estate investment trusts, auction rate, and other (including ABS CDOs). At September 30, 2013, the fair value of AFS Level 3 securities for which we obtained pricing from these third party service providers in these reporting categories amounted to approximately \$81 million of the \$1.1 billion total of AFS Level 3 securities. In addition, the fair values for approximately \$1.0 billion at September 30, 2013 of our AFS Level 3 securities, while internally modeled, include information from third party models. See “Trust preferred CDO internal model” discussed subsequently.

Fair values of the remaining AFS Level 2 and Level 3 securities not valued by pricing from third party services were determined by us using market corroborative data. At September 30, 2013, the Level 2 securities for which we use market corroborative data consisted of approximately \$108 million of FAMC securities, \$52 million of municipal securities, and \$6 million of mutual funds and stock, while there were no Level 3 securities for which we use market corroborative data. Estimation of the fair values of the FAMC securities included the use of a standard mortgage pass-through calculator that incorporates discounted cash flows, while the municipal securities included the use of a standard form discounted cash flow model with certain inputs adjusted for market conditions.

For AFS Level 2 securities, the third party pricing service provides documentation on an ongoing basis that includes, among other things, pricing information with respect to reference data, methodology, inputs summarized by asset class, pricing application, corroborative information, etc. The documentation includes benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and reference data including market research publications. Also included are data from the vendor trading platform. We review, test and validate this information as appropriate.

For AFS Level 3 securities, SOC procedures call for quarterly comparisons of relevant data assumptions used in the models of the other third party service providers. We evaluate these assumptions for reasonableness and compare them with those used in our internal models. These assumptions include, but are not limited to, discount rates, PDs, loss-given-default rates, over-collateralization levels, and rating transition probability matrices from rating agencies. We also compare the model results and valuations with our information about market trends and trading data. This includes information regarding trading prices, implied discounts, outlier information, valuation assumptions, etc.

Because of the timeliness of our involvement, the ongoing exchange of market information, and our agreement on input assumptions, we do not adjust prices from our third party service providers. The procedures discussed previously help ensure that the fair value information received was determined in accordance with applicable accounting guidance.

Available-for-Sale and Trading

AFS and trading investment securities are fair valued under Level 1 using quoted market prices when available for identical securities. When quoted prices are not available, fair values are determined under Level 2 using quoted prices for similar securities or independent pricing services that incorporate observable market data. The largest portion of Level 3 AFS securities include certain CDOs backed by trust preferred securities issued by banks and insurance companies and, to a lesser extent, by REITs.

U.S. Treasury, Agencies and Corporations

Valuation inputs under Level 2 utilized by the third party service provider are discussed previously.

Municipal Securities

Valuation inputs under Level 2 utilized by the third party service provider are discussed previously. We may also include reported trades and material event notices from the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, plus new issue data. Municipal securities under Level 3 are fair valued similar to the auction rate securities.

Trust Preferred Collateralized Debt Obligations

Substantially all of the CDO portfolio is fair valued using an income-based cash flow modeling approach incorporating several methodologies that primarily include internal and third party models.

Trust preferred CDO internal model: A licensed third party cash flow model, which requires the Company to input its own key valuation assumptions, is used to estimate fair values of bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs. We utilize a statistical regression of quarterly regulatory ratios that we have identified as predictive of future bank failures to create a credit-specific PD for each bank issuer. Effective September 30, 2013, the regression variables and formula were updated and macro-economic variables were added. The inputs are updated quarterly to include the most recent available financial ratios and macro-economic data. This regression

formula will continue to be updated periodically to utilize information that best predicted bank failures and bank holding company defaults during this credit cycle (“ratio-based approach”). Our ratio-based approach, while generally referencing trailing quarter regulatory data and ratios, seeks to incorporate the most recent available information.

Approximately 32% of the bank issuers are public companies included in a third party proprietary reduced form model. The model generates PDs using equity valuation-related inputs along with other macro and issuer-specific inputs. We use the higher of the PD from the third party proprietary reduced form model and the ratio-based approach.

For performing collateral, we use a floor PD of 30 basis points (“bps”) for year one for collateral where the higher of the one-year PDs from our ratio based approach and those from the third party proprietary reduced form model would be lower. The short-term 30 bps PD is similar to the PD we would apply if we had direct lending exposures to CDO pool collateral. We use a floor PD of 48 bps each year from years two to five smoothing the step-up to reach a 65 bps minimum PD for year six. We utilize a minimum PD for years six to maturity of 65 bps for bank collateral.

For deferring collateral, effective September 30, 2013, our ratio based approach includes a “time in deferral” variable. We have a full five years of deferral observations for the earliest issuers whose allowable 20 quarter deferral period started in 2008. Using this transition data, the model assessed higher PDs as issuers near the end of their allowable deferral period. The December 31, 2012 PD overlay model for deferrals is therefore no longer used.

The five-year PDs at September 30, 2013 ranged from 100% for the “worst” deferring banks to 2.18% for the “best” deferring banks. The weighted average assumed loss rate on deferring collateral was 66%. Had we used the December 31, 2012 PD overlay model for deferrals at September 30, 2013, the weighted average loss rate on deferring collateral would have been 55%. This loss rate is calculated as a percentage of the par amount of deferring collateral within a pool that is expected to default prior to the end of a five-year deferral period. The model includes the expectation that deferrals that do not default will pay their contractually required back interest and return to a current status at the end of five years. Estimates of expected loss for the individual pieces of underlying collateral are aggregated to arrive at a pool-level expected loss rate for each CDO. These loss assumptions are applied to the CDO’s structure to generate cash flow projections for each tranche of the CDO.

We utilize a present value technique to identify both the OTTI present in the CDO tranches and to estimate fair value. To determine the credit-related portion of OTTI in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, we use the security specific effective interest rate when estimating the present value of cash flows. We discount the credit-adjusted cash flow of each CDO tranche at a tranche-specific discount rate which reflects the risk that the actual cash flow may vary from the expected credit-adjusted cash flow for that CDO tranche. This rate is consistent with market participants’ assumptions, which include market illiquidity, and is applied to credit adjusted cash flows. We follow applicable guidance on illiquid markets such that risk premiums should be reflective of an orderly transaction between market participants under current market conditions. Because these securities are not traded on exchanges and trading prices are not posted on the TRACE[®] system (Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine[®]), we also seek information from market participants to obtain trade price information.

The discount rate assumption used for valuation purposes for each CDO tranche is derived from trading yields on publicly traded trust preferred securities and projected PDs on the underlying issuers as well as observed trades in our CDO tranches in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. The data set generally includes one or more publicly-traded trust preferred securities in deferral with regard to the payment of current interest and observed trades in our CDO tranches which appeared to be either orderly (that is, not distressed or forced); or whose orderliness could not be definitively refuted. Trading data is generally limited to a single transaction in each of several of our original AAA-rated tranches and several of our original A-rated tranches. The effective

yields on the securities are then used to determine a relationship between the effective yield and expected loss. Expected loss for this purpose is a measure of the variability of cash flows from the mean estimate of cash flow across all Monte Carlo simulations. This relationship is then considered along with other third party or market data in order to identify appropriate discount rates to be applied to the CDOs.

Our September 30, 2013, valuations for bank and insurance tranches utilized a discount rate range of LIBOR + 3.75% for the highest quality/most over-collateralized insurance-only tranches and LIBOR + 19.2% for the lowest credit quality tranche, which included bank collateral, in order to reflect market level assumptions for structured finance securities. For tranches that include bank collateral, the discount rate was at least LIBOR + 5.7% for the highest quality/most over-collateralized tranches. These discount rates are applied to already credit-adjusted cash flows for each tranche.

CDO tranches with greater uncertainty in their cash flows are discounted at rates higher than those market participants would use for tranches with more stable expected cash flows (e.g., as a result of more subordination and/or better credit quality in the underlying collateral). The high end of the discount rate spectrum was applied to tranches in which minor changes in default assumption timing produced substantial deterioration in tranche cash flows. These discount rates are applied to credit-adjusted cash flows, which constitute each tranche's expected cash flows; discount rates are not applied to a hypothetical contractual cash flow.

At September 30, 2013, the discount rates utilized for fair value purposes for tranches that include bank collateral were:

- 1) LIBOR + 5.7% for all first priority original AAA-rated bonds;
- 2) LIBOR + 5.7% to 6.7% and averaged LIBOR + 5.8% for lower priority original AAA-rated bonds;
- 3) LIBOR + 6.0% to 14.8% and averaged LIBOR + 9.4% for original A-rated bonds; and
- 4) LIBOR + 10.4% to 19.2% and averaged LIBOR + 15.7% for original BBB-rated bonds.

Accordingly, the wide difference between the effective interest rate used in the determination of the credit component of OTTI and the discount rate on the CDOs used in the determination of fair value results in the unrealized losses. The discount rate used for fair value purposes significantly exceeds the effective interest rate for the CDOs. The differences average approximately 5% for the original AAA-rated CDO tranches, 8% for the original A-rated CDO tranches, and 13% for the original BBB-rated CDO tranches. With the exception of certain of the most senior CDOs, most of the principal payments are not expected prior to the final maturity date, which is generally 2029 or later. High market discount rates and the long maturities of the CDO tranches result in full principal repayment contributing little to CDO tranche fair values.

REIT and ABS CDOs – third party models: Certain of these CDOs are fair valued by third party services using their proprietary models. See the previous discussion that describes the procedures we employ to evaluate the fair values from third party services for AFS Level 3 securities. Also see the subsequent discussion regarding key model inputs and assumptions.

Auction Rate Securities

Our market approach methodology includes various data inputs, including AAA municipal and corporate bond yield curves, credit ratings and leverage of each closed-end fund, and market yields for municipal bonds and commercial paper.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance

Bank-owned life insurance is valued on a recurring basis under Level 2 according to reported cash surrender values ("CSVs") of the insurance contracts. Nearly all CSVs are based on valuations and earnings of the underlying assets in the insurance companies' general accounts. The underlying investments include predominantly fixed income securities consisting of investment grade corporate bonds and various types of mortgage instruments. Average duration ranges from five to eight years. Management regularly reviews investment performance, including concentrations of investments and regulatory restrictions.

Private Equity Investments

Management who are familiar with our private equity investments, including investment officers, controllers, etc., review quarterly the financial statements and other information for each investment. The Other Equity Investments Committee, consisting of the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, and the chief investment officer, review periodically for reasonableness the financial information for these investments. This includes oversight of the review of audited financial statements that are available for nearly all of the underlying investments. The amount of unfunded commitments to these partnerships is disclosed in Note 10. Generally, redemption is available annually.

Private equity investments valued under Level 2 on a recurring basis are investments in partnerships that invest in certain financial services and real estate companies, some of which are publicly traded. Fair values are determined from net asset values, or their equivalents, provided by the partnerships. These fair values are determined on the last business day of the month using values from the primary exchange. In the case of illiquid or nontraded assets, the partnerships obtain fair values from independent sources.

Private equity investments valued under Level 3 on a recurring basis are recorded initially at acquisition cost, which is considered the best indication of fair value unless there have been material subsequent positive or negative developments that justify an adjustment in the fair value estimate. Subsequent adjustments to recorded fair values are based as necessary on current and projected financial performance, recent financing activities, economic and market conditions, market comparables, market liquidity, sales restrictions, and other factors.

Derivatives

Derivatives are fair valued according to their classification as either exchange-traded or over-the-counter (“OTC”). Exchange-traded derivatives consist of forward currency exchange contracts that have been fair valued under Level 1 because they are traded in active markets. OTC derivatives, including those for customers, consist of interest rate swaps and options. These derivatives are fair valued under Level 2 using third party services. Observable market inputs include yield curves (the LIBOR swap curve and applicable basis swap curves), foreign exchange rates, commodity prices, option volatilities, counterparty credit risk, and other related data. Credit valuation adjustments are required to reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty’s nonperformance risk. These adjustments are determined generally by applying a credit spread for the counterparty or the Company as appropriate to the total expected exposure of the derivative. Amounts disclosed in the following schedules differ from the presentation in Note 6 in that they include the foreign currency exchange contracts. The estimation of fair value of the TRS is discussed in Note 6.

Securities Sold, Not Yet Purchased

Securities sold, not yet purchased are fair valued under Level 1 when quoted prices are available for the securities involved. Any of these securities under Level 2 are fair valued similar to trading account investment securities.

Quantitative Disclosure of Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value by class on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

(In thousands)

	September 30, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS				
Investment securities:				
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations	\$ 38,498	\$ 1,929,039		\$ 1,967,537
Municipal securities		51,649	\$ 13,520	65,169
Asset-backed securities:				
Trust preferred – banks and insurance			1,001,896	1,001,896
Trust preferred – real estate investment trusts			19,517	19,517
Auction rate			6,625	6,625
Other (including ABS CDOs)		2,567	13,547	16,114
Mutual funds and stock	251,382	5,649		257,031
	<u>289,880</u>	<u>1,988,904</u>	<u>1,055,105</u>	<u>3,333,889</u>
Trading account		38,278		38,278
Other noninterest-bearing investments:				
Bank-owned life insurance		464,180		464,180
Private equity		4,992	77,556	82,548
Other assets:				
Derivatives:				
Interest rate related and other		1,067		1,067
Interest rate swaps for customers		54,640		54,640
Foreign currency exchange contracts	9,887			9,887
	<u>9,887</u>	<u>55,707</u>		<u>65,594</u>
	<u>\$ 299,767</u>	<u>\$ 2,552,061</u>	<u>\$ 1,132,661</u>	<u>\$ 3,984,489</u>
LIABILITIES				
Securities sold, not yet purchased	\$ 21,183			\$ 21,183
Other liabilities:				
Derivatives:				
Interest rate related and other		\$ 861		861
Interest rate swaps for customers		55,110		55,110
Foreign currency exchange contracts	8,703			8,703
Total return swap			\$ 4,239	4,239
	<u>8,703</u>	<u>55,971</u>	<u>4,239</u>	<u>68,913</u>
Other			197	197
	<u>\$ 29,886</u>	<u>\$ 55,971</u>	<u>\$ 4,436</u>	<u>\$ 90,293</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)

	December 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS				
Investment securities:				
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations	\$ 102,982	\$ 1,692,637		\$ 1,795,619
Municipal securities		59,445	\$ 16,551	75,996
Asset-backed securities:				
Trust preferred – banks and insurance		121	949,271	949,392
Trust preferred – real estate investment trusts			16,403	16,403
Auction rate			6,515	6,515
Other (including ABS CDOs)		4,214	15,160	19,374
Mutual funds and stock	219,214	8,797		228,011
	<u>322,196</u>	<u>1,765,214</u>	<u>1,003,900</u>	<u>3,091,310</u>
Trading account		28,290		28,290
Other noninterest-bearing investments:				
Bank-owned life insurance		455,719		455,719
Private equity		5,132	64,223	69,355
Other assets:				
Derivatives:				
Interest rate related and other		2,850		2,850
Interest rate swaps for customers		79,579		79,579
Foreign currency exchange contracts	4,404			4,404
	<u>4,404</u>	<u>82,429</u>		<u>86,833</u>
	<u>\$ 326,600</u>	<u>\$ 2,336,784</u>	<u>\$ 1,068,123</u>	<u>\$ 3,731,507</u>
LIABILITIES				
Securities sold, not yet purchased	\$ 26,735			\$ 26,735
Other liabilities:				
Derivatives:				
Interest rate related and other		\$ 1,142		1,142
Interest rate swaps for customers		82,926		82,926
Foreign currency exchange contracts	3,159			3,159
Total return swap			\$ 5,127	5,127
	<u>3,159</u>	<u>84,068</u>	<u>5,127</u>	<u>92,354</u>
Other			124	124
	<u>\$ 29,894</u>	<u>\$ 84,068</u>	<u>\$ 5,251</u>	<u>\$ 119,213</u>

No transfers of assets and liabilities occurred among Levels 1, 2 or 3 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Key Model Inputs and Assumptions

Key model unobservable input assumptions used to fair value certain asset-backed securities by class under Level 3 include the following at September 30, 2013:

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	Fair value at September 30, 2013	Valuation approach	Constant default rate ("CDR")	Loss severity	Prepayment rate
Asset-backed securities:					
Trust preferred – predominantly banks	\$ 857,021	Income	Pool specific ³	100%	Pool specific ⁷
Trust preferred – predominantly insurance	273,420	Income	Pool specific ⁴	100%	5% per year
Trust preferred – individual banks	23,002	Market			
	<u>1,153,443</u> ¹				
Trust preferred – real estate investment trusts	19,518	Income	Pool specific ⁵	60-100%	0% per year
Other (including ABS CDOs)	25,197 ²	Income	Collateral specific ⁶	70-100%	Collateral weighted average life

¹ Includes \$1,001.9 million of AFS securities and \$151.5 million of HTM securities.

² Includes \$13.5 million of AFS securities and \$11.7 million of HTM securities.

³ CDR ranges: yr 1 – 0.30% to 3.52%; yrs 2-5 – 0.47% to 1.05%; yrs 6 to maturity – 0.59% to 0.67%.

⁴ CDR ranges: yr 1 – 0.30% to 0.34%; yrs 2-5 – 0.48% to 0.58%; yrs 6 to maturity – 0.50% to 0.54%.

⁵ CDR ranges: yr 1 – 4.3% to 7.8%; yrs 2-3 – 5.0% to 5.5%; yrs 4-6 – 1.0%; yrs 6 to maturity – 0.50%.

⁶ These are predominantly ABS CDOs whose collateral is rated. CDR and loss severities are built up from the loan level and vary by collateral ratings, asset class, and vintage.

⁷ Constant Prepayment Rate ("CPR") ranges: 7.50% to 21.69% annually until 2016; 2016 to maturity – 3.0% annually.

The fair value of the Level 3 bank and insurance CDO portfolio would generally be adversely affected by significant increases in the CDR for performing collateral, the loss percentage expected from deferring collateral, and the discount rate used. The fair value of the portfolio would generally be positively affected by increases in interest rates and prepayment rates. For a specific tranche within a CDO, the directionality of the fair value change for a given assumption change may differ depending on the seniority level of the tranche. For example, faster prepayment may increase the fair value of a senior most tranche of a CDO while decreasing the fair value of a more junior tranche.

The following presents the percentage of total fair value of predominantly bank trust preferred CDOs by vintage year (origination date) according to original rating:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>						
Vintage year	Fair value at September 30, 2013	Percentage of total fair value according to original rating			Percentage of total fair value by vintage	
		AAA	A	BBB		
2001	\$ 36,497	3.1%	1.1%	—%	4.2%	
2002	200,837	20.8	2.6	—	23.4	
2003	326,412	23.2	14.9	0.1	38.2	
2004	179,677	8.3	12.7	—	21.0	
2005	15,816	1.1	0.7	—	1.8	
2006	60,465	2.9	3.7	0.4	7.0	
2007	37,317	4.4	—	—	4.4	
	<u>\$ 857,021</u>	<u>63.8%</u>	<u>35.7%</u>	<u>0.5%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	

Reconciliation of Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The following reconciles the beginning and ending balances of assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value by class on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs:

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

	Level 3 Instruments							
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013							
	Municipal securities	Trust preferred – banks and insurance	Trust preferred – REIT	Auction rate	Other asset-backed	Private equity investments	Derivatives	Other liabilities
<i>(In thousands)</i>								
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 13,544	\$1,030,293	\$ 18,499	\$ 6,554	\$ 17,324	\$ 75,517	\$ (4,829)	\$ (289)
Total net gains (losses) included in:								
Statement of income:								
Accretion of purchase discount on securities available-for-sale	10	783	64	1	50			
Dividends and other investment income						306		
Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss							(5,342)	
Equity securities gains, net								
Fixed income securities gains, net	2	1,494			10			
Net impairment losses on investment securities		(7,787)	(1,112)		(168)			
Other noninterest expense								92
Other comprehensive income	64	(3,934)	2,067	70	(476)			
Purchases						2,064		
Sales						(249)		
Redemptions and paydowns	(100)	(18,954)			(3,193)	(82)	5,932	
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ 13,520</u>	<u>\$1,001,895</u>	<u>\$ 19,518</u>	<u>\$ 6,625</u>	<u>\$ 13,547</u>	<u>\$ 77,556</u>	<u>\$ (4,239)</u>	<u>\$ (197)</u>

	Level 3 Instruments							
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013							
	Municipal securities	Trust preferred – banks and insurance	Trust preferred – REIT	Auction rate	Other asset-backed	Private equity investments	Derivatives	Other liabilities
<i>(In thousands)</i>								
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 16,551	\$ 949,271	\$ 16,403	\$ 6,515	\$ 15,160	\$ 64,223	(5,127)	\$ (124)
Total net gains (losses) included in:								
Statement of income:								
Accretion of purchase discount on securities available-for-sale	31	2,395	190	2	64			
Dividends and other investment income						5,455		
Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss							(16,350)	
Equity securities gains, net						3,739		
Fixed income securities gains, net	38	3,530			54			
Net impairment losses on investment securities		(21,548)	(1,282)		(168)			
Other noninterest expense								(73)
Other comprehensive income	986	127,028	4,207	108	4,147			
Purchases						5,905		
Sales		(7,015)				(1,369)		
Redemptions and paydowns	(4,086)	(51,766)			(5,710)	(397)	17,238	
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ 13,520</u>	<u>\$1,001,895</u>	<u>\$ 19,518</u>	<u>\$ 6,625</u>	<u>\$ 13,547</u>	<u>\$ 77,556</u>	<u>\$ (4,239)</u>	<u>\$ (197)</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)	Level 3 Instruments							
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012							
	Municipal securities	Trust preferred – banks and insurance	Trust preferred – REIT	Auction rate	Other asset-backed	Private equity investments	Derivatives	Other liabilities
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 16,360	\$ 926,450	\$ 14,431	\$ 7,166	\$ 40,666	\$ 65,370	\$ (5,337)	\$ (121)
Total net gains (losses) included in:								
Statement of income:								
Accretion of purchase discount on securities available-for-sale	18	1,239	61	1	53			
Dividends and other investment income						3,562		
Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss							(5,403)	
Equity securities losses, net						2,556		
Fixed income securities gains, net	9	3,004			11			
Net impairment losses on investment securities		(2,079)						
Other noninterest expense								(7)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	136	55,962	915	(4)	2,418			
Purchases						2,803		
Sales						(1,126)		
Redemptions and paydowns	(200)	(19,472)		(50)	(2,994)	(1,271)	5,692	
Balance at September 30, 2012	<u>\$ 16,323</u>	<u>\$ 965,104</u>	<u>\$ 15,407</u>	<u>\$ 7,113</u>	<u>\$ 40,154</u>	<u>\$ 71,894</u>	<u>\$ (5,048)</u>	<u>\$ (128)</u>

(In thousands)	Level 3 Instruments							
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012							
	Municipal securities	Trust preferred – banks and insurance	Trust preferred – REIT	Auction rate	Other asset-backed	Private equity investments	Derivatives	Other liabilities
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 17,381	\$ 929,356	\$ 18,645	\$ 70,020	\$ 43,546	\$ 62,327	\$ (5,422)	\$ (86)
Total net gains (losses) included in:								
Statement of income:								
Accretion of purchase discount on securities available-for-sale	82	6,267	162	3	213			
Dividends and other investment income						9,167		
Fair value and nonhedge derivative loss							(16,303)	
Equity securities losses, net						11,629		
Fixed income securities gains, (losses), net	9	10,780		4,134	(5,762)			
Net impairment losses on investment securities		(19,255)						
Other noninterest expense								(42)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(499)	89,695	(3,400)	1,331	8,301			
Purchases						7,687		
Sales						(6,478)		
Redemptions and paydowns	(650)	(51,739)		(68,375)	(6,144)	(12,438)	16,677	
Balance at September 30, 2012	<u>\$ 16,323</u>	<u>\$ 965,104</u>	<u>\$ 15,407</u>	<u>\$ 7,113</u>	<u>\$ 40,154</u>	<u>\$ 71,894</u>	<u>\$ (5,048)</u>	<u>\$ (128)</u>

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The preceding reconciling amounts using Level 3 inputs include the following realized gains (losses):

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Dividends and other investment income (loss)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (45)	\$ —
Fixed income securities gains, net	1,506	3,024	3,622	9,161
Equity securities gains, net	—	—	—	10,359

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

Included in the balance sheet amounts are the following amounts of assets that had fair value changes measured on a nonrecurring basis.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Fair value at September 30, 2013				Fair value at December 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS								
HTM securities adjusted for OTTI	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8,483	\$ 8,483	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 23,524	\$ 23,524
Impaired loans	—	4,164	—	4,164	—	3,789	—	3,789
Private equity investments, carried at cost	—	—	8,313	8,313	—	—	13,522	13,522
Other real estate owned	—	46,700	—	46,700	—	58,954	—	58,954
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 50,864</u>	<u>\$ 16,796</u>	<u>\$ 67,660</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 62,743</u>	<u>\$ 37,046</u>	<u>\$ 99,789</u>

The previous fair values may not be current as of the dates indicated, but rather as of the date the fair value change occurred, such as a charge for impairment. Carrying values may not equal current fair value.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Gains (losses) from fair value changes			
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
ASSETS				
HTM securities adjusted for OTTI	\$ —	\$ (657)	\$ (403)	\$ (998)
Impaired loans	(35)	(818)	(1,463)	(3,859)
Private equity investments, carried at cost	(2,826)	(93)	(4,254)	(1,845)
Other real estate owned	(1,749)	(5,499)	(8,217)	(14,707)
	<u>\$ (4,610)</u>	<u>\$ (7,067)</u>	<u>\$ (14,337)</u>	<u>\$ (21,409)</u>

HTM securities adjusted for OTTI were fair valued according to the methodology discussed elsewhere herein. Private equity investments carried at cost were fair valued according to the methodology discussed previously under Private Equity Investments. In previous reporting periods, the disclosure of private equity investments carried at cost was included with the private equity investments under the equity method of accounting. This revised disclosure had no effect on the Company's financial statements or results of operations in the prior year periods. The amounts of private equity investments carried at cost were \$56.0 million at September 30, 2013 and \$74.8 million at December 31, 2012. Additionally, the amounts of other noninterest-bearing investments carried at cost were \$248.6 million at September 30, 2013 and \$255.6 million at December 31, 2012, which were comprised of Federal Reserve, Federal Home Loan Bank, and Farmer Mac stock.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, we recognized net gains of \$3.5 million and \$9.8 million in 2013 and \$5.6 million and \$11.4 million in 2012, respectively, from the sale of OREO properties that had a carrying value at the time of sale of approximately \$61.1 million and \$126.0 million during the nine months ended

September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Previous to their sale in these periods, we recognized impairment on these properties of \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million in 2013 and \$3.3 million and \$7.2 million in 2012, respectively.

Impaired (or nonperforming) loans that are collateral-dependent are fair valued under Level 2 based on the fair value of the collateral. OREO is fair valued under Level 2 at the lower of cost or fair value based on property appraisals at the time the property is recorded in OREO and as appropriate thereafter.

Measurement of impairment for collateral-dependent loans and OREO is based on third party appraisals that utilize one or more valuation techniques (income, market and/or cost approaches). Any adjustments to calculated fair value are made based on recently completed and validated third party appraisals, third party appraisal services, automated valuation services, or our informed judgment. Evaluations are made to determine that the appraisal process meets the relevant concepts and requirements of applicable accounting guidance.

Automated valuation services may be used primarily for residential properties when values from any of the previous methods were not available within 90 days of the balance sheet date. These services use models based on market, economic, and demographic values. The use of these models has only occurred in a very few instances and the related property valuations have not been sufficiently significant to consider disclosure under Level 3 rather than Level 2.

Impaired loans not collateral-dependent are fair valued based on the present value of future cash flows discounted at the expected coupon rates over the lives of the loans. Because the loans were not discounted at market interest rates, the valuations do not represent fair value and have been excluded from the nonrecurring fair value balance in the preceding schedules.

Fair Value of Certain Financial Instruments

Following is a summary of the carrying values and estimated fair values of certain financial instruments:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Carrying value	Estimated fair value	Carrying value	Estimated fair value
Financial assets:				
HTM investment securities	\$ 777,849	\$ 727,908	\$ 756,909	\$ 674,741
Loans and leases (including loans held for sale), net of allowance	37,590,017	37,402,157	37,020,811	37,024,198
Financial liabilities:				
Time deposits	2,689,688	2,703,085	2,962,931	2,988,714
Foreign deposits	1,607,409	1,607,052	1,804,060	1,803,625
Other short-term borrowings	—	—	5,409	5,421
Long-term debt (less fair value hedges)	2,298,853	2,529,021	2,329,323	2,636,422

This summary excludes financial assets and liabilities for which carrying value approximates fair value. For financial assets, these include cash and due from banks and money market investments. For financial liabilities, these include demand, savings and money market deposits, and federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements. The estimated fair value of demand, savings and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. Carrying value is used because the accounts have no stated maturity and the customer has the ability to withdraw funds immediately. Also excluded from the summary are financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, as previously described.

HTM investment securities primarily consist of municipal securities and bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs. HTM municipal securities are fair valued under Level 3 using a standard form discounted cash flow model as discussed previously and the valuation inputs described under auction rate securities. HTM bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs are fair valued using the internal model previously described.

The fair value of loans is estimated according to their status as nonimpaired or impaired. For nonimpaired loans, the fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows using the LIBOR yield curve adjusted by a factor which reflects the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. These future cash flows are then reduced by the estimated “life-of-the-loan” aggregate credit losses in the loan portfolio. These adjustments for lifetime future credit losses incorporate many of the inputs used to estimate the ALLL for our loan portfolio and are adjusted quarterly as necessary to reflect the most recent loss experience. Impaired loans are already considered to be held at fair value, except those whose fair value is determined by discounting cash flows, as discussed previously. See Impaired Loans in Note 5 for details on the impairment measurement method for impaired loans. Loans, other than those held for sale, are not normally purchased and sold by the Company, and there are no active trading markets for most of this portfolio. Accordingly, our estimates of fair value for loans are categorized as Level 3.

The fair values of time and foreign deposits, other short-term borrowings, and long-term debt are estimated under Level 2. Time and foreign deposits, and other short-term borrowings, are fair valued by discounting future cash flows using the LIBOR yield curve to the given maturity dates. Long-term debt is fair valued based on actual market trades (i.e., an asset value) when available, or discounting cash flows to maturity using the LIBOR yield curve adjusted for credit spreads.

These fair value disclosures represent our best estimates based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of the various instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in the above methodologies and assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Further, certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from the applicable disclosure requirements. Therefore, the fair value amounts shown in the schedule do not, by themselves, represent the underlying value of the Company as a whole.

10. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Commitments and Guarantees

Contractual amounts of off-balance sheet financial instruments used to meet the financing needs of our customers are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Unfunded commitments to extend credit	\$ 15,561,565	\$ 14,277,347
Standby letters of credit:		
Financial	812,493	774,427
Performance	179,108	190,029
Commercial letters of credit	62,832	91,978
Total unfunded lending commitments	<u>\$ 16,615,998</u>	<u>\$ 15,333,781</u>

The Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K contains further information about these commitments and guarantees including their terms and collateral requirements. At September 30, 2013, the Company had recorded approximately \$8.2 million as a liability for the guarantees associated with the standby letters of credit, which consisted of \$4.7 million attributable to the RULC and \$3.5 million of deferred commitment fees.

At September 30, 2013, the Parent has guaranteed \$15 million of debt of affiliated trusts issuing trust preferred securities. In connection with the redemption on May 3, 2013 of all of the \$285 million of Zions Capital Trust B trust preferred securities, as discussed in Note 7, the Parent canceled its guarantee for these securities.

At September 30, 2013, we had unfunded commitments for private equity and other noninterest-bearing investments of \$28.1 million. These obligations have no stated maturity.

Legal Matters

We are subject to litigation in court and arbitral proceedings, as well as proceedings, investigations, examinations and other actions brought or considered by governmental and self-regulatory agencies. At any given time, litigation may relate to lending, deposit and other customer relationships, vendor and contractual issues, employee matters, intellectual property matters, personal injuries and torts, regulatory and legal compliance, and other matters. While most matters relate to individual claims, we are also subject to putative class action claims and similar broader claims. Current putative class actions and similar claims include the following:

- a complaint relating to allegedly wrongful acts in our processing of overdraft fees on debit card transactions in which the plaintiffs seek monetary awards on the basis of various common law claims, Barlow, et. al. v. Zions First National Bank and Zions Bancorporation, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Utah;
- a complaint relating to our banking relationships with customers that allegedly engaged in wrongful telemarketing practices in which the plaintiff seeks a trebled monetary award under the federal RICO Act, Reyes v. Zions First National Bank, et. al., pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania; and
- a complaint arising from our banking relationships with Frederick Berg and a number of investment funds controlled by him using the “Meridian” brand name, in which the liquidating trustee for the funds seeks an award from us, on the basis of aiding and abetting liability, for monetary damages suffered by victims of a fraud allegedly perpetrated by Berg, In re Consolidated Meridian Funds a/k/a Meridian Investors Trust, Mark Calvert as Liquidating Trustee, et. al. vs. Zions Bancorporation and The Commerce Bank of Washington, N.A., pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Washington.

In the third quarter of 2013, the parties to the Barlow case entered into definitive settlement agreements, which cover all of our affiliates alleged to have engaged in wrongful processing. The amount of the settlement is reflected in our accruals for legal losses as of September 30, 2013. The settlement is subject to court approval. Another overdraft case, Sadlier, et. al. v. National Bank of Arizona, brought in the Superior Court for the State of Arizona, County of Maricopa, was dismissed with prejudice in the second quarter of 2013.

In the third quarter of 2013, the District Court denied the plaintiff’s motion for class certification in the Reyes case. The plaintiff has filed a motion to appeal the District Court decision. We have elected not to oppose that motion.

Discovery has been completed in the Reyes case, but has not commenced in the Meridian Funds case.

At any given time, proceedings, investigations, examinations and other actions brought or considered by governmental and self-regulatory agencies may relate to our banking, investment advisory, trust, securities, and other products and services; our customers’ involvement in money-laundering, fraud, securities violations and other illicit activities or our policies and practices relating to such customer activities; and our compliance with the broad range of banking, securities and other laws and regulations applicable to us. At any given time, we may be in the process of responding to subpoenas, requests for documents, data and testimony relating to such matters and engaging in discussions to resolve the matters. Significant investigations and similar inquiries to which we are currently subject relate to:

- possible money laundering activities of a customer of one of our banking subsidiaries and the anti-money laundering practices of that bank (conducted by the United States Attorneys Office for the Southern District of New York); and

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

- the practices of our subsidiary, Zions Bank; our former subsidiary, NetDeposit, LLC; and possibly other of our affiliates relating primarily to payment processing for allegedly fraudulent telemarketers (conducted by the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania).

These two matters appear to be ongoing.

At least quarterly, we review outstanding and new legal matters, utilizing then available information. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, if we determine that a loss from a matter is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, we establish an accrual for the loss. In the absence of such a determination, no accrual is made. Once established, accruals are adjusted to reflect developments relating to the matters.

In our review, we also assess whether we can determine the range of reasonably possible losses for significant matters in which we are unable to determine that the likelihood of a loss is remote. Because of the difficulty of predicting the outcome of legal matters, discussed subsequently, we are able to estimate such a range only for a limited number of matters. We currently estimate the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses for those matters to be from \$0 million to approximately \$60 million in excess of amounts accrued. This estimated range of reasonably possible losses is based on information currently available as of September 30, 2013. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from this estimate. Those matters for which an estimate is not possible are not included within this estimated range and, therefore, this estimated range does not represent our maximum loss exposure.

Based on our current knowledge, we believe that our current estimated liability for litigation and other legal actions and claims, reflected in our accruals and determined in accordance with applicable accounting guidance, is adequate and that liabilities in excess of the amounts currently accrued, if any, arising from litigation and other legal actions and claims for which an estimate as previously described is possible, will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. However, in light of the significant uncertainties involved in these matters, and the very large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows for any given reporting period.

Any estimate or determination relating to the future resolution of litigation, arbitration, governmental or self-regulatory examinations, investigations or actions or similar matters is inherently uncertain and involves significant judgment. This is particularly true in the early stages of a legal matter, when legal issues and facts have not been well articulated, reviewed, analyzed, and vetted through discovery, preparation for trial or hearings, substantive and productive mediation or settlement discussions, or other actions. It is also particularly true with respect to class action and similar claims involving multiple defendants, matters with complex procedural requirements or substantive issues or novel legal theories, and examinations, investigations and other actions conducted or brought by governmental and self-regulatory agencies, in which the normal adjudicative process is not applicable. Accordingly, we usually are unable to determine whether a favorable or unfavorable outcome is remote, reasonably likely, or probable, or to estimate the amount or range of a probable or reasonably likely loss, until relatively late in the course of a legal matter, sometimes not until a number of years have elapsed. Accordingly, our judgments and estimates relating to claims will change from time to time in light of developments and actual outcomes will differ from our estimates. These differences may be material.

11. RETIREMENT PLANS

The following discloses the net periodic benefit cost (credit) and its components for the Company's pension and postretirement plans:

	Pension benefits		Supplemental retirement benefits		Postretirement benefits		Pension benefits		Supplemental retirement benefits		Postretirement benefits	
	Three Months Ended September 30,						Nine Months Ended September 30,					
(In thousands)	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service cost	\$ —	\$ (3)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 8	\$ 9	\$ —	\$ 22	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 24	\$ 27
Interest cost	1,721	1,885	101	115	10	12	5,164	5,669	303	345	31	35
Expected return on plan assets	(3,027)	(2,827)					(9,082)	(8,481)				
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)			31	31	(37)	(61)			93	93	(113)	(183)
Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss	2,033	2,198	17	(28)	(19)	(22)	6,099	6,889	52	(85)	(56)	(65)
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	\$ 727	\$ 1,253	\$ 149	\$ 118	\$ (38)	\$ (62)	\$ 2,181	\$ 4,099	\$ 448	\$ 353	\$ (114)	\$ (186)

As disclosed in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company has frozen its participation and benefit accruals for the pension plan and its contributions for individual benefit payments in the postretirement benefit plan.

12. OPERATING SEGMENT INFORMATION

We manage our operations and prepare management reports and other information with a primary focus on geographical area. As of September 30, 2013, we operate eight community/regional banks in distinct geographical areas. Performance assessment and resource allocation are based upon this geographical structure. Zions Bank operates 100 branches in Utah and 26 branches in Idaho. CB&T operates 97 branches in California. Amegy operates 84 branches in Texas. NBAZ operates 72 branches in Arizona. NSB operates 51 branches in Nevada. Vectra operates 38 branches in Colorado and one branch in New Mexico. TCBW operates one branch in the state of Washington. TCBO operates one branch in Oregon.

The operating segment identified as "Other" includes the Parent, Zions Management Services Company ("ZMSC"), certain nonbank financial service subsidiaries, TCBO, and eliminations of transactions between segments. The Parent's operations are significant to the Other segment. Net interest income is substantially affected by the Parent's interest on long-term debt. Net impairment losses on investment securities relate to the Parent. ZMSC provides internal technology and operational services to affiliated operating businesses of the Company. ZMSC charges most of its costs to the affiliates on an approximate break-even basis.

The accounting policies of the individual operating segments are the same as those of the Company. Transactions between operating segments are primarily conducted at fair value, resulting in profits that are eliminated for reporting consolidated results of operations. Operating segments pay for centrally provided services based upon estimated or actual usage of those services.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following table presents selected operating segment information for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

(In millions)	Zions Bank		CB&T		Amegy		NBAZ		NSB	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
CONDENSED INCOME STATEMENT										
Net interest income	\$ 145.1	\$ 165.0	\$ 109.8	\$ 118.0	\$ 95.5	\$ 91.6	\$ 40.5	\$ 41.9	\$ 28.4	\$ 30.4
Provision for loan losses	(9.5)	17.1	(3.0)	(2.8)	12.8	(18.3)	(7.3)	1.7	—	(1.2)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	154.6	147.9	112.8	120.8	82.7	109.9	47.8	40.2	28.4	31.6
Net impairment losses on investment securities	—	(0.1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Loss on sale of investment securities to Parent	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other noninterest income	47.7	52.1	20.8	19.3	37.1	38.3	8.6	7.6	11.4	8.8
Noninterest expense	119.1	123.5	75.9	85.2	75.3	86.3	35.3	37.3	32.0	31.3
Income (loss) before income taxes	83.2	76.4	57.7	54.9	44.5	61.9	21.1	10.5	7.8	9.1
Income tax expense (benefit)	30.2	27.4	23.0	21.8	14.9	20.8	7.7	3.4	2.6	3.1
Net income (loss)	\$ 53.0	\$ 49.0	\$ 34.7	\$ 33.1	\$ 29.6	\$ 41.1	\$ 13.4	\$ 7.1	\$ 5.2	\$ 6.0
AVERAGE BALANCE SHEET DATA										
Total assets	\$18,237	\$17,641	\$10,869	\$11,000	\$13,453	\$12,231	\$ 4,624	\$ 4,447	\$4,022	\$4,085
Cash and due from banks	339	359	164	168	255	259	70	70	87	83
Money market investments	3,868	2,725	1,288	1,296	2,823	2,446	444	482	883	936
Total securities	1,287	1,331	321	362	285	313	320	274	785	774
Total loans	12,271	12,566	8,328	8,332	8,981	8,073	3,600	3,402	2,158	2,156
Total deposits	15,951	15,140	9,261	9,404	11,024	9,805	3,945	3,766	3,600	3,597
Shareholder's equity:										
Preferred equity	280	444	162	184	248	330	153	198	90	180
Common equity	1,530	1,441	1,326	1,307	1,805	1,689	410	379	310	286
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total shareholder's equity	1,810	1,885	1,488	1,491	2,053	2,019	563	577	400	466
							Consolidated Company			
</										

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following table presents selected operating segment information for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

0112.

(In millions)	Zions Bank		CB&T		Amegy		NBAZ		NSB	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
CONDENSED INCOME STATEMENT										
Net interest income	\$ 447.4	\$ 498.7	\$ 347.0	\$ 352.4	\$ 284.9	\$ 278.5	\$ 122.4	\$ 125.3	\$ 84.4	\$ 92.6
Provision for loan losses	(18.4)	78.0	(12.8)	(9.5)	4.7	(50.5)	(12.0)	10.7	(8.9)	(9.5)
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	465.8	420.7	359.8	361.9	280.2	329.0	134.4	114.6	93.3	102.1
Net impairment losses on investment securities	—	(0.3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Loss on sale of investment securities to Parent	—	—	—	(9.2)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other noninterest income	152.2	163.5	62.1	57.5	111.0	115.0	25.6	23.2	30.0	25.3
Noninterest expense	362.1	371.0	260.7	250.8	251.4	255.1	104.9	113.2	97.9	101.0
Income (loss) before income taxes	255.9	212.9	161.2	159.4	139.8	188.9	55.1	24.6	25.4	26.4
Income tax expense (benefit)	93.3	75.5	63.7	63.1	46.8	62.9	20.5	8.9	8.6	9.1
Net income (loss)	\$ 162.6	\$ 137.4	\$ 97.5	\$ 96.3	\$ 93.0	\$ 126.0	\$ 34.6	\$ 15.7	\$ 16.8	\$ 17.3
AVERAGE BALANCE SHEET DATA										
Total assets	\$17,609	\$17,410	\$10,871	\$10,905	\$13,122	\$12,116	\$ 4,612	\$ 4,457	\$4,046	\$4,127
Cash and due from banks	347	367	168	176	283	293	70	70	85	87
Money market investments	3,113	2,507	1,284	1,175	2,563	2,357	452	569	944	960
Total securities	1,279	1,380	333	350	336	331	298	276	769	770
Total loans	12,330	12,501	8,297	8,342	8,790	8,012	3,588	3,321	2,127	2,176
Total deposits	15,314	14,887	9,267	9,246	10,707	9,625	3,919	3,732	3,606	3,597
Shareholder's equity:										
Preferred equity	280	468	162	231	250	409	171	259	114	227
Common equity	1,524	1,419	1,324	1,293	1,775	1,665	406	363	304	280
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total shareholder's equity	1,804	1,887	1,486	1,524	2,025	2,074	577	622	418	507
			</							

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are based on other than historical data are forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations or forecasts of future events and include, among others:

- statements with respect to the beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, guidelines, expectations, anticipations, and future financial condition, results of operations and performance of Zions Bancorporation (“the Parent”) and its subsidiaries (collectively “the Company,” “Zions,” “we,” “our,” “us”);
- statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words “may,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “projects,” or similar expressions.

These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, nor should they be relied upon as representing management’s views as of any subsequent date. Forward-looking statements involve significant risks and uncertainties and actual results may differ materially from those presented, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, those presented in Management’s Discussion and Analysis. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- the Company’s ability to successfully execute its business plans, manage its risks, and achieve its objectives;
- changes in local, national and international political and economic conditions, including without limitation the political and economic effects of the recent economic crisis, delay of recovery from that crisis, economic conditions and fiscal imbalances in the United States and other countries, potential or actual downgrades in rating of sovereign debt issued by the United States and other countries, and other major developments, including wars, military actions, and terrorist attacks;
- changes in financial market conditions, either internationally, nationally or locally in areas in which the Company conducts its operations, including without limitation reduced rates of business formation and growth, commercial and residential real estate development and real estate prices;
- fluctuations in markets for equity, fixed-income, commercial paper and other securities, including availability, market liquidity levels, and pricing;
- changes in interest rates, the quality and composition of the loan and securities portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows and competition;
- acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses;
- increases in the levels of losses, customer bankruptcies, bank failures, claims, and assessments;
- changes in fiscal, monetary, regulatory, trade and tax policies and laws, and regulatory assessments and fees, including policies of the U.S. Department of Treasury, the OCC, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board System, the FDIC, the SEC, and the CFPB;
- the impact of executive compensation rules under the Dodd-Frank Act and banking regulations which may impact the ability of the Company and other American financial institutions to retain and recruit executives and other personnel necessary for their businesses and competitiveness;
- the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act and of new international standards known as Basel III, and rules and regulations thereunder, many of which have not yet been promulgated or are not yet effective, on our required regulatory capital and liquidity levels, governmental assessments on us, the scope of business activities in which we may engage, the manner in which we engage in such activities, the fees we may charge for certain products and services, and other matters affected by the Dodd-Frank Act and these international standards;
- continuing consolidation in the financial services industry;
- new legal claims against the Company, including litigation, arbitration and proceedings brought by governmental or self-regulatory agencies, or changes in existing legal matters;
- success in gaining regulatory approvals, when required;

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

- changes in consumer spending and savings habits;
- increased competitive challenges and expanding product and pricing pressures among financial institutions;
- inflation and deflation;
- technological changes and the Company's implementation of new technologies;
- the Company's ability to develop and maintain secure and reliable information technology systems;
- legislation or regulatory changes which adversely affect the Company's operations or business;
- the Company's ability to comply with applicable laws and regulations;
- changes in accounting policies or procedures as may be required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or regulatory agencies; and
- costs of deposit insurance and changes with respect to FDIC insurance coverage levels.

Except to the extent required by law, the Company specifically disclaims any obligation to update any factors or to publicly announce the result of revisions to any of the forward-looking statements included herein to reflect future events or developments.

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

ABS	Asset-Backed Security	FAMC	Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation, or "Farmer Mac"
ACL	Allowance for Credit Losses	FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
AFS	Available-for-Sale	FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
ALCO	Asset/Liability Committee	FHLB	Federal Home Loan Bank
ALLL	Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses	FICO	Fair Isaac Corporation
Amegy	Amegy Corporation	FRB	Federal Reserve Board
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification	GNMA	Government National Mortgage Association
ASU	Accounting Standards Update	HECL	Home Equity Credit Line
ATM	Automated Teller Machine	HTM	Held-to-Maturity
BCF	Beneficial Conversion Feature	IA	Indemnification Asset
bps	basis points	IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards
CB&T	California Bank & Trust	ISDA	International Swap Dealer Association
CCAR	Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review	LGD	Loss Given Default
CDO	Collateralized Debt Obligation	LIBOR	London Interbank Offered Rate
CDR	Constant Default Rate	Lockhart	Lockhart Funding LLC
CET1	Common Equity Tier 1	MRMG	Model Risk Management Group
CFPB	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau	MVE	Market Value of Equity
CLTV	Combined Loan-to-Value Ratio	NBAZ	National Bank of Arizona
CPR	Constant Prepayment Rate	NRSRO	Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization
CRE	Commercial Real Estate	NSB	Nevada State Bank
CSV	Cash Surrender Value	OCC	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
DB	Deutsche Bank AG	OCI	Other Comprehensive Income
DBRS	Dominion Bond Rating Service	OREO	Other Real Estate Owned
Dodd-Frank Act	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act	OTC	Over-the-Counter
DTA	Deferred Tax Asset	OTTI	Other-Than-Temporary Impairment
DTL	Deferred Tax Liability	Parent	Zions Bancorporation

PCI	Purchased Credit Impaired	TAG	Transaction Account Guarantee
PD	Probability of Default	TARP	Troubled Asset Relief Program
PIK	Payment in Kind	TCBO	The Commerce Bank of Oregon
REIT	Real Estate Investment Trust	TCBW	The Commerce Bank of Washington
RULC	Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments	TDR	Troubled Debt Restructuring
SBA	Small Business Administration	TRS	Total Return Swap
SBIC	Small Business Investment Company	Vectra	Vectra Bank Colorado
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission	Zions Bank	Zions First National Bank
SOC	Securitization Oversight Committee	ZMSC	Zions Management Services Company

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES

The Company has made no significant changes in its critical accounting policies and significant estimates from those disclosed in its 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company reported net earnings applicable to common shareholders of \$209.7 million, or \$1.12 per diluted share for the third quarter of 2013, compared to \$62.3 million, or \$0.34 per diluted share for the same prior year period. The following changes had a favorable impact on net earnings applicable to common shareholders:

- \$125.7 million increase in preferred stock redemption;
- \$22.2 million decrease in the provision for unfunded lending commitments;
- \$20.0 million reduction in preferred stock dividends;
- \$13.0 million decline in total interest expense; and
- \$6.1 million decrease in credit-related expense.

The impact of these items was partially offset by the following:

- \$35.6 million decline in total interest income;
- \$9.0 million increase in salaries and employee benefits;
- \$6.3 million increase in net impairment losses on investment securities;
- \$3.7 million increase in professional and legal services; and
- \$2.2 million decrease in loan sales and servicing income.

Net earnings applicable to common shareholders for the first nine months of 2013 were \$353.4 million, or \$1.90 per diluted share, compared to net earnings applicable to common shareholders of \$143.0 million, or \$0.77 per diluted share in the corresponding prior year period. The improved result reflects the following:

- \$125.7 million increase in preferred stock redemption;
- \$81.2 million reduction in the provision for loan losses;
- \$70.7 million decline in preferred stock dividends;
- \$51.4 million decrease in total interest expense; and
- \$26.1 million reduction in the provision for unfunded lending commitments.

The impact of these items was partially offset by the following:

- \$89.1 million decline in total interest income;
- \$40.3 million increase in debt extinguishment cost;
- \$22.5 million increase in other noninterest expense;
- \$20.7 million increase in salaries and employee benefits; and
- \$7.3 million increase in professional and legal services.

During 2009, the Company executed a subordinated debt modification and exchange transaction. The original discount on the convertible subordinated debt was \$679 million and the remaining discount at September 30, 2013 was \$113 million. It included the following components:

- the fair value discount on the debt; and
- the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature which added the right of the debt holder to convert the debt into preferred stock.

The discount associated with the convertible subordinated debt is amortized to interest expense using the interest method over the remaining term of the subordinated debt (referred to herein as “discount amortization”). When holders of the convertible subordinated notes convert to preferred stock, the rate of amortization is accelerated by immediately expensing any unamortized discount associated with the converted debt (referred to herein as “accelerated discount amortization”).

The impact of the conversion of subordinated debt into preferred stock is further discussed in the “Capital Management” section.

Net Interest Income, Margin and Interest Rate Spreads

Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. Taxable-equivalent net interest income is the largest portion of the Company’s revenue. For the third quarter of 2013, taxable-equivalent net interest income was \$419.2 million, compared to \$434.6 million for the second quarter of 2013, and \$442.6 million for the third quarter of 2012. The tax rate used for calculating all taxable-equivalent adjustments was 35% for all periods presented.

Net interest margin in the third quarter of 2013 vs. the same prior year period

The net interest margin was 3.22% and 3.58% for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 3.44% for the second quarter of 2013. The decreased net interest margin for the third quarter of 2013 compared to the same prior year period resulted primarily from:

- lower yields on loans excluding FDIC-supported loans, and AFS investment securities; and
- increased balance of low-yielding money market investments.

The impact of these items was partially offset by the following favorable developments:

- lower yields on long-term debt and deposit funding; and
- higher yields on FDIC-supported loans.

Even though the Company’s average loan portfolio, excluding FDIC-supported loans, was \$1,233 million higher in the third quarter of 2013 than in the same prior year period, the average interest rate earned on those assets was 43 bps lower. This decline in interest income was primarily caused by (1) adjustable rate loans originated in the past resetting to lower rates due to the current repricing index being lower than the rate when the loans were originated, and (2) maturing loans, many of which had rate floors, being replaced with new loans at lower original coupons and/or lower floors compared to the rates at which loans were originated when spreads were higher.

The yield earned on AFS securities during the third quarter of 2013 was 71 bps lower than in the comparable prior year period. The interest rates for most of the securities in the AFS securities portfolio are based on variable rate indexes such as the 3-month LIBOR rate, which decreased between these reporting periods.

During the third quarter of 2013, most of the Company’s excess liquidity was invested in money market assets, primarily deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank. Average money market investments increased to 18% of total interest-earning assets in the third quarter of 2013 compared to 16% in the comparable prior year period. The average rate earned by these investments remained essentially unchanged between these time periods.

Noninterest-bearing demand deposits provided the Company with low cost funding and comprised 40% of average total deposits in the third quarter of 2013 compared to 39% for the same period in 2012. Additionally, the average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits in the third quarter of 2013 decreased by 7 bps when compared to the third

quarter of 2012. As a practical matter, it is becoming difficult to reduce deposit costs further as those costs approach zero.

In the third quarter of 2013, the Company issued \$87.9 million of new fixed/floating subordinated notes. This transaction, along with the redemptions, repurchases, and issuances of new lower cost senior debt during the second and third quarters of 2013, resulted in a net reduction of the three-month average balance of long-term debt of \$98.7 million from the third quarter of 2012. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2013, the average interest rate of long-term debt was 112 bps lower than in the same prior year period. The decrease can be attributed to the lower cost of new debt issuances and the redemption of higher cost debt. Refer to the “Liquidity Risk Management” section for more information.

See “Interest Rate and Market Risk Management” for further discussion of how we manage the portfolios of interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities, and the associated risk.

The spread on average interest-bearing funds was 2.89% and 3.19% for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The spread on average interest-bearing funds for the third quarter of 2013 was affected by the same factors that had an impact on the net interest margin.

If we assume no further changes in the mix, volume, and interest rates of interest-earning assets, we expect net interest income to decline further by a moderate amount, as the aforementioned factors, such as loan repricing, are expected to continue. Furthermore, competitive pricing pressures on loans and lower benchmark indices (such as LIBOR) have resulted in lower rates achieved on new loan production compared to those produced in the past, in part due to the current “accommodative” U.S. monetary policy, which has resulted in a significant increase in the money supply, but has not yet resulted in strong borrowing demand by qualified customers. We believe that some of our competitors may have more liberal lending policies and processes than the Company, and may offer their customers a broader array of product, pricing and structure alternatives. Nevertheless, we believe we can offset some of the pressure on the net interest margin primarily through loan growth; however, net interest income is likely to decline over the next year compared to the first nine months of 2013, and the quarterly path may exhibit some volatility.

The unamortized discount on convertible subordinated debt was \$113 million as of September 30, 2013, or 25% of the \$457 million of remaining outstanding convertible subordinated notes, and will be amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the debt using the interest method. At December 31, 2012, the unamortized discount on the convertible subordinated debt was \$149 million, or 33% of the \$458 million of convertible subordinated notes outstanding at that time.

The Company expects to remain “asset-sensitive” with regard to interest rate risk. The current period of low interest rates has lasted for several years. During this time, the Company has maintained an interest rate risk position that is more asset sensitive than it was prior to the economic crisis, and, we believe, more than most peers, and it expects to maintain this more asset-sensitive position for what may be a prolonged period. With interest rates at low levels, there is a reduced need to protect against falling interest rates. Our estimates of the Company’s actual interest rate risk position are highly dependent upon a number of assumptions regarding the repricing behavior of various deposit and loan types in response to changes in both short-term and long-term interest rates, balance sheet composition, and other modeling assumptions, as well as the actions of competitors and customers in response to those changes. In addition, our modeled projections for noninterest-bearing demand deposits, a substantial portion of our deposit balances, are particularly reliant on assumptions for which there is little historical experience. Further detail on interest rate risk is discussed in “Interest Rate Risk” on page 79 of the Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in this filing in “Interest Rate Risk.”

The following schedule summarizes the average balances, the amount of interest earned or incurred, and the applicable yields for interest-earning assets and the costs of interest-bearing liabilities that generate taxable-equivalent net interest income.

CONSOLIDATED AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS, YIELDS AND RATES

(Unaudited)

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		
	Average balance	Amount of interest ¹	Average rate	Average balance	Amount of interest ¹	Average rate
ASSETS						
Money market investments	\$ 9,454,131	\$ 6,175	0.26%	\$ 7,990,243	\$ 5,349	0.27%
Securities:						
Held-to-maturity	778,268	9,283	4.73%	758,761	10,138	5.32%
Available-for-sale	3,071,039	17,182	2.22%	3,052,559	22,507	2.93%
Trading account	25,959	210	3.21%	13,691	110	3.20%
Total securities	<u>3,875,266</u>	<u>26,675</u>	<u>2.73%</u>	<u>3,825,011</u>	<u>32,755</u>	<u>3.41%</u>
Loans held for sale	<u>131,652</u>	<u>1,228</u>	<u>3.70%</u>	<u>183,224</u>	<u>1,621</u>	<u>3.52%</u>
Loans ² :						
Loans and leases	37,818,273	422,085	4.43%	36,585,753	447,061	4.86%
FDIC-supported loans	405,316	20,959	20.52%	613,710	26,648	17.27%
Total loans	<u>38,223,589</u>	<u>443,044</u>	<u>4.60%</u>	<u>37,199,463</u>	<u>473,709</u>	<u>5.07%</u>
Total interest-earning assets	<u>51,684,638</u>	<u>477,122</u>	<u>3.66%</u>	<u>49,197,941</u>	<u>513,434</u>	<u>4.15%</u>
Cash and due from banks	976,159			1,000,159		
Allowance for loan losses	(810,290)			(964,676)		
Goodwill	1,014,129			1,015,129		
Core deposit and other intangibles	41,751			57,345		
Other assets	2,608,252			3,060,914		
Total assets	<u>\$ 55,514,639</u>			<u>\$ 53,366,812</u>		
LIABILITIES						
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Savings and money market	\$ 22,982,998	9,811	0.17%	\$ 22,025,891	12,503	0.23%
Time	2,749,985	3,856	0.56%	3,162,165	5,484	0.69%
Foreign	1,675,256	839	0.20%	1,472,437	1,062	0.29%
Total interest-bearing deposits	<u>27,408,239</u>	<u>14,506</u>	<u>0.21%</u>	<u>26,660,493</u>	<u>19,049</u>	<u>0.28%</u>
Borrowed funds:						
Securities sold, not yet purchased	2,853	—	—%	2,062	—	—%
Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements	257,891	71	0.11%	453,209	157	0.14%
Other short-term borrowings	—	—	—%	8,273	36	1.73%
Long-term debt	2,198,752	43,309	7.81%	2,297,409	51,597	8.93%
Total borrowed funds	<u>2,459,496</u>	<u>43,380</u>	<u>7.00%</u>	<u>2,760,953</u>	<u>51,790</u>	<u>7.46%</u>
Total interest-bearing liabilities	<u>29,867,735</u>	<u>57,886</u>	<u>0.77%</u>	<u>29,421,446</u>	<u>70,839</u>	<u>0.96%</u>
Noninterest-bearing deposits	18,179,584			16,817,085		
Other liabilities	591,735			606,973		
Total liabilities	<u>48,639,054</u>			<u>46,845,504</u>		
Shareholders' equity:						
Preferred equity	1,685,512			1,765,162		
Common equity	5,190,073			4,758,858		
Controlling interest shareholders' equity	6,875,585			6,524,020		
Noncontrolling interests				(2,712)		
Total shareholders' equity	<u>6,875,585</u>			<u>6,521,308</u>		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 55,514,639</u>			<u>\$ 53,366,812</u>		
Spread on average interest-bearing funds			2.89%			3.19%
Taxable-equivalent net interest income and net yield on interest-earning assets		<u>\$ 419,236</u>	3.22%		<u>\$ 442,595</u>	3.58%

¹ Taxable-equivalent rates used where applicable.

² Net of unearned income and fees, net of related costs. Loans include nonaccrual and restructured loans.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS, YIELDS AND RATES

(Unaudited)

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		
	Average balance	Amount of interest ¹	Average rate	Average balance	Amount of interest ¹	Average rate
ASSETS						
Money market investments	\$ 8,744,361	\$ 17,378	0.27%	\$ 7,687,336	\$ 15,076	0.26%
Securities:						
Held-to-maturity	758,694	28,191	4.97%	785,351	32,476	5.52%
Available-for-sale	3,065,977	54,487	2.38%	3,076,963	71,814	3.12%
Trading account	28,304	687	3.25%	24,546	596	3.24%
Total securities	<u>3,852,975</u>	<u>83,365</u>	2.89%	<u>3,886,860</u>	<u>104,886</u>	3.60%
Loans held for sale	<u>158,920</u>	<u>4,216</u>	3.55%	<u>171,853</u>	<u>4,684</u>	3.64%
Loans ² :						
Loans and leases	37,481,302	1,275,652	4.55%	36,304,366	1,355,115	4.99%
FDIC-supported loans	451,931	82,551	24.42%	662,549	74,623	15.04%
Total loans	<u>37,933,233</u>	<u>1,358,203</u>	4.79%	<u>36,966,915</u>	<u>1,429,738</u>	5.17%
Total interest-earning assets	<u>50,689,489</u>	<u>1,463,162</u>	3.86%	<u>48,712,964</u>	<u>1,554,384</u>	4.26%
Cash and due from banks	1,012,912			1,049,426		
Allowance for loan losses	(843,830)			(1,006,423)		
Goodwill	1,014,129			1,015,129		
Core deposit and other intangibles	45,334			61,549		
Other assets	<u>2,767,719</u>			<u>3,114,532</u>		
Total assets	<u>\$ 54,685,753</u>			<u>\$ 52,947,177</u>		
LIABILITIES						
Interest-bearing deposits:						
Savings and money market	\$ 22,864,006	30,367	0.18%	\$ 21,962,158	41,333	0.25%
Time	2,841,862	12,510	0.59%	3,265,070	18,177	0.74%
Foreign	1,615,971	2,414	0.20%	1,457,236	3,775	0.35%
Total interest-bearing deposits	<u>27,321,839</u>	<u>45,291</u>	0.22%	<u>26,684,464</u>	<u>63,285</u>	0.32%
Borrowed funds:						
Securities sold, not yet purchased	2,483	—	—%	10,286	220	2.86%
Federal funds purchased and security repurchase agreements	277,049	222	0.11%	485,182	472	0.13%
Other short-term borrowings	1,265	19	2.01%	23,264	536	3.08%
Long-term debt	<u>2,247,608</u>	<u>141,563</u>	8.42%	<u>2,206,597</u>	<u>173,969</u>	10.53%
Total borrowed funds	<u>2,528,405</u>	<u>141,804</u>	7.50%	<u>2,725,329</u>	<u>175,197</u>	8.59%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	<u>29,850,244</u>	<u>187,095</u>	0.84%	<u>29,409,793</u>	<u>238,482</u>	1.08%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	17,676,886			16,247,937		
Other liabilities	<u>586,327</u>			<u>602,997</u>		
Total liabilities	<u>48,113,457</u>			<u>46,260,727</u>		
Shareholders' equity:						
Preferred equity	1,479,684			1,983,054		
Common equity	<u>5,094,889</u>			<u>4,705,827</u>		
Controlling interest shareholders' equity	6,574,573			6,688,881		
Noncontrolling interests	<u>(2,277)</u>			<u>(2,431)</u>		
Total shareholders' equity	<u>6,572,296</u>			<u>6,686,450</u>		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 54,685,753</u>			<u>\$ 52,947,177</u>		
Spread on average interest-bearing funds			3.02%			3.18%
Taxable-equivalent net interest income and net yield on interest-earning assets		<u>\$ 1,276,067</u>	3.37%		<u>\$ 1,315,902</u>	3.61%

¹ Taxable-equivalent rates used where applicable.

² Net of unearned income and fees, net of related costs. Loans include nonaccrual and restructured loans.

Provisions for Credit Losses

The provision for loan losses is the amount of expense that, in our judgment, is required to maintain the allowance for loan losses at an adequate level based upon the inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The provision for unfunded lending commitments is used to maintain the reserve for unfunded lending commitments at an adequate level based upon the inherent risks associated with such commitments. In determining adequate levels of the allowance and reserve, we perform periodic evaluations of the Company's various loan portfolios, the levels of actual charge-offs, credit trends, and external factors. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Credit Risk Management" for more information on how we determine the appropriate level for the ALLL and the RULC.

The provision for loan losses for the third quarter of 2013 was \$(5.6) million compared to \$(1.9) million for the same prior year period. For the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, the provision for loan losses was \$(56.6) million and \$24.6 million, respectively. During the past few years, the Company has experienced a significant improvement in credit quality metrics, including lower levels of criticized and classified loans and lower realized loss rates in most loan segments. However, the Company continues to exercise caution with regard to the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses given the slow economic recovery. At September 30, 2013, classified loans, excluding FDIC-supported loans, were \$1.4 billion compared to \$1.8 billion at September 30, 2012.

Net loan and lease charge-offs were \$9 million in the third quarter of 2013 compared to \$39 million in the same prior year period. The annualized ratio of net loan and lease charge-offs to average loans declined from 0.41% in the third quarter of 2012 to 0.09% in the third quarter of 2013. See "Nonperforming Assets" and "Allowance and Reserve for Credit Losses" for further details.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company recorded a \$(19.9) million provision for unfunded lending commitments compared to \$2.3 million for the same prior year period. For the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, the provision for unfunded lending commitments was \$(22.7) million and \$3.4 million, respectively. The overall decrease in the provision for unfunded lending commitments resulted primarily from refinements in the process of estimating the rate at which such commitments are likely to convert into funded balances, and from continued improvements in credit quality. The decrease was partially offset by a moderate increase in unfunded lending commitments. From period to period, the expense related to the reserve for unfunded lending commitments may be subject to sizeable fluctuations due to changes in the timing and volume of loan commitments, originations, and funding, as well as fluctuations in credit quality. The Company expects that reductions to the provision for unfunded lending commitments will decrease in future quarters.

Although classified and nonperforming loan volumes continue to be elevated when compared to long-term historical levels, most measures of credit quality continued to show improvement during the third quarter of 2013. Barring any significant economic downturn, we expect the Company's credit costs to remain low for the next several quarters.

A significant portion of the earnings in recent periods is attributable to the reduction in the allowance for credit losses. This is primarily attributable to continued reduction in both the quantity of problem loans and the loss severity of such problem loans. Although we currently expect further reductions in the allowance based on expected improvements in credit quality, this source of earnings is not sustainable into perpetuity; furthermore, a deterioration in economic conditions within our footprint would likely result in net additions to the allowance, resulting in a significant change in profitability.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income represents revenues the Company earns for products and services that have no interest rate or yield associated with them. For the third quarter of 2013, noninterest income was \$122.2 million compared to \$125.2 million for the same prior year period.

Other service charges, commissions and fees, which are comprised of ATM fees, insurance commissions, bankcard merchant fees, debit and credit card interchange fees, cash management fees, lending commitment fees, syndication and servicing fees, and other miscellaneous fees increased by \$1.3 million in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the same prior year period. See Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for information regarding the reclassification of fees.

In the third quarter of 2013, other service charges, commissions and fees included approximately \$9 million of debit card interchange fees. The Company's interchange fees may be adversely affected in the future by the recent ruling of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, which invalidated the Federal Reserve Board's current regulation of debit card interchange fees.

Capital markets and foreign exchange income for the third quarter of 2013 was \$1.3 million higher than in the same prior year period. The increase was mostly due to higher trading income, increased fees from assisting customers in issuing bonds, and higher foreign exchange income.

Loan sales and servicing income was \$8.5 million for the third quarter of 2013, compared to \$10.7 million in the same prior year period. The decrease is mostly caused by decreased income from loan sales, which was the result of higher mortgage rates, fewer originations, and the fact that the Company retained more loans in its portfolio than in the third quarter of 2012.

Fixed income securities gains were \$1.6 million in the third quarter of 2013, compared to \$3.0 million in the same prior year period. In both 2013 and 2012 the gains resulted from the Company collecting principal payments for CDOs that had previously been written down.

The Company recognized net impairment losses on CDO investment securities of \$9.1 million and \$2.7 million during the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively. See "Investment Securities Portfolio" for additional information.

Other noninterest income earned in the third quarter of 2013 was \$1.7 million higher than in the same prior year period. The increase is primarily due to gains related to branch deposit sales.

During the first nine months of 2013, noninterest income was \$368.6 million compared to \$365.7 in the corresponding prior year period. Except for the items discussed below, explanations provided previously for the quarterly changes also apply to the year-to-date fluctuations.

Other service charges, commissions, and fees for the first nine months of 2013 were \$6.7 million higher than in the corresponding prior year period. The increase can be primarily attributed to higher interchange fees from both credit and debit cards, increased fees from arranging interest rates swaps for customers, and higher loan fees, partially offset by a decrease in brokered loan fees.

Capital markets and foreign exchange income for the first nine months of 2013 was \$2.4 million higher than in the same prior year period. The increase was caused mostly caused by higher trading and foreign exchange income.

Dividends and other investment income consists of revenue from the Company's bank-owned life insurance program, dividends from FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank stock, and earnings from other equity investments including Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation and certain alternative venture investments. For the first nine months of 2013, this income was \$36.2 million compared to \$42.7 million for the same period in 2012. The decrease was primarily caused by lower earnings from alternative venture investments.

Net gains from equity securities were \$8.2 million and \$11.9 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The gains recorded in 2013 were primarily related to unrealized gains from SBIC investments, the

settlement of investment funds, and sales of other investments. In 2012, such gains were primarily attributable to realized gains from SBIC investments.

Fixed income securities gains for the first nine months of 2013 were \$3.7 million, compared to \$9.3 million in the same prior year period. Higher gains in 2012 are attributable to the redemption of securities which had been acquired at discount from Lockhart Funding, and from principal payments received for CDOs that had previously been written down.

Other noninterest income for the first nine months of 2013 was \$6.1 million higher than in the same prior year period. The increase was mostly due to gains from sales of branch deposits, fixed and other assets, and gains related to certain loans which had been purchased from a failed bank.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense decreased by 6.2% to \$370.7 million in the third quarter of 2013 compared to the same prior year period. The decrease was primarily caused by a lower provision for unfunded lending commitments, and lower credit-related expense, partially offset by increased salaries and employee benefits and professional and legal services expenses.

Salaries and employee benefits for the third quarter of 2013 increased by 4.1% from the same period in 2012. Most of the increase can be attributed to higher base salaries and bonuses, which were partially offset by decreased share-based compensation.

Credit-related expense includes costs incurred during the foreclosure process prior to the Company obtaining title to collateral and recording an asset in OREO, as well as other out-of-pocket costs related to the management of problem loans and other assets. During the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, credit-related expense was \$7.3 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. The decrease is primarily attributable to lower legal expenses and foreclosure costs, as well as a decrease in property taxes paid during loan workouts. Additionally, the levels of problem credits have decreased compared to the prior year period.

Professional and legal services were \$16.5 million and \$12.7 million for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Most of the increase can be attributed to higher consulting expenses, which were partially offset by lower legal fees. The consulting expenses were related to the Company's upgrade of its stress testing and capital planning capabilities and processes to meet Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review ("CCAR") standards, and to consulting fees related to the Company's recently announced projects to replace and/or upgrade its core loan, deposit, and accounting systems. We expect to incur similar costs in the foreseeable future.

For the first nine months of 2013, noninterest expense was \$1,219.7 million compared to \$1,189.0 million in the same prior year period. Except for the items discussed below, explanations provided previously for the quarterly changes also apply to the year-to-date fluctuations.

Other real estate expense incurred in the first nine months of 2013 was 81.1% lower than in the same prior year period. The decrease is mostly caused by a significant reduction in OREO properties, smaller charge-downs, and lower holding costs.

The Company incurred \$40.3 million of debt extinguishment cost in the first nine months of 2013 due to the extinguishment of long-term debt discussed in Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. No such expenses were incurred in 2012.

Other noninterest expense was \$220.9 million and \$198.4 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase is primarily due to increased amortization of FDIC indemnification asset and increased travel expenses.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had 10,337 full-time equivalent employees, compared to 10,347 at September 30, 2012.

Income Taxes

The Company's income tax expense for the third quarter of 2013 was \$61.1 million compared to \$60.7 million for the same period in 2012. The effective income tax rates, including the effects of noncontrolling interests, were 35.4% for the third quarter of 2013 and 35.6% for the same period of 2012. The tax expense rate for the third quarter of 2013 was benefited primarily by the nontaxability of certain income items. The tax expense rate for the third quarter of 2012 was increased primarily by the nondeductibility of a portion of the accelerated discount amortization from the conversion of subordinated debt to preferred stock during the quarter. The year-to-date tax rates for 2013 and 2012 were similarly impacted by the above-discussed permanent items.

The Company had a net deferred tax asset ("DTA") balance of \$367 million at September 30, 2013, compared to \$406 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in the DTA resulted primarily from loan charge-offs in excess of loan loss provisions and fair value adjustments related to securities. The decrease in the deferred tax liability related to premises and equipment and FDIC-supported transactions offset some of the overall decrease in DTA. The Company did not record a valuation allowance as of September 30, 2013. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, both the positive and negative evidence about the realization of DTAs were evaluated. The ultimate realization of DTAs is based on the Company's ability to carry back net operating losses to prior tax periods, execute tax planning strategies that are prudent and feasible, and rely on current forecasts of future taxable income, including the reversal of deferred tax liabilities ("DTLs"), which can absorb losses generated in or carried forward to a particular tax year. After evaluating all of the factors and considering the weight of the positive evidence compared to the negative evidence, management has concluded it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the existing DTAs and that an additional valuation allowance is not needed. In addition, the Company has pursued strategies that may have the effect of mitigating the future possibility of a DTA valuation allowance.

BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

Interest-Earning Assets

Interest-earning assets are those assets that have interest rates or yields associated with them. One of our goals is to maintain a high level of interest-earning assets relative to total assets, while keeping nonearning assets at a minimum. Interest-earning assets consist of money market investments, securities, loans, and leases. Another goal is to maintain a higher-yielding mix of interest-earning assets, such as loans, relative to lower-yielding assets, such as money market investments and securities, while maintaining adequate levels of highly liquid assets. The current period of slow economic growth accompanied by the moderate loan demand experienced in recent quarters has made it difficult to consistently achieve these goals.

Average interest-earning assets were \$50.7 billion for the first nine months of 2013, compared to \$48.7 billion for the same prior year period. Average interest-earning assets as a percentage of total average assets for the first nine months of 2013 was 92.7%, compared to 92.0% for the same prior year period.

Average total loans were \$37.9 billion and \$37.0 billion for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The ratio of average loans as a percentage of total average assets for the first nine months of 2013 was 69.4%, compared to 69.8% in the corresponding prior year period.

Average money market investments, consisting of interest-bearing deposits, federal funds sold, and security resell agreements, increased by 13.8% to \$8.7 billion for the first nine months of 2013 compared to \$7.7 billion in the same period of 2012. Average securities decreased by 0.9% compared to the first nine months of 2012. Increased deposits combined with moderate loan growth resulted in higher balances of excess cash available for money

market investments. Average total deposits increased by 4.8% while average total loans increased 2.6% for the first nine months of 2013 when compared to the same prior year period.

Investment Securities Portfolio

We invest in securities to generate revenues for the Company; portions of the portfolio are also available as a source of liquidity. The following schedules present a profile of the Company's investment securities portfolio. The amortized cost amounts represent the Company's original cost of the investments, adjusted for related accumulated amortization or accretion of any yield adjustments, and for credit impairment losses. The estimated fair value measurement levels and methodology are discussed in detail in Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We have included selected credit rating information for certain of the investment securities schedules because this information is one indication of the degree of credit risk to which we are exposed, and significant declines in ratings for our investment portfolio could indicate an increased level of risk for the Company. The Dodd-Frank Act required that after July 21, 2011, federal agencies could no longer mandate the use of rating agency ratings. Final regulations and effective dates for this provision were issued in June 2012. Pursuant to these rules, during 2012, the Company began relying on its internal credit quality methodology to determine credit quality grading for regulatory reporting purposes for investment securities held by the subsidiary banks, which had the effect of improving the credit quality grades on some of the securities. The credit quality grading of securities is performed in accordance with SR 12-15, *Investing in Securities without Reliance on Nationally Recognized Statistical Ratings Organization Ratings*, and other related regulatory guidance. The Company considers factors including, but not limited to, the extent of over-collateralization and securities' performance under stress scenarios. Though they are based upon common factors, the credit quality grades do not directly impact either fair value or OTTI.

INVESTMENT SECURITIES PORTFOLIO

<i>(In millions)</i>	September 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Amortized cost	Carrying value	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	Carrying value	Estimated fair value
Held-to-maturity						
Municipal securities	\$ 558	\$ 558	\$ 565	\$ 525	\$ 525	\$ 537
Asset-backed securities:						
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	255	201	151	255	213	126
Other	21	19	12	22	19	12
	<u>834</u>	<u>778</u>	<u>728</u>	<u>802</u>	<u>757</u>	<u>675</u>
Available-for-sale						
U.S. Treasury securities	40	40	40	104	105	105
U.S. Government agencies and corporations:						
Agency securities	440	442	442	109	113	113
Agency guaranteed mortgage-backed securities	309	320	320	407	425	425
Small Business Administration loan-backed securities	1,139	1,165	1,165	1,124	1,153	1,153
Municipal securities	65	65	65	75	76	76
Asset-backed securities:						
Trust preferred securities – banks and insurance	1,522	1,002	1,002	1,596	949	949
Trust preferred securities – real estate investment trusts	39	20	20	41	16	16
Auction rate securities	7	7	7	7	7	7
Other	18	16	16	26	19	19
	<u>3,579</u>	<u>3,077</u>	<u>3,077</u>	<u>3,489</u>	<u>2,863</u>	<u>2,863</u>
Mutual funds and other	263	257	257	228	228	228
	<u>3,842</u>	<u>3,334</u>	<u>3,334</u>	<u>3,717</u>	<u>3,091</u>	<u>3,091</u>
Total	<u>\$ 4,676</u>	<u>\$ 4,112</u>	<u>\$ 4,062</u>	<u>\$ 4,519</u>	<u>\$ 3,848</u>	<u>\$ 3,766</u>

The amortized cost of investment securities on September 30, 2013 increased by 3.5% from the balances at December 31, 2012. The increase was primarily due to the purchase of Export-Import Bank securities in the first nine months of 2013 that are categorized as agency securities.

As of September 30, 2013 8.7% of the \$3.3 billion fair value of the available-for-sale (“AFS”) securities portfolio was valued at Level 1, 59.7% was valued at Level 2, and 31.6% was valued at Level 3 under the GAAP fair value accounting valuation hierarchy. At December 31, 2012, 10.4% of the \$3.1 billion fair value of the AFS securities portfolio was valued at Level 1, 57.1% was valued at Level 2, and 32.5% was valued at Level 3. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of fair value accounting.

Estimated fair value determined under ASC 820 precludes the use of “blockage factors” or liquidity adjustments due to the quantity of securities held by the Company. The Company does not expect that it could sell in a short period of time a substantial portion of its CDO securities at the indicated estimated fair values, particularly those valued under Level 3, given current market conditions. Sales of substantial portions of the securities would likely not be orderly. The market for such securities currently remains highly illiquid, and the Company believes that any attempt to sell large quantities of those securities could materially depress the prices received. Please refer to Notes 4 and 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

The amortized cost of AFS investment securities valued at Level 3 was \$1,598 million at September 30, 2013 and the fair value of these securities was \$1,055 million. The securities valued at Level 3 were comprised of ABS CDOs, primarily bank and insurance company trust preferred CDOs and REITs, and municipal securities. For these Level 3 securities, the net pretax unrealized loss recognized in OCI at September 30, 2013 was \$543 million. As of

September 30, 2013, we believe that we will receive on settlement or maturity at least the amortized cost amounts of the Level 3 AFS securities. This expectation applies to both those securities for which OTTI has been recognized and those for which no OTTI has been recognized.

The following schedule presents the Company's CDOs according to performing tranches without credit impairment, and nonperforming tranches. These CDOs are the majority of our asset-backed securities and consist of both HTM and AFS securities.

CDOs BY PERFORMANCE STATUS

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	September 30, 2013								
	No. of tranches	Par amount	Amortized cost	Carrying value	Net unrealized losses recognized in AOCI ¹	Weighted average discount rate ²	% of carrying value to par		Change
							September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	
Performing CDOs									
Predominantly bank CDOs	25	\$ 745	\$ 670	\$ 532	\$ (138)	5.8%	71%	71%	—%
Insurance-only CDOs	22	437	434	327	(107)	8.0%	75%	75%	—%
Other CDOs	4	44	34	33	(1)	10.5%	75%	73%	2%
Total performing CDOs	51	1,226	1,138	892	(246)	6.8%	73%	73%	—%
Nonperforming CDOs³									
Credit impairment prior to last 12 months	13	233	172	98	(74)	10.1%	42%	40%	2%
Credit impairment during last 12 months	46	891	519	242	(277)	9.6%	27%	29%	(2)%
Total nonperforming CDOs	59	1,124	691	340	(351)	9.7%	30%	31%	(1)%
Total CDOs	110	\$ 2,350	\$ 1,829	\$ 1,232	\$ (597)	8.2%	52%	53%	(1)%
<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	June 30, 2013								
	No. of tranches	Par amount	Amortized cost	Carrying value	Net unrealized losses recognized in AOCI ¹	Weighted average discount rate ²	% of carrying value to par		
Performing CDOs									
Predominantly bank CDOs	25	\$ 753	\$ 677	\$ 537	\$ (140)	5.7%	71%		
Insurance-only CDOs	22	446	442	335	(107)	7.9%	75%		
Other CDOs	6	51	40	37	(3)	9.7%	73%		
Total performing CDOs	53	1,250	1,159	909	(250)	6.7%	73%		
Nonperforming CDOs³									
Credit impairment prior to last 12 months	14	272	197	108	(89)	10.1%	40%		
Credit impairment during last 12 months	44	849	501	245	(256)	9.5%	29%		
Total nonperforming CDOs	58	1,121	698	353	(345)	9.7%	31%		
Total CDOs	111	\$ 2,371	\$ 1,857	\$ 1,262	\$ (595)	8.1%	53%		

¹ Amounts presented are pretax.

² Margin over related LIBOR index.

³ Defined as either deferring current interest ("PIKing") or OTTI; the majority are predominantly bank CDOs.

Valuation Sensitivity of Level 3 Bank and Insurance CDOs

For the third quarter of 2013, the average annual prepayment rate assumption for pools, which includes both large and small banks, is 13% for each year through 2015, followed by an annual prepayment rate assumption of 3% thereafter. For pools with small banks, we reduced our assumed prepayment from a 9% annual prepayment rate for each year through 2015 to a 7.5% annual prepayment rate for each year through 2015. We maintained the assumption that after 2015, small bank collateral would prepay at an annual rate of 3%.

The reduction in the prepayment rate assumption, combined with an increase in credit spreads consistent with market data, were the primary drivers of fair value declines in bank and insurance CDO securities for the third quarter of 2013. Increased credit spreads, combined with reduced near term prepayment assumptions for small banks, more than offset what would otherwise have been a fair value increase from positive collateral changes, including those from deferral reperformance. Adoption of new ratios and factors in the internal ratio based PD model while immaterial to fair value was the source of \$7 million of the OTTI recognized this quarter. The following schedule attributes the fair value decline and impairment in the bank and insurance portions of the CDO portfolio this quarter.

**BANK AND INSURANCE CDO FAIR VALUE
CHANGE ATTRIBUTION**

<i>(In millions)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	Fair value change	OTTI
LIBOR change	\$ 3	\$ —
Collateral change	17	—
CPR change	(7)	—
Discount margin change	(22)	—
New model PDs	(4)	(7)
	<u>\$ (13)</u>	<u>\$ (7)</u>

Refer to the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for assumption changes made during 2012.

The following schedule sets forth the sensitivity of the current internally modeled CDOs' fair values to changes in the most significant assumptions utilized in the model.

SENSITIVITY OF INTERNAL MODEL

(Amounts in millions)

Fair value at September 30, 2013	Held-to-maturity		Available-for-sale	
	Incremental	Cumulative	Incremental	Cumulative
Currently Modeled Assumptions				
Expected collateral credit losses ¹				
Loss percentage from currently defaulted or deferring collateral ²		6.2%		25.4%
Projected loss percentage from currently performing collateral				
1-year	0.2%	6.4%	0.2%	25.6%
years 2-5	1.7%	8.1%	1.2%	26.8%
years 6-30	11.1%	19.2%	9.7%	36.5%
Discount rate ³				
Weighted average spread over LIBOR	765 bp		776 bp	
Sensitivity of Modeled Assumptions				
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in projected loss percentage from currently performing collateral ⁴	25%	\$ (0.8)	\$ (8.9)	
	50%	(1.6)	(17.5)	
	100%	(3.3)	(34.5)	
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in projected loss percentage from currently performing collateral ⁴ and the immediate default of all deferring collateral with no recovery	25%	\$ (7.0)	\$ (64.1)	
	50%	(7.9)	(71.7)	
	100%	(9.7)	(86.9)	
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in discount rate	+100 bp	\$ (12.0)	\$ (61.8)	
	+200 bp	(22.7)	(116.6)	
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to increase in Forward LIBOR Curve	+100 bp	\$ 6.6	\$ 32.1	
Increase (decrease) in fair value due to:				
increase in prepayment assumption ⁵	+1%	\$ 3.5	\$ 20.1	
increase in prepayment assumption ⁶	+2%	7.0	39.1	

¹ The Company uses an incurred credit loss model which specifies cumulative losses at the 1-year, 5-year, and 30-year points from the date of valuation. These current and projected losses are reflected in the CDO's fair value.

² Weighted average percentage of collateral that is defaulted due to bank failures, or deferring payment as allowed under the terms of the security, including a 0% recovery rate on defaulted collateral and a credit-specific probability of default on deferring collateral which ranges from 2.18% to 100%.

³ The discount rate is a spread over the LIBOR forward curve at the date of valuation.

⁴ Percentage increase is applied to incremental projected loss percentages from currently performing collateral. For example, the 50% and 100% stress scenarios for AFS securities would result in cumulative 30-year losses of 42.0% = 36.5% + 50%(0.2%+1.1%+9.7%) and 47.5% = 36.5%+100%(0.2%+1.1%+9.7%), respectively.

⁵ Prepayment rate for small banks increased to 8.5% per year for the first 2.25 years and to 4% per year thereafter through maturity.

⁶ Prepayment rate for small banks increased to 9.5% per year for the first 2.25 years and to 5% per year thereafter through maturity.

As shown in the following schedule, 34 CDO securities, representing 51% of the CDO portfolio's fair value at September 30, 2013, were upgraded by one or more NRSROs during the first nine months of 2013. The mean upgrade of those securities upgraded was 4 notches and the maximum upgrade was 8 notches. These upgrades were attributed to improvements in over-collateralization ratios and deleveraging. Five securities issued from two CDOs were downgraded during the first nine months of 2013 due to default.

BANK AND INSURANCE TRUST PREFERRED CDOs

(In millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	No. of securities	Par amount	Amortized cost	Fair value
Rating changes ¹				
Upgrade	34	\$ 898	\$ 794	\$ 575
No change	61	1,229	942	549
Downgrade	5	99	16	6
	<u>100</u>	<u>\$ 2,226</u>	<u>\$ 1,752</u>	<u>\$ 1,130</u>

¹ By any rating agency (S&P, Fitch, Moody's)

Bank Collateral Deferral Experience

The Company's loss and recovery experience as of September 30, 2013 (and our Level 3 modeling assumption) is essentially a 100% loss on defaults of bank collateral in CDOs, although we have, to date, received several, generally small, recoveries on defaults. Our experience, through the financial crisis until the end of the third quarter of 2013, with deferring bank collateral has been that 52% has defaulted, approximately 27% has resumed paying interest, and approximately 21% remains within the allowable deferral period. This 21% is comprised of 158 deferring bank holding companies. Events in late 2012 led the Company to increase its loss assumptions on these remaining deferrals, whose weighted average time in deferral is now 11 quarters. We further increased our loss assumptions in the third quarter of 2013 after observing that deferrals continued past the allowable 20 quarters without resolution. We expect that future losses on these deferrals may result from actions other than bank failures – primarily bankruptcies, debt restructurings and defaults triggered by failure to pay deferred interest by the end of the allowable deferral period.

As noted, a significant number of previous deferrals have resumed interest payments; 107 issuing banks, with collateral aggregating to 27% of all deferrals and 56% of all surviving deferrals, have either come current and resumed interest payments on their trust preferred securities or have announced that they intend to do so at the next payment date. Banks may come current on their trust preferred securities for one or more quarters and then re-defer. Re-deferral is occurring in nine of the 107 banks which resumed payment after their initial election to defer. Further information on the Company's valuation process is detailed in Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following schedules provide additional information on the below-investment-grade rated bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs' portion of the AFS and HTM securities portfolios. The schedules reflect data and assumptions that are included in the calculations of fair value and OTTI. The schedules utilize the lowest rating assigned by any rating agency to identify those securities below investment grade. The schedules segment the securities by whether or not they have been determined to have OTTI, and by original ratings level to provide granularity on the seniority level of the securities and the distribution of unrealized losses. The best and worst pool-level statistic for each original ratings subgroup is presented, not the best and worst single security within the original ratings grouping. The number of issuers and the number of currently performing issuers noted in the Pool Level Performance and Projections for Below-Investment-Grade Rated Bank and Insurance Trust Preferred CDOs schedule are from the same security. The remaining statistics may not be from the same security.

BANK AND INSURANCE TRUST PREFERRED CDO VALUES CURRENTLY RATED BELOW-INVESTMENT-GRADE (SORTED BY WHETHER OTTI HAS BEEN TAKEN AND BY ORIGINAL RATINGS)

As of September 30, 2013

(Dollar amounts in millions)	Number of securities	% of portfolio	Total				Credit loss		Valuation losses ¹
			Par value	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	Unrealized loss	Current year	Life-to-date	Life-to-date
Original ratings of securities, no OTTI recognized:									
Original AAA	22	32.4%	\$ 684	\$ 629	\$ 480	\$ (149)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (72)
Original A	15	15.9%	336	336	199	(137)	—	—	—
Original BBB	5	2.2%	46	46	22	(24)	—	—	—
Total Non-OTTI		50.5%	1,066	1,011	701	(310)	—	—	(72)
Original ratings of securities, OTTI recognized:									
Original AAA	1	2.4%	50	43	26	(17)	—	(5)	(2)
Original A	46	43.9%	927	599	296	(303)	(21)	(331)	—
Original BBB	6	3.2%	67	6	5	(1)	(1)	(61)	—
Total OTTI		49.5%	1,044	648	327	(321)	(22)	(397)	(2)
Total noninvestment grade bank and insurance CDOs		100.0%	\$ 2,110	\$ 1,659	\$ 1,028	\$ (631)	\$ (22)	\$ (397)	\$ (74)

<i>(In millions)</i>	Average amount of each security held ²			
	Par value	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	Unrealized gain (loss)
Original ratings of securities, no OTTI recognized:				
Original AAA	\$ 30	\$ 27	\$ 21	\$ (6)
Original A	15	15	9	(6)
Original BBB	9	9	4	(5)
Original ratings of securities, OTTI recognized:				
Original AAA	50	43	26	(17)
Original A	17	11	5	(6)
Original BBB	11	1	1	—

¹ Valuation losses relate to securities purchased from Lockhart Funding LLC prior to its consolidation in June 2009.

² The Company may have more than one holding of the same security.

POOL LEVEL PERFORMANCE AND PROJECTIONS FOR BELOW-INVESTMENT-GRADE RATED BANK AND INSURANCE TRUST PREFERRED CDOs

As of September 30, 2013

	Current lowest rating	# of issuers in collateral pool	# of issuers currently performing ¹	% of original collateral defaulted ²	% of original collateral deferring ³	Subordination as a % of performing collateral ⁴	Collateralization % ⁵	Present value of expected cash flows discounted at effective rate as a % of par ⁶	Lifetime additional projected loss from performing collateral ⁷
Original Ratings of Securities, Non-OTTI:									
Original AAA									
Best	BB	21	19	2.6%	3.0%	65.5%	620.1%	100%	—
Weighted average				17.3	8.7	42.0	242.6	100	10.2%
Worst	CC	30	14	28.7	18.1	10.4	147.0	100	15.3
Original A									
Best	B	31	31	—	—	28.4	307.2	100	11.6
Weighted average				1.5	5.0	20.9	156.2	100	12.5
Worst	CCC	6	5	4.0	9.3	12.2	133.3	100	13.9
Original BBB									
Best	CCC	31	31	—	—	19.7	352.2	100	11.6
Weighted average				1.3	3.9	12.2	266.0	100	12.8
Worst	CC	21	18	4.0	9.3	5.5	181.6	100	13.9
Original Ratings of Securities, OTTI:									
Original AAA									
Single	CCC	42	27	22.5	10.2	30.8	224.3	100	10.1
Original A									
Best	CC	25	23	—	—	1.6	106.8	100	—
Weighted average				11.9	8.8	(17.7)	63.0	69	12.0
Worst	C	3	—	33.3	23.6	(84.7)	15.0	25	16.0
Original BBB									
Best	C	39	33	6.3	4.7	(5.9)	41.1	54	7.9
Weighted average				14.9	9.3	(18.0)	(134.2)	30	11.4
Worst	C	18	9	22.5	13.4	(63.4)	(317.4)	11	12.9

¹ Excludes both defaulted issuers and issuers that have elected to defer payment of current interest.

² Collateral is identified as defaulted when a regulator closes an issuing bank.

³ Collateral is identified as deferring when the Company becomes aware that an issuer has announced or elected to defer interest payment on trust preferred debt.

⁴ Utilizes the Company's loss assumption of 100% on defaulted collateral and the Company's issuer specific loss assumption ranging from 2.18% to 100%, dependent on credit for each deferring piece of collateral. "Subordination" in the schedule includes the effects of seniority level within the CDOs' liability structure, the Company's loss and recovery rate assumption for deferring but not defaulted collateral and a 0% recovery rate for defaulted collateral. The numerator is all collateral less the sum of (i) 100% of the defaulted collateral, (ii) the sum of the projected net loss amounts for each piece of deferring but not defaulted collateral and (iii) the amount of each CDO's debt which is either senior to or pari passu with our security's priority level. The denominator is all collateral less the sum of (i) 100% of the defaulted collateral and (ii) the sum of the projected net loss amounts for each piece of deferring but not defaulted collateral.

⁵ Utilizes the Company's loss assumption of 100% on defaulted collateral and the Company's issuer specific loss assumption ranging from 2.18% to 100%, dependent on credit for each deferring piece of collateral. "Collateralization" in the schedule identifies the portion of a CDO tranche that is backed by nondefaulted collateral. The numerator is all collateral less the sum of (i) 100% of the defaulted collateral, (ii) the sum of the projected net loss amounts for each piece of deferring but not defaulted collateral and (iii) the amount of each CDO's debt which is senior to our security's priority level. The denominator is the par amount of the tranche. Par is defined as the original par less any principal paydowns.

⁶ For OTTI securities, this statistic approximates the extent of OTTI credit losses taken.

⁷ This is the same statistic presented in the preceding sensitivity schedule and incorporated in the fair value and OTTI calculations. The statistic is the sum of incremental projected loss percentages from currently paying collateral for year one, years two through five and years six through thirty.

Deferral recoveries and rerouting of interest collections to pay down principal of the most senior liabilities have generally increased collateralization and subordination levels for a significant portion of the bank and insurance CDO securities. In particular, all securities not identified as having OTTI had collateralization above 100% and positive subordination at September 30, 2013.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment – Investments in Debt Securities

We review investments in debt securities each quarter for the presence of OTTI. For securities where an internal income-based cash flow model or third party valuation service produces a loss-adjusted expected cash flow for the security, the presence of OTTI is identified and the amount of the credit component of OTTI is calculated by discounting this loss-adjusted cash flow at the security specific effective interest rate and comparing that value to the Company's amortized cost of the security.

We review the relevant facts and circumstances each quarter to assess our intentions regarding any potential sales of securities, as well as the likelihood that we would be required to sell prior to recovery of amortized cost. To date, for each security whose fair value is below amortized cost, we have determined that we do not intend to sell the security, and that it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. We then evaluate the difference between the fair value and the amortized cost of each security and identify if any of the difference is due to credit. The credit component of the difference is recognized by writing down the amortized cost of each security found to have OTTI.

For some CDO tranches, for which we have previously recorded OTTI, expected future cash flows have remained stable or have slightly improved subsequent to the quarter that OTTI was identified and recorded. For other CDO tranches, an adverse change in the expected future cash flow has resulted in the recording of additional OTTI. In both situations, while a large difference may remain between fair value and amortized cost, the difference is not due to credit. The expected future cash flow substantiates the return of the full amortized cost. We utilize a present value technique to both identify the OTTI present in the CDO tranches and to estimate fair value. The primary drivers of unrealized losses in these CDOs are further discussed in Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the third quarter of 2013, the Company recognized credit-related net impairment losses on CDOs of \$9.1 million, compared to \$2.7 million in the same prior year period. Adoption of new ratios and factors in the internal ratio based PD model was the source of \$7.2 million of the OTTI recognized this quarter.

During the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized credit-related net impairment losses on CDOs of \$23.4 million and \$20.3 million, respectively.

Exposure to State and Local Governments

The Company provides multiple products and services to state and local governments (referred together as "municipalities"), including services for deposits, loans and investment banking, and the Company invests in securities issued by the municipalities.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following schedule summarizes the Company's exposure to state and local municipalities:

MUNICIPALITIES

<i>(In millions)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Loans and leases	\$ 449	\$ 494
Held-to-maturity – municipal securities	558	525
Available-for-sale – municipal securities	65	75
Available-for-sale – auction rate securities	7	7
Trading account – municipal securities	27	21
Unused commitments to extend credit	16	40
Total direct exposure to municipalities	<u>\$ 1,122</u>	<u>\$ 1,162</u>

Company policy requires that extensions of credit to municipalities be subjected to specific underwriting standards. At September 30, 2013, two municipalities had \$10 million of loans that were on nonaccrual. A significant amount of the municipal loan and lease portfolio is secured by real estate and equipment, and approximately 92% of the outstanding credits were originated by Zions Bank, Vectra, CB&T, and Amegy. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the credit quality of these municipal loans.

All municipal securities are reviewed quarterly for OTTI; see Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information. HTM securities consist of unrated bonds issued by small local governmental entities and are purchased through private placements, often in situations in which one of the Company's subsidiaries has acted as a financial advisor to the municipality. Prior to purchase, the issuers of municipal securities are evaluated by the Company for their creditworthiness, and some of the securities are guaranteed by third parties. Of the AFS municipal securities, 85% are rated by major credit rating agencies and were rated investment grade as of September 30, 2013. Municipal securities in the trading account are held for resale to customers. The Company also underwrites municipal bonds and sells most of them to third party investors.

European Exposure

The Company is monitoring global economic conditions and is aware of concerns over the creditworthiness of the governments of Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece, and Spain. The Company has not granted loans to and does not own securities issued by these governments, and does not have any material exposure to companies or individuals in those countries.

In the normal course of business, the Company may enter into transactions with subsidiaries of companies and financial institutions headquartered in Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece, or Spain. Such transactions may include deposits, loans, letters of credit, and derivatives, as well as foreign currency exchange agreements. As of September 30, 2013, these transactions did not present any material direct or indirect risk exposure to the Company. Among the derivative transactions, the Company has a TRS agreement with Deutsche Bank AG ("DB") with regard to certain bank and insurance trust preferred CDOs. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the TRS. If DB were unable to perform under the TRS, the agreement would terminate at little or no cost to Zions. A cancellation would have an immaterial impact on the balance sheet, and the Company would save approximately \$5.4 million in fees quarterly. However, if the TRS were canceled, the Company would lose the potential future risk mitigation benefits of the TRS, and regulatory risk weighted assets would increase by approximately \$3 billion, which would reduce regulatory risk-based capital ratios by approximately 5%-6%, e.g., a risk based ratio of 10.0% would decline to approximately 9.5%-9.4%.

Loan Portfolio

As displayed in the following schedule, commercial and industrial loans were the largest category and constituted 31.1% of the Company's loan portfolio at September 30, 2013. Construction and land development loans were 5.9% and 5.1% of total loans at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Construction and land development loans have declined significantly from a prerecession level of 20.1% of total loans at the end of 2007.

LOAN PORTFOLIO DIVERSIFICATION

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amount	% of total loans	Amount	% of total loans
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 11,904	31.1%	\$ 11,257	29.9%
Leasing	375	1.0%	423	1.1%
Owner occupied	7,379	19.3%	7,589	20.1%
Municipal	449	1.2%	494	1.3%
Total commercial	20,107		19,763	
Commercial real estate:				
Construction and land development	2,240	5.9%	1,939	5.1%
Term	7,929	20.7%	8,063	21.4%
Total commercial real estate	10,169		10,002	
Consumer:				
Home equity credit line	2,124	5.5%	2,178	5.8%
1-4 family residential	4,637	12.1%	4,350	11.6%
Construction and other consumer real estate	321	0.8%	321	0.9%
Bankcard and other revolving plans	332	0.9%	307	0.8%
Other	208	0.5%	216	0.6%
Total consumer	7,622		7,372	
FDIC-supported loans ¹	375	1.0%	528	1.4%
Total net loans	<u>\$ 38,273</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 37,665</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

¹ FDIC-supported loans represent loans acquired from the FDIC subject to loss sharing agreements.

Most of the loan portfolio growth during the first nine months of 2013 occurred in commercial and industrial, construction and land development, and 1-4 family residential loans. The impact of these increases was partially offset by declines in commercial owner occupied, term loans, and home equity credit lines. The loan portfolio increased primarily at Amegy, NSB, and CB&T, while balances declined at Zions Bank.

Commercial and industrial, construction and land development, and 1-4 family residential consumer loan volumes grew due to increased customer demand. Commercial owner occupied balances declined mainly due to the run-off in the Zions Bank National Real Estate loan portfolio. We expect construction and land development and commercial and industrial loans to grow at a moderate rate for the next several quarters. During the third quarter of 2013, unfunded lending commitments increased to \$16.6 billion at September 30, 2013 from the \$16.1 billion at June 30, 2013. The balance of FDIC-supported loans will continue to decline primarily due to paydowns and payoffs.

Other Noninterest-Bearing Investments

The following schedule sets forth the Company's other noninterest-bearing investments:

<i>(In millions)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Bank-owned life insurance	\$ 464	\$ 456
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	105	109
Federal Reserve stock	121	123
SBIC investments	57	46
Non-SBIC investment funds and other	99	107
Trust preferred securities	5	14
	<u>\$ 851</u>	<u>\$ 855</u>

Deposits

Deposits, both interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing, are a primary source of funding for the Company. Average total deposits for the first nine months of 2013 increased by 4.8%, compared to the same prior year period, with average interest-bearing deposits increasing by 2.4% and average noninterest-bearing deposits increasing 8.8%. The increase in noninterest-bearing deposits was largely driven by increased deposits from business customers. The average interest rate paid for interest bearing deposits was 10 bps lower during the first nine months of 2013 than in the comparable prior-year period.

Core deposits at September 30, 2013, which exclude time deposits larger than \$100,000 and brokered deposits, decreased by 0.73%, or \$324 million, from December 31, 2012 and increased 5.09% or \$2,144 million from September 30, 2012. The reduction from December 31, 2012 was mostly due to decreases at the Company's Cayman Islands Branch, Internet banking, and other accounts, partially offset by higher deposits from noninterest-bearing deposits from business customers. The increase from September 30, 2012 was primarily caused by noninterest-bearing demand deposits from business customers, as well as interest bearing deposits from both business and personal customers. Demand, savings and money market deposits comprised 90.6% of total deposits at September 30, 2013, compared to 89.7% at December 31, 2012 and 89.7% at September 30, 2012.

During the first nine months of 2013 and throughout 2012, the Company maintained a low level of brokered deposits with the primary purpose of keeping that funding source available in case of a future need. At September 30, 2013, total deposits included \$28 million of brokered deposits compared to \$37 million at December 31, 2012 and \$41 million at September 30, 2012.

See "Liquidity Risk Management" for additional information on funding and borrowed funds.

RISK ELEMENTS

Since risk is inherent in substantially all of the Company's operations, management of risk is an integral part of its operations and is also a key determinant of its overall performance. We apply various strategies to reduce the risks to which the Company's operations are exposed, including credit, interest rate and market, liquidity and operational risks.

Credit Risk Management

Credit risk is the possibility of loss from the failure of a borrower, guarantor, or another obligor to fully perform under the terms of a credit-related contract. Credit risk arises primarily from the Company's lending activities, as well as from off-balance sheet credit instruments, which include unfunded lending commitments.

Centralized oversight of credit risk is provided through credit policies, credit administration, and credit examination functions at the Parent. We have structured the organization to separate the lending function from the credit administration function, which has added strength to the control over, and the independent evaluation of, credit activities. Formal loan policies and procedures provide the Company with a framework for consistent underwriting and a basis for sound credit decisions. In addition, the Company has a well-defined set of standards for evaluating its loan portfolio and management utilizes a comprehensive loan grading system to determine the risk potential in the portfolio. Furthermore, an independent internal credit examination department periodically conducts examinations of the Company's lending departments. These examinations are designed to review credit quality, adequacy of documentation, appropriate loan grading administration and compliance with lending policies, and reports thereon are submitted to management and to the Risk Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors. New, expanded, or modified products and services, as well as new lines of business, are approved by the corporate New Product Review Committee.

Both the credit policy and the credit examination functions are managed centrally. Each affiliate bank is able to be more conservative in its operations under the corporate credit policy; however, formal corporate approval must be obtained if a bank wishes to invoke a more liberal policy. Historically, there have been only a limited number of

such approvals. This entire process has been designed to place an emphasis on strong underwriting standards and early detection of potential problem credits so that action plans can be developed and implemented on a timely basis to mitigate any potential losses.

Credit risk associated with counterparties to off-balance sheet credit instruments is generally limited to the hedging of interest rate risk through the use of swaps and futures. Our subsidiary banks that engage in this activity have ISDA agreements in place under which derivative transactions are entered into with major derivative dealers. Each ISDA agreement details the collateral arrangements between our subsidiaries and their counterparties. In every case, the amount of the collateral required to secure the exposed party in the derivative transaction is determined by the fair value of the derivative and the credit rating of the party with the obligation. Some of the counterparties are domiciled in Europe; however, the Company's maximum exposure that is not cash collateralized to any single counterparty was not material as of September 30, 2013.

The Company's credit risk management strategy includes diversification of its loan portfolio. The Company attempts to avoid the risk of an undue concentration of credits in a particular collateral type or with an individual customer or counterparty. The Company has adopted and adheres to concentration limits on various types of CRE lending, particularly construction and land development lending, leveraged lending, municipal lending, and lending to the energy sector. All of these limits are continually monitored and revised as necessary. These concentration limits, particularly with regard to various categories of CRE and real estate development are materially lower than they were in 2007 and 2008, just prior to the emergence of the recent economic downturn. The majority of the Company's business activity is with customers located within the geographical footprint of its banking subsidiaries.

The credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio improved during 2012 and continued to do so during the first nine months of 2013. Nonperforming lending-related assets decreased by 27.9% and 35.8% from December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, respectively. Gross charge-offs for the third quarter of 2013 declined to \$23 million from \$59 million in the third quarter of 2012. Net charge-offs decreased to \$9 million during the third quarter of 2013 from \$39 million in the same prior year period.

A more comprehensive discussion of our credit risk management is contained in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

FDIC-Supported Loans

The Company's loan portfolio includes loans that were acquired from failed banks in 2009: Alliance Bank, Great Basin Bank, and Vineyard Bank. These loans include nonperforming loans and other loans with characteristics indicative of a high credit risk profile. Substantially all of these loans are covered under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC for which the FDIC generally will assume 80% of the first \$275 million of credit losses for the Alliance Bank assets, \$40 million of credit losses for the Great Basin Bank assets, \$465 million of credit losses for the Vineyard Bank assets and 95% of the credit losses in excess of those amounts. The Company does not expect total losses to exceed this higher threshold because acquired loans have performed better than originally expected. These loss sharing agreements expire on March 31, 2014 for the Alliance Bank, June 30, 2014 for the Vineyard Bank, and September 30, 2014 for the Great Basin Bank for most loans except for residential mortgage loans; for residential mortgage loans the loss sharing agreements generally extend for another five years after these dates. FDIC-supported loans represented 1.0 % of Company's total loan portfolio at September 30, 2013 compared to 1.4% at December 31, 2012, and 1.6% at September 30, 2012. Interest income earned from the FDIC-supported loans was \$15.0 million and \$17.6 million in the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively. We expect interest income from the FDIC-supported portfolio to continue to decrease in future quarters as the loans mature. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the expiration of the loss sharing agreements and valuation of these purchased loans.

NET LOSSES COVERED BY FDIC LOSS SHARING AGREEMENT

<i>(In millions)</i>	Inception through September 30, 2013	
	Total actual net losses	Threshold
Alliance Bank	\$ 168	\$ 275
Vineyard Bank	199	465
Great Basin Bank	11	40
	<u>\$ 378</u>	<u>\$ 780</u>

Government Agency Guaranteed Loans

The Company participates in various guaranteed lending programs sponsored by U.S. government agencies, such as the Small Business Administration, Federal Housing Authority, Veterans' Administration, Export-Import Bank of the U.S., and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. As of September 30, 2013, the principal balance of these loans was \$571 million, and the guaranteed portion was approximately \$430 million. Most of these loans were guaranteed by the Small Business Administration.

The following schedule presents the composition of government agency guaranteed loans, excluding FDIC-supported loans:

GOVERNMENT GUARANTEES

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	September 30, 2013	Percent guaranteed	December 31, 2012	Percent guaranteed
Commercial	\$ 550	75%	\$ 567	74%
Commercial real estate	17	76%	20	76%
Consumer	4	100%	3	100%
Total loans excluding FDIC-supported loans	<u>\$ 571</u>	75%	<u>\$ 590</u>	75%

Commercial Lending

The following schedule provides selected information regarding lending concentrations to certain industries in our commercial lending portfolio.

COMMERCIAL LENDING BY INDUSTRY GROUP

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Real estate, rental and leasing	\$ 2,718	13.5%	\$ 2,782	14.1%
Manufacturing	2,255	11.2%	1,999	10.1%
Mining, quarrying and oil and gas extraction	2,080	10.3%	1,992	10.1%
Retail trade	1,683	8.4%	1,661	8.4%
Wholesale trade	1,450	7.2%	1,521	7.7%
Healthcare and social assistance	1,220	6.1%	1,205	6.1%
Finance and insurance	1,096	5.5%	1,093	5.5%
Transportation and warehousing	1,023	5.1%	1,001	5.1%
Professional, scientific and technical services	916	4.6%	968	4.9%
Construction	915	4.5%	1,016	5.1%
Accommodation and food services	767	3.8%	786	4.0%
Other ¹	3,984	19.8%	3,739	18.9%
Total	<u>\$ 20,107</u>	100.0%	<u>\$ 19,763</u>	100.0%

¹ No other industry group exceeds 5%.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

Selected information indicative of credit quality regarding our CRE loan portfolio is presented in the following schedule.

COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIO BY LOAN TYPE AND COLLATERAL LOCATION

(Amounts in millions)

(Amounts in millions)		Collateral Location										% of total CRE
Loan Type	As of Date	Arizona	Northern California	Southern California	Nevada	Colorado	Texas	Utah/Idaho	Washing-ton	Other ¹	Total	
Commercial term												
Balance outstanding	9/30/2013	\$ 1,159	\$ 631	\$ 2,049	\$ 572	\$ 467	\$ 982	\$1,091	\$ 202	\$ 776	\$ 7,929	78.0%
% of loan type		14.6%	8.0%	25.8%	7.2%	5.9%	12.4%	13.8%	2.5%	9.8%	100.0%	
Delinquency rates ² :												
30-89 days	9/30/2013	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.9%	0.3%	0.2%	
	12/31/2012	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	—	0.1%	0.2%	1.3%	1.6%	0.3%	
≥ 90 days	9/30/2013	0.1%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	—	0.3%	0.1%	—	1.1%	0.3%	
	12/31/2012	0.3%	1.3%	0.5%	0.8%	0.7%	0.5%	0.1%	—	2.1%	0.7%	
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	9/30/2013	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2	
	12/31/2012	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Nonaccrual loans	9/30/2013	3	6	12	2	5	7	4	2	19	60	
	12/31/2012	10	9	19	14	11	8	4	3	47	125	
Residential construction and land development												
Balance outstanding	9/30/2013	\$ 63	\$ 44	\$ 233	\$ 1	\$ 40	\$ 225	\$ 96	\$ 2	\$ 19	\$ 723	7.1%
% of loan type		8.7%	6.1%	32.4%	0.1%	5.5%	31.1%	13.3%	0.3%	2.5%	100.0%	
Delinquency rates ² :												
30-89 days	9/30/2013	—	—	—	—	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	—	—	0.1%	
	12/31/2012	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%	10.7%	4.9%	7.9%	0.2%	—	—	3.1%	
≥ 90 days	9/30/2013	0.6%	—	0.1%	—	—	4.8%	—	—	—	1.6%	
	12/31/2012	0.7%	—	0.2%	—	0.5%	6.7%	—	—	—	2.4%	
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	9/30/2013	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	
	12/31/2012	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	1	
Nonaccrual loans	9/30/2013	2	—	—	—	—	22	—	—	—	24	
	12/31/2012	6	—	—	—	—	29	4	—	—	39	
Commercial construction and land development												
Balance outstanding	9/30/2013	\$ 100	\$ 69	\$ 253	\$ 103	\$ 121	\$ 428	\$ 319	\$ 36	\$ 88	\$ 1,517	14.9%
% of loan type		6.6%	4.5%	16.7%	6.8%	8.0%	28.2%	21.0%	2.4%	5.8%	100.0%	
Delinquency rates ² :												
30-89 days	9/30/2013	0.2%	—	0.1%	16.7%	0.2%	0.6%	—	—	—	1.4%	
	12/31/2012	2.4%	—	—	27.9%	0.4%	2.0%	2.3%	—	7.3%	3.1%	
≥ 90 days	9/30/2013	—	1.0%	—	—	—	0.9%	—	6.2%	—	0.5%	
	12/31/2012	—	2.6%	0.1%	0.2%	—	4.0%	—	—	—	1.6%	
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	9/30/2013	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	
	12/31/2012	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Nonaccrual loans	9/30/2013	—	1	—	19	—	6	13	2	—	41	
	12/31/2012	—	1	—	22	—	29	14	3	—	69	
Total construction and land development	9/30/2013	\$ 163	\$ 113	\$ 486	\$ 104	\$ 161	\$ 653	\$ 415	\$ 38	\$ 107	\$ 2,240	
Total commercial real estate	9/30/2013	\$ 1,322	\$ 744	\$ 2,535	\$ 676	\$ 628	\$ 1,635	\$ 1,506	\$ 240	\$ 883	\$ 10,169	100.0%

¹No other geography exceeds \$110 million for all three loan types.

²Delinquency rates include nonaccrual loans.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Approximately 16% of the CRE term loans consist of “mini-perm” loans as of September 30, 2013. For such loans, construction has been completed and the project has stabilized to a level that supports the granting of a mini-perm loan in accordance with our underwriting standards. Mini-perm loans generally have initial maturities of three to five years. The remaining 84% of CRE loans are term loans with initial maturities generally of 15 to 20 years. The stabilization criteria for a project to qualify for a term loan differ by product type and include, for example, criteria related to the cash flow generated by the project, loan-to-value ratio, and occupancy rates.

Approximately 21% of the commercial construction and land development portfolio at September 30, 2013 consists of acquisition and development loans. Most of these acquisition and development loans are secured by specific retail, apartment, office, or other projects. Underwriting on commercial properties is primarily based on the economic viability of the project with heavy consideration given to the creditworthiness of the sponsor. We generally require that the owner’s equity be injected prior to bank advances. Remargining requirements are often included in the loan agreement along with guarantees of the sponsor. Recognizing that debt is paid via cash flow, the projected economics of the project are primary in the underwriting, because these determine the ultimate value of the property and its ability to service debt. Therefore, in most projects (with the exception of multifamily projects) we look for substantial preleasing in our underwriting and we generally require a minimum projected stabilized debt service coverage ratio of 1.20.

Although lending for residential construction and development involves a different product type, many of the requirements previously mentioned, such as creditworthiness of the developer, up-front injection of the developer’s equity, remargining requirements, and the viability of the project are also important in underwriting a residential development loan. Heavy consideration is given to market acceptance of the product, location, strength of the developer, and the ability of the developer to stay within budget. Progress inspections by qualified independent inspectors are routinely performed before disbursements are made.

Real estate appraisals are ordered and validated independently of the credit officer and the borrower, generally by each bank’s appraisal review function, which is staffed by certified appraisers. In some cases, reports from automated valuation services are used. Appraisals are ordered from outside appraisers at the inception, renewal or, for CRE loans, upon the occurrence of any event causing a downgrade to a “criticized” or “classified” designation. The frequency for obtaining updated appraisals for these adversely graded credits is increased when declining market conditions exist. Advance rates, on an “as completed basis,” will vary based on the viability of the project and the creditworthiness of the sponsor, but the Company’s guidelines generally limit advances to 50% for raw land, 65% for land development, 65% for finished commercial lots, 75% for finished residential lots, 80% for pre-sold homes, 75% for models and spec homes, and 75% for commercial properties. Exceptions may be granted on a case-by-case basis.

Loan agreements require regular financial information on the project and the sponsor in addition to lease schedules, rent rolls and, on construction projects, independent progress inspection reports. The receipt of this financial information is monitored and calculations are made to determine adherence to the covenants set forth in the loan agreement. Additionally, loan-by-loan reviews of pass grade loans for all commercial and residential construction and land development loans are performed semiannually at Amegy, CB&T, NBAZ, NSB, Vectra and Zions Bank. TCBO and TCBW perform such reviews annually.

Interest reserves are generally established as a loan disbursement budget item for real estate construction or development loans. We generally require borrowers to put their equity into the project prior to loan disbursements on these loans. This enables the bank to ensure the availability of equity to complete the project. The Company’s practice is to monitor the construction, sales and/or leasing progress to determine whether or not the project remains viable. If, at any time during the life of the credit, the project is determined not to be viable (including the adequacy of the remaining interest reserves), the bank takes appropriate action to protect its collateral position via negotiation and/or legal action as deemed necessary. At September 30, 2013 and 2012, Zions’ affiliates had 569 and 482 loans with an outstanding balance of \$680 million and \$514 million where available interest reserves amounted to \$102

million and \$65 million, respectively. In instances where projects have been determined not to be viable, the interest reserves and other disbursements have been frozen, as appropriate.

We have not been involved to any meaningful extent with insurance arrangements, credit derivatives, or any other default agreements as a mitigation strategy for CRE loans. However, we do make use of personal or other guarantees as risk mitigation strategies.

CRE loans are sometimes modified to increase the likelihood of collecting the maximum possible amount of the Company's investment in the loan. In general, the existence of a guarantee that improves the likelihood of repayment is taken into consideration when analyzing a loan for impairment. If the support of the guarantor is quantifiable and documented, it is included in the potential cash flows and liquidity available for debt repayment and our impairment methodology takes into consideration this repayment source.

Additionally, when we modify or extend a loan, we give consideration to whether the borrower is in financial difficulty, and whether a concession has been granted. In determining if an interest rate concession has been granted, we consider whether the interest rate on the modified loan is equivalent to current market rates for new debt with similar risk characteristics. If the rate in the modification is less than current market rates, it may indicate that a concession was granted and impairment exists. However, if additional collateral is obtained or if a strong guarantor exists who is believed to be able and willing to support the loan on an extended basis, we also consider the nature and amount of additional collateral, guarantees, and paydowns in the ultimate determination of whether a concession has been granted.

We obtain and consider updated financial information for the guarantor as part of our determination to extend a loan. The quality and frequency of financial reporting collected and analyzed varies depending on the contractual requirements for reporting, the size of the transaction, and the strength of the guarantor. Complete underwriting of the guarantor includes, but is not limited to, an analysis of the guarantor's current financial statements, leverage, liquidity, global cash flow, global debt service coverage, contingent liabilities, etc. The assessment also includes a qualitative analysis of the guarantor's willingness to perform in the event of a problem and demonstrated history of performing in similar situations. Additional analysis may include personal financial statements, tax returns, liquidity (brokerage) confirmations and other reports, as appropriate. All personal financial statements of customers entering into new relationships with the applicable bank must not be more than 60 days old on the date the transaction is approved. Personal financial statements that are required for existing customers must be no more than 15 months old. Evaluations of the financial strength of the guarantor are performed at least annually.

A qualitative assessment is performed on a case-by-case basis to evaluate the guarantor's experience, performance track record, reputation, performance of other related projects with which we are familiar, and willingness to work with us. We also utilize market information sources, and rating and scoring services in our assessment. This qualitative analysis coupled with a documented quantitative ability to support the loan may result in a higher-quality internal loan grade, which may reduce the level of allowance the Company estimates. Previous documentation of the guarantor's financial ability to support the loan is discounted if, at any point in time, there is any indication of a lack of willingness by the guarantor to support the loan.

In the event of default, we evaluate the pursuit of any and all appropriate potential sources of repayment, which may come from multiple sources, including the guarantee. A number of factors are considered when deciding whether or not to pursue a guarantor, including, but not limited to, the value and liquidity of other sources of repayment (collateral), the financial strength and liquidity of the guarantor, possible statutory limitations (e.g., single action rule on real estate) and the overall cost of pursuing a guarantee compared to the ultimate amount we may be able to recover. In other instances, the guarantor may voluntarily support a loan without any formal pursuit of remedies.

Consumer Loans

The Company has mainly been an originator of first and second mortgages, generally considered to be of prime quality. Its practice historically has been to sell "conforming" fixed rate loans to third parties, including Fannie Mae

and Freddie Mac, for which it makes representations and warranties that the loans meet certain underwriting and collateral documentation standards. It has also been the Company's practice historically to hold variable rate loans in its portfolio. The Company estimates that it does not have any material financial risk as a result of either its foreclosure practices or loan "put-backs" by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, and has not established any reserves related to these items.

The Company has a portfolio of \$291 million of stated income mortgage loans with generally high FICO® scores at origination, including "one-time close" loans to finance the construction of homes, which convert into permanent jumbo mortgages upon completion of construction. As of September 30, 2013, approximately \$21 million of these loans had refreshed FICO® scores of less than 620. These totals exclude held-for-sale loans. Stated income loans accounted for approximately \$0.6 million, or 10%, of our net credit losses in 1-4 family residential first mortgage loans during the first nine months of 2013. Most of the net credit losses in the 1-4 family residential first mortgage loans were incurred by NBAZ, while ZFNB had net recoveries on stated income loans that had been previously written off.

The Company is engaged in home equity credit line ("HECL") lending. At September 30, 2013, the Company's HECL portfolio totaled \$2.1 billion, including FDIC-supported loans. Approximately \$1.1 billion of the portfolio is secured by first deeds of trust, while the remaining \$1.0 billion is secured by junior liens. The outstanding balances and commitments by origination year for the junior lien HECLs are presented in the following schedule:

JR. LIEN HECLs – OUTSTANDING BALANCES AND TOTAL COMMITMENTS

<i>(In millions)</i> Year of origination	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Outstanding balance	Total commitments	Outstanding balance	Total commitments
2013	\$ 114	\$ 244		
2012	109	216	\$ 117	\$ 234
2011	79	158	97	182
2010	54	104	68	122
2009	56	113	65	125
2008	133	217	158	250
2007	165	265	189	295
2006 and prior	350	787	419	910
Total	<u>\$ 1,060</u>	<u>\$ 2,104</u>	<u>\$ 1,113</u>	<u>\$ 2,118</u>

Approximately 98% of the Company's HECL portfolio is still in the draw period, and approximately 42% is scheduled to begin amortizing within the next five years; however, most of the loans are expected to be renewed for a second 10-year period after a satisfactory review of the borrower's credit history and ability to repay. Of the total home equity credit line portfolio, including FDIC-supported loans, 0.24% was 90 or more days past due at September 30, 2013, compared to 0.27% and 0.26% at December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, respectively. During the first nine months of 2013, the Company modified \$0.1 million of home equity credit lines. The annualized credit losses for the HECL portfolio were 26 bps and 79 bps for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, loans representing approximately 8% of the outstanding balance in the HECL portfolio were estimated to have combined loan-to-value ratios ("CLTV") above 100%. An estimated CLTV ratio is the ratio of our loan plus any prior lien amounts divided by the estimated current collateral value. The estimated current collateral value is based on projecting values forward from the most recent valuation of the underlying collateral using home price indices at the metropolitan area level. Generally, a valuation of collateral is performed at origination. For junior lien HECLs, the estimated current balance of prior liens is added to the numerator in the calculation of CLTV. Additional detail for the current CLTV is shown in the following schedule:

HECL PORTFOLIO BY COMBINED LOAN-TO-VALUE

CLTV	Percentage of HECL portfolio	
	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
>100%	8%	14%
90-100%	6%	9%
80-89%	11%	13%
< 80%	75%	64%
	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>

At origination, underwriting standards for the HECL portfolio generally include a maximum 80% CLTV with high credit scores. Credit bureau data, credit scores, and estimated CLTV are refreshed on a quarterly basis, and are used to monitor and manage accounts, including amounts available under the lines of credit. The allowance for loan losses is determined through the use of roll rate models, and first lien HECLs are modeled separately from junior lien HECLs. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the allowance.

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming lending-related assets as a percentage of loans and leases and OREO decreased to 1.40% at September 30, 2013, compared to 1.96% at December 31, 2012 and 2.23% at September 30, 2012.

Total nonaccrual loans, excluding FDIC-supported loans, at September 30, 2013 decreased by \$164 million from December 31, 2012. The decrease is primarily due to a \$64 million decrease in commercial real estate term loans, a \$48 million decrease in owner occupied, and a \$42 million decrease in construction and land development loans. The largest total decreases in nonaccrual loans occurred at Zions Bank, Amegy, and NSB.

The balance of nonaccrual loans can decrease due to pay-downs, charge-offs, and the return of loans to accrual status under certain conditions. If a nonaccrual loan is refinanced or restructured, the new note is immediately placed on nonaccrual. If a restructured loan performs under the new terms for a period of six months, the loan can be considered for return to accrual status. See “Restructured Loans” for more information. Company policy does not allow for the conversion of nonaccrual construction and land development loans to CRE term loans. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

The following schedule sets forth the Company's nonperforming lending-related assets:

NONPERFORMING LENDING-RELATED ASSETS

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 467	\$ 631
Other real estate owned	58	90
Nonperforming lending-related assets, excluding FDIC-supported assets	525	721
FDIC-supported nonaccrual loans	5	17
FDIC-supported other real estate owned	8	8
FDIC-supported nonperforming lending-related assets	13	25
Total nonperforming lending-related assets	<u>\$ 538</u>	<u>\$ 746</u>
Ratio of nonperforming lending-related assets to net loans and leases ¹ and other real estate owned	1.40%	1.96%
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more, excluding FDIC-supported loans	\$ 9	\$ 10
FDIC-supported loans past due 90 days or more	22	52
Ratio of accruing loans past due 90 days or more to net loans and leases ¹	0.08%	0.16%
Nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 503	\$ 710
Ratio of nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more to net loans and leases ¹	1.31%	1.87%
Accruing loans past due 30 – 89 days, excluding FDIC-supported loans	\$ 85	\$ 185
FDIC-supported loans past due 30 – 89 days	11	12
Classified loans, excluding FDIC-supported loans	1,433	1,767

¹ Includes loans held for sale.

Restructured Loans

TDRs are loans that have been modified to accommodate a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulties, and for which the Company has granted a concession that it would not otherwise consider. Commercial loans may be modified to provide the borrower more time to complete the project, to achieve a higher lease-up percentage, to sell the property, or for other reasons. Consumer loan TDRs represent loan modifications in which a concession has been granted to the borrower who is unable to refinance the loan with another lender, or who is experiencing economic hardship. Such consumer loan TDRs may include first-lien residential mortgage loans and home equity loans.

For certain TDRs, we split the loan into two new notes – an “A” note and a “B” note. The A note is structured to comply with our current lending standards at current market rates, and is tailored to suit the customer's ability to make timely interest and principal payments. The B note includes the granting of the concession to the borrower and varies by situation. We may defer principal and interest payments until the A note has been paid in full. At the time of restructuring, the A note is identified and classified as a TDR. The B note is charged off, but the obligation is not forgiven to the borrower, and any payments collected on the B notes are accounted for as recoveries. The outstanding carrying value of loans restructured using the A/B note strategy was approximately \$130 million at September 30, 2013, \$160 million at December 31, 2012, and \$168 million at September 30, 2012.

If the restructured loan performs for at least six months according to the modified terms, and an analysis of the customer's financial condition indicates that the Company is reasonably assured of repayment of the modified principal and interest, the loan may be returned to accrual status. The borrower's payment performance prior to and following the restructuring is taken into account in determining whether or not a loan should be returned to accrual status.

ACCRUING AND NONACCRUING TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURED LOANS

<i>(In millions)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Restructured loans – accruing	\$ 385	\$ 407
Restructured loans – nonaccruing	166	216
Total	<u>\$ 551</u>	<u>\$ 623</u>

In the periods following the calendar year in which a loan was restructured, a loan may no longer be reported as a TDR if it is on accrual, is in compliance with its modified terms, and yields a market rate (as determined and documented at the time of the modification or restructure). Company policy requires that the removal of TDR status be approved at the same management level that approved the upgrading of a loan's classification. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding TDRs.

TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURED LOANS ROLLFORWARD

<i>(In millions)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 548	\$ 621	\$ 623	\$ 744
New identified TDRs and principal increases	66	77	160	227
Payments and payoffs	(52)	(34)	(186)	(178)
Charge-offs	(4)	(10)	(11)	(23)
No longer reported as TDRs	(2)	(1)	(5)	(64)
Sales and other	(5)	(25)	(30)	(78)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 551</u>	<u>\$ 628</u>	<u>\$ 551</u>	<u>\$ 628</u>

Other Nonperforming Assets

In addition to lending-related nonperforming assets, the Company had \$154 million in fair value and \$338 million in amortized cost of investments in debt securities (primarily bank and insurance company CDOs) that were on nonaccrual status at September 30, 2013, compared to \$187 million and \$471 million at December 31, 2012, and \$118 million and \$515 million at September 30, 2012, respectively.

Allowance and Reserve for Credit Losses

In analyzing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we utilize a comprehensive loan grading system to determine the risk potential in the portfolio and also consider the results of independent internal credit reviews. To determine the adequacy of the allowance, the Company's loan and lease portfolio is broken into segments based on loan type.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following schedule shows the changes in the allowance for loan losses and a summary of loan loss experience.

SUMMARY OF LOAN LOSS EXPERIENCE

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
Loans and leases outstanding (net of unearned income)	\$ 38,273	\$ 37,665	\$ 37,263
Average loans and leases outstanding (net of unearned income)	\$ 37,933	\$ 37,037	\$ 36,967
Allowance for loan losses:			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 896	\$ 1,052	\$ 1,052
Provision charged against earnings	(57)	14	24
Adjustment for FDIC-supported loans	(9)	(15)	(13)
Charge-offs:			
Commercial	(49)	(121)	(94)
Commercial real estate	(20)	(85)	(72)
Consumer	(25)	(61)	(46)
Total	(94)	(267)	(212)
Recoveries:			
Commercial	30	56	37
Commercial real estate	21	42	29
Consumer	10	14	10
Total	61	112	76
Net loan and lease charge-offs	(33)	(155)	(136)
Balance at end of period	\$ 797	\$ 896	\$ 927
Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average loans and leases	0.12%	0.42%	0.49%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to net loans and leases, at period end	2.08%	2.38%	2.49%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans, at period end	169.13%	138.25%	128.87%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due 90 days or more, at period end	158.43%	126.22%	116.64%

The total ALLL declined during the third quarter of 2013 due to continued positive credit trends experienced in our loan portfolio segments and to somewhat improving economic conditions in some of our markets. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding positive and negative credit trends experienced in each portfolio segment.

The quantitatively derived portion of the ALLL declined or was relatively stable in most portfolio segments and major geographic areas of our business during the third quarter of 2013, except for Amegy, which experienced loan growth. Recent and historic periods are weighted the same when determining historical loss factors. The portion of the ALLL related to qualitative and environmental factors remained relatively unchanged, both in the aggregate and across each portfolio segment, due to continued economic uncertainty in our markets. Improvements in credit quality during the third quarter of 2013 were widespread geographically; however, Amegy and Vectra experienced moderate increases in nonaccrual loans, and special mention loans, respectively. During the third quarter of 2013, the Company refined the risk grading methodology for certain smaller consumer loans. Credit trends experienced in the FDIC-supported loan portfolio are described in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The reserve for unfunded lending commitments represents a reserve for potential losses associated with off-balance sheet commitments and standby letters of credit. The reserve is separately shown in the Company's balance sheet and any related increases or decreases in the reserve are shown separately in the statement of income. The reserve

decreased by \$22.7 million from December 31, 2012, and \$21.7 million from September 30, 2012. The balance of the reserve fluctuates based on the amount and credit quality of the unfunded lending commitments. See Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the allowance for credit losses.

Interest Rate and Market Risk Management

Interest rate and market risk are managed centrally. Interest rate risk is the potential for reduced net interest income and other rate sensitive income resulting from adverse changes in the level of interest rates. Market risk is the potential for loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of fixed income securities, equity securities, other earning assets, and derivative financial instruments as a result of changes in interest rates or other factors. As a financial institution that engages in transactions involving an array of financial products, the Company is exposed to both interest rate risk and market risk.

The Company's Board of Directors is responsible for approving the overall policies relating to the management of the financial risk of the Company, including interest rate and market risk management. The Boards of Directors of the Company's subsidiary banks are also required to review and approve these policies. In addition, the Board establishes and periodically revises policy limits and reviews limit exceptions reported by management. The Board has established the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO"), consisting of members of management, to which it has delegated the responsibility of managing interest rate and market risk for the Company.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is one of the most significant risks to which the Company is regularly exposed. In general, our goal in managing interest rate risk is to have the net interest margin increase slightly in a rising interest rate environment. We refer to this goal as being slightly "asset-sensitive." This approach is based on our belief that in a rising interest rate environment, the market cost of equity, or implied rate at which future earnings are discounted, would also tend to rise. The asset sensitivity of the Company's balance sheet increased during the quarter, primarily due to deposit assumption changes discussed below.

Due to the low level of rates and the natural lower bound of zero for market indices, there is limited sensitivity to falling rates at the current time. Our models indicate that decreasing market index rates by 200 bps, with a lower bound of 0%, would decrease rate sensitive income by approximately 2% over a one-year period in the income simulation when compared to a scenario of no change in interest rates. However, if interest rates remain at their current historically low levels, given the Company's asset sensitivity, it expects its net interest margin to be under continuing modest pressure assuming a stable balance sheet. If interest rates remain stable, this pressure may lead to a reduction in net interest income, unless its impact is offset by sufficient loan growth, interest rate swaps, securities purchases or other means.

We attempt to minimize the impact of changing interest rates on net interest income primarily through the use of interest rate floors on variable rate loans, interest rate swaps, interest rate futures, and by avoiding large exposures to long-term fixed rate interest-earning assets that have significant negative convexity. Our earning assets are largely tied to the shorter end of the interest rate curve. The prime lending rate and the LIBOR curves are the primary indices used for pricing the Company's loans. The interest rates paid on deposit accounts are set by individual banks so as to be competitive in each local market.

We monitor interest rate risk through the use of two complementary measurement methods: Market Value of Equity ("MVE") and income simulation. In the MVE method, we measure the expected changes in the fair values of equity in response to changes in interest rates. In the income simulation method, we analyze the expected changes in income in response to changes in interest rates.

MVE is calculated as the fair value of all assets and derivative instruments minus the fair value of liabilities. We report changes in the dollar amount of MVE for parallel shifts in interest rates.

The Company's policy is generally to limit declines in MVE to 3% per 100 bps movement in interest rates in either direction. Due to embedded optionality and asymmetric rate risk, changes in MVE can be useful in quantifying risks not apparent for small rate changes. Examples of such risks may include out-of-the-money caps on loans which have little effect for small rate movements but may become important if larger rate shocks were to occur, or substantial prepayment deceleration for low rate mortgages in a higher rate environment.

Income simulation is an estimate of the net interest income and total rate sensitive income that would be recognized under different rate environments. Net interest income and total rate sensitive income are measured under several parallel and nonparallel interest rate environments and deposit repricing assumptions, taking into account an estimate of the possible exercise of options within the portfolio. For income simulation, Company policy requires that interest sensitive income from a static balance sheet be limited to a decline of no more than 10% during one year if rates were to immediately rise or fall in parallel by 200 bps.

Each of these measurement methods requires that we assess a number of variables and make various assumptions in managing the Company's exposure to changes in interest rates. The assessments address loan and investment security prepayments, early deposit withdrawals, and other embedded options and noncontrollable events. As a result of uncertainty about the maturity and repricing characteristics of both deposits and loans, the Company estimates ranges of MVE and income simulation under a variety of assumptions and scenarios. The Company's interest rate risk position changes as the interest rate environment changes and is actively managed to maintain an asset-sensitive position. However, positions at the end of any period may not be reflective of the Company's position in any subsequent period.

The estimated MVE and income simulation results are highly sensitive to the assumptions used for deposits that do not have specific maturities, such as checking, savings, and money market accounts, and also to prepayment assumptions used for loans with prepayment options. Given the uncertainty of these estimates, we view both the MVE and the income simulation results as falling within a wide range of possibilities.

The scenario outcomes are highly dependent upon management determination of expected depositor behavior in various interest rate environments. Management uses historical regression analysis as a guide to setting such assumptions; however, due to the current low interest rate environment, such assumed deposit durations may not reflect actual future results. Even modest variation of such assumptions may have significant impact on the calculation of income simulation and market value of equity shown below. These assumptions are as follows:

REPRICING SCENARIO ASSUMPTIONS BY DEPOSIT PRODUCT

Product	As of September 30, 2013			
	Fast		Slow	
	Effective duration (base)	Effective duration (+200 bps)	Effective duration (base)	Effective duration (+200 bps)
Demand deposits	1.55%	1.93%	2.30%	2.93%
Money market	0.77%	0.74%	1.15%	1.10%
Savings and interest on checking	2.49%	2.35%	3.20%	3.03%

Note: Effective duration measures the percent change in MVE for a 100 bp parallel shift in rates as compared to the Macauley Duration, which measures weighted average life of cash flows in years and is not reported. The Company's Demand Deposit Model assumes significant negative convexity in the current low rate environment.

As of the dates indicated, the following schedule shows the Company's percentage change in interest rate sensitive income, based on a static balance sheet, in the first year after the rate change if interest rates were to sustain immediate parallel changes ranging from -100 bps to +300 bps. The Company estimates interest rate risk with two sets of deposit repricing scenarios.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

The first scenario assumes that administered-rate deposits (money market, interest-earning checking, and savings) reprice at a faster speed in response to changes in interest rates. Additionally, interest rates cannot decline below zero. At September 30, 2013, June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, interest rates were at such a low level that repricing scenarios assuming -100 bps rate shocks produced negative results.

The second scenario assumes that those deposits reprice at a slower speed. For larger rate shocks, e.g., +300 bps, models reflecting consumer behavior in regards to both loan prepayments and deposit run-off are inherently prone to increased model uncertainty.

INCOME SIMULATION – CHANGE IN INTEREST RATE SENSITIVE INCOME

Repricing scenario	As of September 30, 2013			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	(2.7)%	6.0%	13.0%	20.3%
Slow	(2.9)%	7.4%	15.8%	24.5%

Repricing scenario	As of June 30, 2013			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	(2.9)%	7.2%	15.4%	23.9%
Slow	(3.1)%	8.6%	18.1%	28.0%

Repricing scenario	As of March 31, 2013			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	(2.4)%	6.7%	14.5%	22.6%
Slow	(2.6)%	7.9%	17.0%	26.4%

Repricing scenario	As of December 31, 2012			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	(1.8)%	3.9%	9.8%	16.7%
Slow	(2.0)%	5.0%	12.1%	20.2%

The following schedule includes changes in the MVE from -100 bps to +300 bps parallel rate moves for both “fast” and “slow” scenarios.

CHANGES IN MARKET VALUE OF EQUITY

Repricing scenario	As of September 30, 2013			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	0.9 %	1.5%	3.7%	5.2%
Slow	(2.5)%	6.2%	13.3%	19.1%

Repricing scenario	As of June 30, 2013			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	(1.3)%	2.5%	5.3%	8.2%
Slow	(4.5)%	5.5%	11.1%	16.4%

Repricing scenario	As of March 31, 2013			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	(1.1)%	2.6%	5.6%	8.7%
Slow	(4.5)%	5.9%	12.0%	17.9%

Repricing scenario	As of December 31, 2012			
	-100 bps	+100 bps	+200 bps	+300 bps
Fast	0.7 %	1.7%	3.9%	6.3%
Slow	(2.8)%	4.9%	10.6%	16.0%

During the third quarter of 2013, changes in interest rate sensitivity were primarily driven by changes in assumptions for certain noninterest and interest-bearing deposits and assumptions for residential loan prepayment behavior. The Company believes that a “rate-sensitive” portion of its demand deposit balances may behave differently than the more “stable” portion in the fast scenario. The slow scenario does not include a rate sensitive portion of noninterest deposits. For modeling purposes, these rate-sensitive deposits were assigned a one-month duration, which is comparable to a similar duration assumption on deposits at the Federal Reserve (primary use of such funds), which had impacts on both the MVE and income simulation results. Previously, the Company had assumed a six-month duration for such deposits. The Company continues to anticipate that a portion of the rate-sensitive deposits will be more sensitive to future rate changes than stable deposits, because they were largely collected during a very low – nearly zero for short-term rates – interest rate environment. In the third quarter of 2013, the Company started to incorporate more dynamic residential mortgage prepayment assumptions that impacted both MVE and income simulation results; such assumptions include slower prepayment rates on loans under a higher rate environment. However, the methodology and models for determining these assumption changes are subject to ongoing review in recognition of evolving customer behavior for deposit and loan activity.

During the second quarter of 2013, the two measures of MVE and income simulation collectively indicate minimal change in the interest rate risk profile of the Company. Changes in MVE for +100 bp declined slightly (5.5% vs 5.9% in the Slow scenario) whereas simulated income sensitivity increased slightly (8.6% vs 7.9% in the Slow scenario). This divergence of behavior arises from the fact that MVE measures longer-term interest rate risk over the life of the assets and liabilities, whereas simulated income sensitivity measures near-term interest rate risk. The decline in MVE can primarily be explained by the steepening interest rate curve which results in slower assumed prepayment of long-term fixed rate assets and also shortens the assumed average life of sticky deposit types such as noninterest-bearing demand deposit accounts. By contrast, the steeper interest rate curve raises forecasted short-term interest rates which impacts the income simulation by pushing more floored loans off their current floors in a +100 bp rate shock.

During the first quarter of 2013, changes in interest rate sensitivity were primarily driven by changes in assumptions for demand deposits. After the introduction of the Transaction Account Guarantee (“TAG”) in 2008, the Company designated a portion of the noninterest-bearing demand deposit balances as ratings and rate-sensitive, based on the assumption that these deposits would behave differently upon the expiration of the TAG program on December 31, 2012, and in a changing interest rate environment from deposits collected for reasons other than the TAG program and FDIC-insured deposits up to \$250,000 (“stable deposits”). Upon the expiration of the TAG program, we believe that only a modest portion of such deposits were withdrawn, approximately \$1 billion, but we still believe that a “rate-sensitive” portion of our demand deposit balances may behave differently than the more “stable” portion. For modeling purposes, the rate-sensitive deposits were assigned a six-month duration, which had impacts on both the MVE and income simulation results. The Company continues to anticipate that a portion of the rate-sensitive deposits will be more sensitive to future rate changes than stable deposits, because they were largely collected during a near zero interest rate environment. However, the methodology for determining which balances are rate-sensitive was modified during the first quarter of 2013 in part to recognize that the declines in balances following the expiration of the TAG program were less than anticipated.

As a result of the methodology change in the first quarter of 2013, the amount of noninterest-bearing demand deposits designated as rate-sensitive was reduced from approximately \$8.5 billion at December 31, 2012 to \$5.9 billion at March 31, 2013. Additionally, though not covered by the TAG program, a portion of the interest-bearing checking account balances has also been designated as rate-sensitive to take into account the fact that some of these balances were collected during the unprecedented low rate environment that we have experienced over the last several years and that continues to exist. The methodology change reduced rate-sensitive interest-bearing checking deposits from approximately \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2012 to \$1.4 billion at March 31, 2013. The Company continues to believe that, in the aggregate, both interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing transaction account balances accumulated during the near zero rate environment will behave differently than stable deposit balances, and continues to closely analyze and adjust the impact of these balances on both the MVE and income simulation measures of asset sensitivity.

Market Risk – Fixed Income

The Company engages in the underwriting and trading of municipal securities. This trading activity exposes the Company to a risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the prices of these fixed income securities.

The Company is exposed to market risk through changes in fair value. The Company is also exposed to market risk for interest rate swaps used to hedge interest rate risk. Changes in the fair value of AFS securities and in interest rate swaps that qualify as cash flow hedges are included in AOCI for each financial reporting period. During the third quarter of 2013, the after-tax increase in AOCI attributable to AFS and HTM securities was \$6.5 million compared to \$42 million in the same prior-year period. The decrease attributable to cash flow interest rate swaps for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012 was \$0.2 million and \$(1.2) million, respectively. If any of the AFS or HTM securities becomes other-than-temporarily impaired, the credit impairment is charged to operations. See “Investment Securities Portfolio” for additional information on OTTI.

Market Risk – Equity Investments

Through its equity investment activities, the Company owns equity securities that are publicly traded. In addition, the Company owns equity securities in companies and governmental entities, e.g., Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Banks, that are not publicly traded, and which are accounted for under cost, fair value, equity, or full consolidation methods of accounting, depending upon the Company’s ownership position and degree of involvement in influencing the investees’ affairs. Regardless of the accounting method, the value of the Company’s investment is subject to fluctuation. Since the fair value of these securities may fall below the Company’s investment costs, the Company is exposed to the possibility of loss. Equity investments in private and public companies are approved, monitored and evaluated by the Company’s Equity Investment Committee.

The Company holds investments in pre-public companies through various venture capital funds. Additionally, Amegy has an alternative investments portfolio. These investments are primarily directed towards equity buyout and mezzanine funds with a key strategy of deriving ancillary commercial banking business from the portfolio companies. Early stage venture capital funds were generally not a part of the strategy since the underlying companies were typically not creditworthy.

These private equity investments are subject to the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that prohibit bank holding company or bank investment in such funds, with limited exceptions. The Company is allowed to honor unfunded commitments made prior to the adoption of the Dodd-Frank Act, but is not allowed to make any new commitments to invest in private equity, except for SBIC funds. Therefore, the Company’s earnings from these investments, and the potential volatility of these earnings, are expected to decline over the next several years and will ultimately cease.

A more comprehensive discussion of the Company’s interest rate and market risk management is contained in the Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Liquidity Risk Management

Liquidity risk is the possibility that the Company's cash flows may not be adequate to fund its ongoing operations and meet its commitments in a timely and cost-effective manner. Since liquidity risk is closely linked to both credit risk and market risk, many of the previously discussed risk control mechanisms also apply to the monitoring and management of liquidity risk. We manage the Company's liquidity to provide adequate funds to meet its anticipated financial and contractual obligations, including withdrawals by depositors, debt and capital service requirements and lease obligations, as well as to fund customers' needs for credit. The management of liquidity and funding is performed centrally for the Parent and jointly by the Parent and bank management for its subsidiary banks.

Consolidated cash, interest-bearing deposits held as investments, and security resell agreements at the Parent and its subsidiaries was \$9.5 billion at September 30, 2013, compared to \$9.4 billion at June 30, 2013 and \$10.5 billion at December 31, 2012. The \$1.0 billion decrease during the first nine months of 2013 resulted primarily from (1) net loan originations, (2) a decrease in deposits, and (3) an increase in investment securities. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in cash due to net cash provided by operating activities.

Parent Company Liquidity

The Parent's cash requirements consist primarily of debt service, investments in and advances to subsidiaries, operating expenses, income taxes, and dividends to preferred and common shareholders. The Parent's cash needs are usually met through dividends from its subsidiaries, interest and investment income, subsidiaries' proportionate share of current income taxes, and long-term debt and equity issuances.

Cash, interest-bearing deposits held as investments, and security resell agreements at the Parent were \$930 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$1,218 million at June 30, 2013 and \$653 million at December 31, 2012. The \$277 million increase from December 31, 2012 was primarily a result of dividends received from its subsidiaries and the redemption of subsidiary preferred stock issued to the Parent, as discussed below. These increases were partially offset by the decrease in cash resulting from a net repayment of long-term debt and the payment of preferred and common dividends.

During the first nine months of 2013, the Parent received common dividends totaling \$290.8 million and preferred dividends totaling \$34.9 million from its subsidiary banks. Also, the Parent received cash of \$105.0 million from its subsidiary banks as a result of the redemption of preferred stock issued to the Parent. The dividends that our subsidiary banks can pay to the Parent are restricted by current and historical earning levels, retained earnings, and risk-based and other regulatory capital requirements and limitations. During the first nine months of 2013, all of the Company's subsidiary banks recorded a profit. We currently expect profitability to be sustained, thus permitting additional payments of dividends by our subsidiaries to the Parent, and/or returns of capital to the Parent during the remainder of 2013.

General financial market and economic conditions impact the Company's access to and cost of external financing. Access to funding markets for the Parent and its subsidiary banks is also directly affected by credit ratings received from various rating agencies. The ratings not only influence the costs associated with the borrowings, but can also influence the sources of the borrowings. The debt ratings and outlooks issued by the various rating agencies for the Company did not change during the first nine months of 2013, except for Standard & Poor's outlook improved to stable from negative. While Moody's rates the Company's senior debt as Ba1 (one notch below investment grade), Standard & Poor's, Fitch, Dominion Bond Rating Service ("DBRS"), and Kroll all rate the Company's senior debt at a low investment grade level. In addition, all of the previously mentioned rating agencies, except Kroll, rate the Company's subordinated debt as noninvestment grade.

During the first nine months of 2013, the primary sources of additional cash to the Parent in the capital markets were (1) the issuance of \$800.0 million par amount of preferred stock with a weighted average dividend rate of 6.2%; proceeds net of commissions and fees were \$784.4 million and (2) a total issuance of \$485.1 million of unsecured senior and subordinated notes with maturities between May 2016 and September 2028, interest rates

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

between 2.75% and 6.95% and a weighted average interest rate of 4.6%; proceeds net of commissions and fees were \$480.0 million.

The primary uses of cash in the capital markets during the first nine months of 2013 were (1) the \$800 million redemption of 9.5% Series C preferred stock, (2) the \$285.0 million redemption of Zions Capital Trust B trust preferred securities which carried an 8.0% interest rate (previously included in long-term debt), and (3) the repurchase of \$258.0 million of the Company's 7.75% senior notes, which had an effective interest rate of 11.0%.

The following table presents the Parent's balance sheet at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012.

PARENT ONLY CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
ASSETS			
Cash and due from banks	\$ 929,498	\$ 2,001	\$ 2,031
Interest-bearing deposits	98	75,808	580,994
Security resell agreements	—	575,000	—
Investment securities:			
Held-to-maturity, at adjusted cost (approximate fair value of \$27,159, \$22,112 and \$17,119)	19,277	22,679	14,350
Available-for-sale, at fair value	539,352	461,665	393,637
Loans, net of unearned fees of \$0, \$0 and \$0 and allowance for loan losses of \$0, \$23 and \$0	—	1,277	—
Other noninterest-bearing investments	38,241	50,799	51,120
Investments in subsidiaries:			
Commercial banks and bank holding company	6,641,165	6,668,881	6,722,096
Other operating companies	34,588	36,516	42,403
Nonoperating – ZMFU II, Inc. ¹	43,935	43,012	42,795
Receivables from subsidiaries:			
Other operating companies	—	—	5,000
Other assets	254,012	311,093	246,491
	<u>\$ 8,500,166</u>	<u>\$ 8,248,731</u>	<u>\$ 8,100,917</u>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Other liabilities	\$ 114,147	\$ 106,159	\$ 75,701
Commercial paper:			
Due to affiliates	—	—	22,999
Due to others	—	—	1,199
Other short-term borrowings:			
Due to others	—	4,951	4,951
Subordinated debt to affiliated trusts	15,464	309,278	309,278
Long-term debt:			
Due to affiliates	17	—	—
Due to others	2,037,729	1,776,274	1,765,672
Total liabilities	<u>2,167,357</u>	<u>2,196,662</u>	<u>2,179,800</u>
Shareholders' equity:			
Preferred stock	1,003,970	1,128,302	1,123,377
Common stock	4,172,887	4,166,109	4,162,001
Retained earnings	1,540,455	1,203,815	1,170,477
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(384,503)	(446,157)	(534,738)
Total shareholders' equity	<u>6,332,809</u>	<u>6,052,069</u>	<u>5,921,117</u>
	<u>\$ 8,500,166</u>	<u>\$ 8,248,731</u>	<u>\$ 8,100,917</u>

¹ ZMFU II, Inc. is a wholly-owned nonoperating subsidiary whose sole purpose is to hold a portfolio of municipal bonds, loans and leases.

During the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, the Parent's operating expenses included cash payments for interest of approximately \$98 million and \$101 million, respectively. Additionally, the Parent paid approximately \$94 million and \$109 million of dividends on preferred stock and common stock, respectively, for the same periods. Preferred stock dividends were lower in the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012 primarily as a result of the redemption of the \$1.4 billion TARP preferred stock and the replacement of the 11.0% Series E preferred stock with the 7.9% Series F preferred stock during 2012. Due to previously described preferred stock and debt refinancing activities, we expect a significant reduction in the cost of preferred equity and long-term debt in 2014 compared to 2013.

At September 30, 2013, maturities of the Company's long-term senior and subordinated debt ranged from February 2014 to September 2028.

Subsidiary Bank Liquidity

The subsidiary banks' primary source of funding is their core deposits, consisting of demand, savings and money market deposits, time deposits under \$100,000, and foreign deposits. These core deposits, excluding brokered deposits, in aggregate, constituted 97% of consolidated deposits at September 30, 2013, and is essentially unchanged from the ratio at December 31, 2012. On a consolidated basis, the Company's net loan to total deposit ratio was 84% as of September 30, 2013, compared to 85% as of June 30, 2013 and 82% as of December 31, 2012.

Total deposits decreased from December 31, 2012 by \$464 million to \$45.7 billion at September 30, 2013. Savings, money market, time, and foreign deposits decreased by a total of \$560 million during the first nine months of 2013, while noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$97 million during the same period. During the third quarter of 2013, noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$762 million, which may be partially explained by uncertainty surrounding the risk of a federal government shut down and possible default on U.S. government debt, the former of which did occur during the first several days of October.

The FHLB system and Federal Reserve Banks have been and are a source of back-up liquidity, and from time to time, a significant source of funding for each of the Company's subsidiary banks. Zions Bank, TCBW, and TCBO are members of the FHLB of Seattle. CB&T, NSB, and NBAZ are members of the FHLB of San Francisco. Vectra is a member of the FHLB of Topeka and Amegy Bank is a member of the FHLB of Dallas. The FHLB allows member banks to borrow against their eligible loans to satisfy liquidity requirements. The subsidiary banks are required to invest in FHLB and Federal Reserve stock to maintain their borrowing capacity. At September 30, 2013, the amount available for additional FHLB and Federal Reserve borrowings was approximately \$15.6 billion. Loans with a carrying value of approximately \$22.4 billion at September 30, 2013, \$22.0 billion at June 30, 2013, and \$21.1 billion at December 31, 2012 have been pledged at various FHLBs and the Federal Reserve as collateral for current and potential borrowings. The Company had a de minimus amount of long-term borrowings outstanding with the FHLB at September 30, 2013, approximately \$23 million, which was essentially unchanged from the December 31, 2012 balance, and had no short-term FHLB or Federal Reserve borrowings outstanding, which also was unchanged from December 31, 2012. At September 30, 2013 the subsidiary banks' total investment in FHLB stock was approximately \$105 million compared with \$109 million at December 31, 2012. The subsidiary bank's total investment in Federal Reserve stock was \$121 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$123 million at December 31, 2012.

The Company's investment activities can provide or use cash, depending on the asset-liability management posture that is taken. For the first nine months of 2013, investment securities' activities resulted in an increase in investment securities and a net \$187 million decrease in cash compared with a decrease in investment securities and a net \$249 million increase in cash for the first nine months of 2012.

Maturing balances in our subsidiary banks' loan portfolios also provide additional flexibility in managing cash flows. Lending activity for the first nine months of 2013 resulted in a net cash outflow of \$744 million compared to a net cash outflow of \$380 million for the first nine months of 2012.

In October 2013, the Federal Reserve issued a notice of proposed rulemaking regarding a new and significantly more comprehensive approach to measuring liquidity and established standards for compliance. Under the proposed rule, the Company would be subject to a modified LCR standard, which requires a financial institution to hold a buffer of high-quality, liquid assets to fully cover net cash outflows under a 21-day systematic liquidity stress scenario. The proposed standard would be implemented in phases starting on January 1, 2015 and full compliance would be required by January 1, 2017. We have performed a preliminary analysis of our liquidity position using the proposed definition, and we believe that the Company would have been in full compliance as of September 30, 2013. The Basel III liquidity framework includes a second minimum liquidity measure, the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR), which requires the amount of available longer-term, stable sources of funding to be at least 100 percent of the required amount of longer-term stable funding over a one-year period.

A more comprehensive discussion of our liquidity management is contained in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Operational Risk Management

Operational risk is the potential for unexpected losses attributable to human error, systems failures, fraud, or inadequate internal controls and procedures. In its ongoing efforts to identify and manage operational risk, the Company has a Corporate Risk Management Department whose responsibility is to help management identify and assess key risks and monitor the key internal controls and processes that the Company has in place to mitigate operational risk. We have documented controls and the Control Self Assessment related to financial reporting under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991.

To manage and minimize its operating risk, the Company has in place transactional documentation requirements; systems and procedures to monitor transactions and positions; systems and procedures to detect and mitigate attempts to commit fraud, penetrate the Company's systems or telecommunications, access customer data, and/or deny normal access to those systems to the Company's legitimate customers; regulatory compliance reviews; and periodic reviews by the Company's internal audit and credit examination departments. In addition, reconciliation procedures have been established to ensure that data processing systems consistently and accurately capture critical data. Further, we maintain contingency plans and systems for operations support in the event of natural or other disasters.

Efforts are continually underway to improve the Company's oversight of operational risk, including enhancement of risk-control self assessments and of anti-fraud measures, which are reported to the Enterprise Risk Management Committee and to the Risk Oversight Committee of the Board. We also mitigate operational risk through the purchase of insurance, including errors and omissions and professional liability insurance. However, the number and sophistication of attempts to disrupt or penetrate the Company's critical systems, sometimes referred to as hacking, cyberfraud, cyberattacks, cyberterrorism, or other similar names, also continues to grow. On a daily basis, the Company, its customers, and other financial institutions are subject to such attempts. The Company has established systems and procedures to monitor, thwart or mitigate damage from such attempts, and usually these efforts have been successful. However, in some instances we, or our customers, have been victimized by cyberfraud (related losses to the Company have not been material), or some of our customers have been temporarily unable to routinely access our online systems as a result of, for example, distributed denial of service attacks.

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

We believe that a strong capital position is vital to continued profitability and to promoting depositor and investor confidence.

On March 14, 2013, the Federal Reserve notified the Company of the results of its review of the Company's capital plan under the FRB's 2013 Capital Plan Review. While the FRB objected to certain proposed capital actions, it did

not object to key capital actions relating to the reduction of the cost and quantity of the Company's non-common capital. Specifically, among other things, the FRB did not object to the issuance by the Company of up to \$600 million in additional perpetual preferred stock, and to the redemption of up to \$600 million of the Company's outstanding Series C 9.5% noncumulative perpetual preferred stock. On May 6, 2013, the Company reported that the FRB did not object to increasing both of these amounts by \$200 million to a total of \$800 million.

During the first nine months of 2013, the Company issued four new series of Tier 1 capital qualifying noncumulative perpetual preferred stock (Series G, H, I, and J) and reopened and issued additional Series A preferred stock; the total par amount of these issuances is \$800 million. Subsequent to these new preferred stock issuances, on September 15, 2013, the Company redeemed all of its outstanding \$800 million par amount Series C preferred stock. Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements provides further information on the Company's equity and debt transactions during the first nine months of 2013.

In January 2014, the Company will be required to submit a capital plan under the Federal Reserve's CCAR process. The Company believes it must continue to make significant improvements to its internal stress testing, risk management, and related processes to meet the standards of the CCAR process required for 2014 capital planning, and is allocating significant resources to the successful implementation of these improvements.

Total controlling interest shareholders' equity increased by 4.6% from \$6,052 million at December 31, 2012 to \$6,333 million at September 30, 2013. The increase in total controlling interest shareholders' equity is primarily due to \$305.3 million of net income applicable to controlling interest and \$66.6 million improvement in net unrealized losses on investment securities recorded in AOCI, partially offset by \$94.2 million of dividends paid on preferred and common stock. The improvement in net unrealized losses on investment securities recorded in the first nine months of 2013 was a result of fair value increases in CDO securities, primarily in junior tranches, and was driven by improvements in credit spreads (i.e. observed trading in the marketplace of similar securities indicated stronger pricing for such securities).

The Company paid \$16.7 million in dividends on common stock during the first nine months of 2013. During the second quarter of 2013, the Company increased its quarterly dividend on common stock to \$0.04 per share per quarter. This was an increase from \$0.01 per share per quarter paid during the last several years. During its October 2013 meeting, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.04 per common share payable on November 29, 2013, to shareholders of record on November 22, 2013.

The Company recorded preferred stock dividends of \$77.5 million and \$148.2 million during the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Preferred dividends for the first nine months of 2012 include \$79.1 million related to the TARP preferred stock, consisting of cash payments of \$34.4 million and accretion of \$44.7 million for the difference between the fair value and par amount of the TARP preferred stock when issued.

Conversions of convertible subordinated debt into preferred stock have augmented the Company's capital position and reduced future refinancing needs. During the first nine months of 2013, \$1.2 million of subordinated debt was converted into preferred stock. A portion of the beneficial conversion feature is reclassified from common stock to preferred stock upon each conversion of convertible subordinated debt into preferred stock. As of September 30, 2013, \$457 million of convertible subordinated debt was outstanding. The legal right to convert subordinated debt into the Company's Series A and C preferred stock still exists; however, we believe that currently there is no economic incentive to convert.

Banking organizations are required under published regulations to maintain adequate levels of capital as measured by several regulatory capital ratios. As of September 30, 2013, the Company's capital ratios were as follows:

CAPITAL RATIOS

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Tangible common equity ratio	7.90%	7.09%	7.17%
Tangible equity ratio	9.75%	9.15%	9.32%
Average equity to average assets (three months ended)	12.39%	11.03%	12.22%
Risk-based capital ratios:			
Common equity Tier 1	10.47%	9.80%	9.86%
Tier 1 leverage	10.63%	10.96%	11.05%
Tier 1 risk-based	13.10%	13.38%	13.49%
Total risk-based	14.82%	15.05%	15.25%
Return on average common equity (three months ended)	16.03%	2.91%	5.21%
Tangible return on average tangible common equity (three months ended)	20.34%	4.07%	7.02%

At September 30, 2013, regulatory Tier 1 risk-based capital and total risk-based capital were \$5,823 million and \$6,590 million, compared to \$5,884 million and \$6,617 million at December 31, 2012, and \$5,837 million and \$6,596 million at September 30, 2012, respectively.

BASEL III

In July 2013, the FRB published final rules (the “New Capital Rules”) establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The FDIC and the OCC have adopted substantially identical rules (in the case of the FDIC, as interim final rules). The rules implement the Basel Committee’s December 2010 framework, commonly referred to as Basel III, for strengthening international capital standards as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The New Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions, including the Company, compared to the current U.S. risk-based capital rules. The New Capital Rules define the components of capital and address other issues affecting the numerator in banking institutions’ regulatory capital ratios. The New Capital Rules also address risk weights and other issues affecting the denominator in banking institutions’ regulatory capital ratios and replace the existing risk-weighting approach, which was derived from Basel I capital accords of the Basel Committee, with a more risk-sensitive approach based, in part, on the standardized approach in the Basel Committee’s 2004 Basel II capital accords. The New Capital Rules also implement the requirements of Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act to remove references to credit ratings from the federal banking agencies’ rules. The New Capital Rules are effective for the Company on January 1, 2015 (subject to phase-in periods for certain of their components).

The New Capital Rules, among other things, (i) introduce a new capital measure called “Common Equity Tier 1” (“CET1”), (ii) specify that Tier 1 capital consist of CET1 and “Additional Tier 1 capital” instruments meeting specified requirements, (iii) apply most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures to CET1 and not to the other components of capital, thus potentially requiring higher levels of CET1 in order to meet minimum ratios, and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions/adjustments from capital as compared to existing regulations.

Under the New Capital Rules, the minimum capital ratios as of January 1, 2015 will be as follows:

- 4.5% CET1 to risk-weighted assets.
- 6.0% Tier 1 capital (i.e., CET1 plus Additional Tier 1) to risk-weighted assets.
- 8.0% Total capital (i.e., Tier 1 plus Tier 2) to risk-weighted assets.
- 4.0% Tier 1 capital to average consolidated assets as reported on consolidated financial statements (known as the “leverage ratio”).

When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, the New Capital Rules will also require the Company and its subsidiary banks to maintain a 2.5% “capital conservation buffer,” composed entirely of CET1, on top of the minimum risk-weighted asset ratios, effectively resulting in minimum ratios of (i) CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7.0%,

(ii) Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.5%, and (iii) Total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 10.5%.

The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases, and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and increase by 0.625% on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

The New Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets dependent upon future taxable income, and significant investments in common equity issued by nonconsolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1. The Company's preliminary analysis indicates that application of this part of the rule should not result in any deductions from CET1. However, the Company estimates that the "Corresponding Deduction Approach" section of the Rules, separately applied to the Company's significant concentration in investments in bank and insurance trust preferred collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") securities, would, if the Rules were phased in immediately, eliminate a significant portion of the Company's non-common Tier 1 capital. However, this deduction will not begin until January 1, 2015 for the Company, and even after January 1, 2015, it will be phased-in in portions over time through the beginning of 2018, as indicated below. Thus, the impact may be mitigated prior to or during the phase-in period by repayment, determination of other than temporary impairment ("OTTI"), additional accumulation of retained earnings, and/or sales of the CDO securities.

Under current capital standards, the effects of AOCI items included in capital are excluded for purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. Under the New Capital Rules, the effects of certain AOCI items are not excluded; however, non-advanced approaches banking organizations, including the Company and its subsidiary banks, may make a one-time permanent election as of January 1, 2014 to continue to exclude these items. The Company has not yet determined whether to make this election. The deductions and other adjustments to CET1 will be phased in incrementally between January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2018.

The New Capital Rules require that trust preferred securities be phased out from Tier 1 capital by the end of 2015, although for a banking organization, such as the Company, that has greater than \$15 billion in total consolidated assets, but is not an advanced approaches banking organization, the New Capital Rules permit permanent inclusion of trust preferred securities issued prior to May 19, 2010 in Tier 2 capital regardless of whether they would meet the qualifications for Tier 2 capital.

With respect to the Company's bank subsidiaries, the New Capital Rules also revise the "prompt corrective action" regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, by (i) introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each capital quality level (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status; (ii) increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category, with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8% (as compared to the current 6%); and (iii) requiring a leverage ratio of 4% to be adequately capitalized (as compared to the current 3% leverage ratio for a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1) and a leverage ratio of 5% to be well-capitalized. The New Capital Rules do not change the total risk-based capital requirement for any "prompt corrective action" category.

The New Capital Rules prescribe a standardized approach for calculating risk-weighted assets that expand the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. Government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories. In addition, the New Capital Rules also provide more advantageous risk weights for derivatives and repurchase-style transactions cleared through a qualifying central counterparty and increase the scope of eligible guarantors and eligible collateral for purposes of credit risk mitigation.

The Company believes that, as of September 30, 2013, the Company and its subsidiary banks would meet all capital adequacy requirements under the New Capital Rules on a fully phased-in basis if such requirements were currently effective including after giving effect to the deduction described above.

GAAP to NON-GAAP RECONCILIATIONS

1. Common equity Tier 1 capital

Traditionally, the Federal Reserve and other banking regulators have assessed a bank's capital adequacy based on Tier 1 capital, the calculation of which is codified in federal banking regulations. In July 2013, the FRB published final rules establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations, including the new CET1 capital measure. The new capital rules are effective for the Company on January 1, 2015; however, some key regulatory changes to the calculation of this measure are phased in over several years. The CET1 capital ratio is the core capital component of the Basel III standards, and we believe that it increasingly is becoming a key ratio considered by regulators, investors, and analysts. There is a difference between this ratio calculated using Basel I definitions of common equity Tier 1 capital and those definitions using Basel III rules. We present the calculation of key regulatory capital ratios, including CET1, using the governing definition at the end of each quarter, taking into account applicable phase-in rules.

Common equity Tier 1 capital is often expressed as a percentage of risk-weighted assets. Under the current risk-based capital framework applicable to the Company, a bank's balance sheet assets and credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet items are assigned to one of four broad "Basel I" risk categories for banks, like our banking subsidiaries, that have not adopted the Basel II "Advanced Measurement Approach." The aggregated dollar amount in each category is then multiplied by the risk weighting assigned to that category. The resulting weighted values from each of the four categories are added together and this sum is the risk-weighted assets total that, as adjusted, comprises the denominator of certain risk-based capital ratios. Tier 1 capital is then divided by this denominator (risk-weighted assets) to determine the Tier 1 capital ratio. Adjustments are made to Tier 1 capital to arrive at common equity Tier 1 capital. Common equity Tier 1 capital is also divided by the risk-weighted assets to determine the common equity Tier 1 capital ratio. The amounts disclosed as risk-weighted assets are calculated consistent with banking regulatory requirements.

The schedule below provides a reconciliation of controlling interest shareholders' equity (GAAP) to Tier 1 capital (regulatory) and to common equity Tier 1 capital (non-GAAP) using current U.S. regulatory treatment and not Basel III calculations.

COMMON EQUITY TIER 1 CAPITAL (NON-GAAP)

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Controlling interest shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 6,333	\$ 6,052	\$ 5,921
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	384	446	535
Nonqualifying goodwill and intangibles	(1,054)	(1,065)	(1,070)
Other regulatory adjustments	(3)	3	3
Qualifying trust preferred securities	163	448	448
Tier 1 capital (regulatory)	5,823	5,884	5,837
Qualifying trust preferred securities	(163)	(448)	(448)
Preferred stock	(1,004)	(1,128)	(1,123)
Common equity Tier 1 capital (non-GAAP)	\$ 4,656	\$ 4,308	\$ 4,266
Risk-weighted assets (regulatory)	\$ 44,457	\$ 43,970	\$ 43,259
Common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets (non-GAAP)	10.47%	9.80%	9.86%

2. Tangible return on average tangible common equity

This Form 10-Q presents “tangible return on average tangible common equity” which excludes, net of tax, the amortization of core deposit and other intangibles and impairment loss on goodwill from net earnings applicable to common shareholders, and average goodwill and core deposit and other intangibles from average common equity.

The following schedule provides a reconciliation of net earnings applicable to common shareholders (GAAP) to net earnings applicable to common shareholders, excluding net of tax, the effects of amortization of core deposit and other intangibles and impairment loss on goodwill (non-GAAP), and average common equity (GAAP) to average tangible common equity (non-GAAP).

TANGIBLE RETURN ON AVERAGE TANGIBLE COMMON EQUITY (NON-GAAP)

<i>(Amounts in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		
	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders (GAAP)	\$ 209,707	\$ 35,605	\$ 62,322
Adjustments, net of tax:			
Impairment loss on goodwill	—	583	—
Amortization of core deposit and other intangibles	2,268	2,677	2,692
Net earnings applicable to common shareholders, excluding the effects of the adjustments, net of tax (non-GAAP) (a)	<u>\$ 211,975</u>	<u>\$ 38,865</u>	<u>\$ 65,014</u>
Average common equity (GAAP)	\$ 5,190,073	\$ 4,862,972	\$ 4,758,858
Average goodwill	(1,014,129)	(1,014,986)	(1,015,129)
Average core deposit and other intangibles	(41,751)	(53,083)	(57,345)
Average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (b)	<u>\$ 4,134,193</u>	<u>\$ 3,794,903</u>	<u>\$ 3,686,384</u>
Number of days in quarter (c)	92	92	92
Number of days in year (d)	365	366	366
Tangible return on average tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (a/b/c*d)	20.34%	4.07%	7.02%

3. Total shareholders’ equity to tangible equity and tangible common equity

This Form 10-Q presents “tangible equity” and “tangible common equity” which excludes goodwill and core deposit and other intangibles for both measures and preferred stock and noncontrolling interests for tangible common equity.

The following schedule provides a reconciliation of total shareholders’ equity (GAAP) to both tangible equity (non-GAAP) and tangible common equity (non-GAAP).

TANGIBLE EQUITY (NON-GAAP) AND TANGIBLE COMMON EQUITY (NON-GAAP)

<i>(Amounts in millions)</i>	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Total shareholders' equity (GAAP)	\$ 6,333	\$ 6,049	\$ 5,918
Goodwill	(1,014)	(1,014)	(1,015)
Core deposit and other intangibles	(40)	(51)	(55)
Tangible equity (non-GAAP) (a)	5,279	4,984	4,848
Preferred stock	(1,004)	(1,128)	(1,123)
Noncontrolling interests	—	3	3
Tangible common equity (non-GAAP) (b)	\$ 4,275	\$ 3,859	\$ 3,728
Total assets (GAAP)	\$ 55,188	\$ 55,512	\$ 53,087
Goodwill	(1,014)	(1,014)	(1,015)
Core deposit and other intangibles	(40)	(51)	(55)
Tangible assets (non-GAAP) (c)	\$ 54,134	\$ 54,447	\$ 52,017
Tangible equity ratio (a/c)	9.75%	9.15%	9.32%
Tangible common equity ratio (b/c)	7.90%	7.09%	7.17%

For items 2 and 3, the identified adjustments to reconcile from the applicable GAAP financial measures to the non-GAAP financial measures are included where applicable in financial results or in the balance sheet presented in accordance with GAAP. We consider these adjustments to be relevant to ongoing operating results and financial position.

We believe that excluding the amounts associated with these adjustments to present the non-GAAP financial measures provides a meaningful base for period-to-period and company-to-company comparisons, which will assist regulators, investors, and analysts in analyzing the operating results or financial position of the Company and in predicting future performance. These non-GAAP financial measures are used by management and the Board of Directors to assess the performance of the Company's business or its financial position for evaluating bank reporting segment performance, for presentations of the Company's performance to investors, and for other reasons as may be requested by investors and analysts. We further believe that presenting these non-GAAP financial measures will permit investors and analysts to assess the performance of the Company on the same basis as that applied by management and the Board of Directors.

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied, and are not audited. Although these non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by stakeholders to evaluate a company, they have limitations as an analytical tool, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of results as reported under GAAP.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest rate and market risks are among the most significant risks regularly undertaken by the Company, and they are closely monitored as previously discussed. A discussion regarding the Company's management of interest rate and market risk is included in the section entitled "Interest Rate and Market Risk Management" in this Form 10-Q.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2013. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2013. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the third quarter of 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information contained in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements is incorporated by reference herein.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Company has updated its risk factors included in Zions Bancorporation's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K with new information on Basel III included in the Capital Management section of Management's Discussion and Analysis and the additional risk factors set forth below:

Our business is highly correlated to local economic conditions in a specific geographic region of the United States.

As a regional bank holding company, the Company provides a full range of banking and related services through its banking and other subsidiaries in Utah, California, Texas, Arizona, Nevada, Colorado, Idaho, Washington, and Oregon. Approximately 86% of the Company's total net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 76% of total assets as of December 31, 2012 relate to the subsidiary banks in Utah, California and Texas. As a result of this geographic concentration, our financial results depend largely upon economic conditions in these market areas. Accordingly, adverse economic conditions affecting these three states in particular could significantly affect our consolidated operations and financial results. For example, our credit risk could be elevated to the extent our lending practices in these three states focus on borrowers or groups of borrowers with similar economic characteristics that are similarly affected by the same adverse economic events. As of December 31, 2012, loan balances at our subsidiary banks in Utah, California and Texas comprised 82% of the Company's commercial lending portfolio, 74% of the commercial real estate lending portfolio, and 69% of the consumer lending portfolio. Loans originated by these banks are primarily to companies in their respective states.

Our estimates of our interest rate risk position for noninterest-bearing demand deposits are dependent on assumptions for which there is little historical experience, and the actual behavior of those deposits in a changing interest rate environment may differ materially from our estimates which could materially affect our results of operations.

We have experienced a low interest rate environment for the past several years. Our views with respect to, among other things, the degree to which we are "asset-sensitive," including our interest rate risk position for noninterest-bearing demand deposits, are dependent on modeled projections that rely on assumptions regarding changes in balances of such deposits in a changing interest rate environment. Because there is no modern precedent for this current prolonged low interest rate environment, there is little historical experience upon which to base such assumptions. If interest rates begin to increase, our assumptions regarding changes in balances of noninterest-bearing demand deposits and regarding the speed and degree to which other deposits are repriced may prove to be incorrect, and business decisions made in reliance on our modeled projections and underlying assumptions could prove to be unsuccessful. Because noninterest-bearing demand deposits are a significant portion of our deposit base, errors in our modeled projections and the underlying assumptions could materially affect our results of operations.

We are making a significant investment to replace our core loan and deposit systems and to upgrade our accounting systems. The actual duration, cost, expected savings, and other factors to implement these initiatives may vary significantly from our estimates, which could materially affect the Company including its results of operations.

During the second quarter of 2013, our Board of Directors approved a significant investment by us to replace our loan and deposit systems and to upgrade our accounting systems. The new integrated system for most of our loans and deposits is expected to employ technology that is a significant improvement over our current systems. These initiatives will be completed in phases to allow for appropriate testing and implementation so as to minimize time

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

delays and cost overruns. However, these initiatives are in the early stages of development and by their very nature, projections of duration, cost, expected savings, and related items are subject to change and significant variability.

We may encounter significant adverse developments in the completion and implementation of these initiatives. These may include significant time delays, cost overruns, and other adverse developments that could result in disruptions to our systems and adversely impact our customers.

We have plans, policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the negative effect of these adverse developments. However, there can be no assurance that any such adverse developments will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately remediated. The occurrence of any adverse development could damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could materially affect the Company including its results of operations in any given reporting period.

Credit quality has adversely affected us and may continue to adversely affect us.

Credit risk is one of our most significant risks. Although most credit quality indicators have improved, if the strength of the U.S. economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we and our subsidiary banks conduct operations declined, this could result in, among other things, deterioration in credit quality and/or reduced demand for credit, including a resultant adverse effect on the income from our loan portfolio, an increase in charge-offs and an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses; if such developments occur, we may be required to raise additional capital.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table summarizes the Company's share repurchases for the third quarter of 2013:

SHARE REPURCHASES

Period	Total number of shares repurchased ¹	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan
July	2,676	\$ 28.36	—	\$ —
August	732	29.46	—	—
September	718	28.27	—	—
Third quarter	<u>4,126</u>	28.54	<u>—</u>	

¹ Represents common shares acquired from employees in connection with the Company's stock compensation plan. Shares were acquired from employees to pay for their payroll taxes upon the vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units under the "withholding shares" provision of an employee share-based compensation plan.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

a) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description	
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated November 8, 1993, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form S-4 filed on November 22, 1993.	*
3.2	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated April 30, 1997, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.	*

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Exhibit Number	Description	
3.3	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated April 24, 1998, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.	*
3.4	Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated April 25, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 of Form S-4 filed July 13, 2001.	*
3.5	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation, dated December 5, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.	*
3.6	Articles of Merger of The Stockmen's Bancorp, Inc. with and into Zions Bancorporation, effective January 17, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012.	*
3.7	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation, dated July 7, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed July 8, 2008.	*
3.8	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation, dated November 12, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed November 17, 2008.	*
3.9	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation, dated June 30, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed July 2, 2009.	*
3.10	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated June 30, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.10 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.	*
3.11	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated June 1, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed June 3, 2010.	*
3.12	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation dated June 14, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed June 15, 2010.	*
3.13	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation with respect to the Series F Fixed-Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, dated May 4, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed May 5, 2012.	*
3.14	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation with respect to the Series G Fixed/Floating-Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, dated February 5, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed February 7, 2013.	*
3.15	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation with respect to the Series H Fixed-Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, dated April 29, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed May 3, 2013.	*
3.16	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation with respect to the Series I Fixed/Floating-Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, dated May 17, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed May 21, 2013.	*
3.17	Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Zions Bancorporation with respect to the Series J Fixed/Floating-Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, dated August 8, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 8-K filed August 13, 2013.	*
3.18	Restated Bylaws of Zions Bancorporation dated November 8, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.13 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.	*
10.1	Zions Bancorporation Third Restated and Revised Deferred Compensation Plan (filed herewith).	
10.2	Zions Bancorporation Fourth Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors (filed herewith).	
10.3	Amended and Restated Amegy Bancorporation, Inc. Non-Employee Directors Deferred Fee Plan, dated July 26, 2013 (filed herewith).	
10.4	Zions Bancorporation 2013-2015 Value Sharing Plan (filed herewith).	

ZIONS BANCORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Exhibit Number	Description
10.5	Fifth Amendment to Trust Agreement between Fidelity Management Trust Company and Zions Bancorporation for the Deferred Compensation Plans (filed herewith).
10.6	Form of Performance Stock Option Award Agreement, 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (filed herewith).
10.7	Form of Performance Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement, 2005 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer required by Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer required by Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
32	Certification by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Sections 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (furnished herewith).
101	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (filed herewith).

* *Incorporated by reference*

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ZIONS BANCORPORATION

/s/ Harris H. Simmons

Harris H. Simmons, Chairman, President
and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Doyle L. Arnold

Doyle L. Arnold, Vice Chairman and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 7, 2013